NEWFOUNDLAND AND LABRADOR BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF PUBLIC UTILITIES

120 Torbay Road, P.O. Box 21040, St. John's, Newfoundland and Labrador, Canada, A1A 5B2

2017 Automobile Insurance Review September 27, 2018

Present:

The Board:

Darlene Whalen, Chair and CEO Dwanda Newman, Vice-Chair James Oxford, Commissioner

Parties (Alphabetical Order):

Atlantic Provinces Trial Lawyers Association

Ernest Gittens

Campaign to Protect Accident Victims

Colin Feltham

Insurance Bureau of Canada (IBC)

Amanda Dean Michael Gillingham

Spinal Cord Injury NL

Thomas Fraize, Q.C. Lara Fraize-Burry Kalli Fraize

Board Counsel/Staff:

Ryan Oake, Regulatory Analyst Peter O'Flaherty, Q.C. Hearing Counsel

Presenters:

Inspector Paul Didham, RNC Presenting on behalf of the Consumer Advocate Justice Robert Wells, presenting on behalf of the Campaign

Septer	mber 27, 2018		2017 Automobile Insurance Review
	Page 1		Page 3
1	(1:34 P.M.)	1	since June of 2018 until now, I've been
2	CHAIR:	2	assigned as an inspector with the Royal
3	Q. Good morning everybody. Welcome back. I	3	Newfoundland Constabulary, Operation Patrol
4	don't have any opening remarks. I'm just	4	Services, and under that umbrella, I still
5	going to go right to you, Mr. Wadden. You	5	am responsible for our Traffic Services,
6	can introduce your presenter.	6	amongst other divisions within the RNC.
7	MR. WADDEN:	7	MR. WADDEN:
8	Q. All right. Thank you very much, Madam	8	Q. Okay. And Inspector Didham, I understand
9	Chair. You'll recall when we were here last	9	from speaking to you previously that in
10	day and we had Mr. Donaher from the City, I	10	terms of your work historically, you've been
11	referenced for the Board and everyone in the	11	involved oftentimes testifying in trials
12	room that the Consumer Advocate's – one of	12	with respect to things like accident
13	the Consumer Advocate's main concerns and	13	reconstruction. Is that the case?
14	main focuses here is within the Terms of	14	INSPECTOR DIDHAM:
		15	
15	Reference to report on the measures to	l	A. That's correct.
16	improve highway safety and automotive	16	MR. WADDEN:
17	accident prevention in Newfoundland and	17	Q. Okay. And over your years, I realize you've
18	Labrador and we had Mr. Donaher here in that	18	played different roles, but throughout those
19	vein and we have today Inspector Paul Didham		years, I guess you had a lot of direct
20	here in the same vein to come at it from a	20	involvement with accident investigations?
21	slightly different angle, and I'd just like	21	INSPECTOR DIDHAM:
22	to start by welcoming you, Inspector Didham.	22	A. That's correct.
23	Thank you very much for taking time to come.	23	MR. WADDEN:
24	INSPECTOR DIDHAM:	24	Q. Okay. And you still have direct involvement
25	A. Thank you.	25	or –
	3	۳- ا	
	Page 2		Page 4
1	•	1	
1 2	Page 2 MR. WADDEN:		Page 4
1	Page 2 MR. WADDEN:	1	Page 4 INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. I do. I have some direct involvement, not
2	Page 2 MR. WADDEN: Q. And maybe the best way to start here today is to kind of introduce you to everybody in	1 2	Page 4 INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. I do. I have some direct involvement, not as much as I would have had prior to June of
2 3	Page 2 MR. WADDEN: Q. And maybe the best way to start here today is to kind of introduce you to everybody in the room. Perhaps you could talk a little	1 2 3 4	Page 4 INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. I do. I have some direct involvement, not as much as I would have had prior to June of this year. Generally right now, my role is
2 3 4 5	Page 2 MR. WADDEN: Q. And maybe the best way to start here today is to kind of introduce you to everybody in the room. Perhaps you could talk a little bit about your background. Tell us how long	1 2 3 4 5	Page 4 INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. I do. I have some direct involvement, not as much as I would have had prior to June of this year. Generally right now, my role is to manage files and to take care of
2 3 4 5 6	Page 2 MR. WADDEN: Q. And maybe the best way to start here today is to kind of introduce you to everybody in the room. Perhaps you could talk a little bit about your background. Tell us how long you've been with the RNC.	1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 4 INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. I do. I have some direct involvement, not as much as I would have had prior to June of this year. Generally right now, my role is to manage files and to take care of resources and things like that. So, I don't
2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 2 MR. WADDEN: Q. And maybe the best way to start here today is to kind of introduce you to everybody in the room. Perhaps you could talk a little bit about your background. Tell us how long you've been with the RNC. INSPECTOR DIDHAM:	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 4 INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. I do. I have some direct involvement, not as much as I would have had prior to June of this year. Generally right now, my role is to manage files and to take care of resources and things like that. So, I don't have a direct investigative role per se, but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 2 MR. WADDEN: Q. And maybe the best way to start here today is to kind of introduce you to everybody in the room. Perhaps you could talk a little bit about your background. Tell us how long you've been with the RNC. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. I've been a police officer for 24 years. Of	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 4 INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. I do. I have some direct involvement, not as much as I would have had prior to June of this year. Generally right now, my role is to manage files and to take care of resources and things like that. So, I don't have a direct investigative role per se, but I am involved in the decision making process
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 2 MR. WADDEN: Q. And maybe the best way to start here today is to kind of introduce you to everybody in the room. Perhaps you could talk a little bit about your background. Tell us how long you've been with the RNC. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. I've been a police officer for 24 years. Of those 24 years, I've been with the RNC for	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 4 INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. I do. I have some direct involvement, not as much as I would have had prior to June of this year. Generally right now, my role is to manage files and to take care of resources and things like that. So, I don't have a direct investigative role per se, but I am involved in the decision making process as to how files unfold and things like that,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 2 MR. WADDEN: Q. And maybe the best way to start here today is to kind of introduce you to everybody in the room. Perhaps you could talk a little bit about your background. Tell us how long you've been with the RNC. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. I've been a police officer for 24 years. Of those 24 years, I've been with the RNC for almost 19, so since July of 2000. Previous	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 4 INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. I do. I have some direct involvement, not as much as I would have had prior to June of this year. Generally right now, my role is to manage files and to take care of resources and things like that. So, I don't have a direct investigative role per se, but I am involved in the decision making process as to how files unfold and things like that, but generally, the day-to-day work and the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 2 MR. WADDEN: Q. And maybe the best way to start here today is to kind of introduce you to everybody in the room. Perhaps you could talk a little bit about your background. Tell us how long you've been with the RNC. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. I've been a police officer for 24 years. Of those 24 years, I've been with the RNC for almost 19, so since July of 2000. Previous to my joining – years joining with the RNC,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 4 INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. I do. I have some direct involvement, not as much as I would have had prior to June of this year. Generally right now, my role is to manage files and to take care of resources and things like that. So, I don't have a direct investigative role per se, but I am involved in the decision making process as to how files unfold and things like that, but generally, the day-to-day work and the investigative work is handled by the rank of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 2 MR. WADDEN: Q. And maybe the best way to start here today is to kind of introduce you to everybody in the room. Perhaps you could talk a little bit about your background. Tell us how long you've been with the RNC. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. I've been a police officer for 24 years. Of those 24 years, I've been with the RNC for almost 19, so since July of 2000. Previous to my joining – years joining with the RNC, I was with the City of Summerside Police	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 4 INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. I do. I have some direct involvement, not as much as I would have had prior to June of this year. Generally right now, my role is to manage files and to take care of resources and things like that. So, I don't have a direct investigative role per se, but I am involved in the decision making process as to how files unfold and things like that, but generally, the day-to-day work and the investigative work is handled by the rank of sergeant and a constable.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 2 MR. WADDEN: Q. And maybe the best way to start here today is to kind of introduce you to everybody in the room. Perhaps you could talk a little bit about your background. Tell us how long you've been with the RNC. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. I've been a police officer for 24 years. Of those 24 years, I've been with the RNC for almost 19, so since July of 2000. Previous to my joining – years joining with the RNC, I was with the City of Summerside Police Services in Summerside, Prince Edward	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. I do. I have some direct involvement, not as much as I would have had prior to June of this year. Generally right now, my role is to manage files and to take care of resources and things like that. So, I don't have a direct investigative role per se, but I am involved in the decision making process as to how files unfold and things like that, but generally, the day-to-day work and the investigative work is handled by the rank of sergeant and a constable. MR. WADDEN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 2 MR. WADDEN: Q. And maybe the best way to start here today is to kind of introduce you to everybody in the room. Perhaps you could talk a little bit about your background. Tell us how long you've been with the RNC. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. I've been a police officer for 24 years. Of those 24 years, I've been with the RNC for almost 19, so since July of 2000. Previous to my joining – years joining with the RNC, I was with the City of Summerside Police Services in Summerside, Prince Edward Island. So, my years, you know, just get	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 4 INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. I do. I have some direct involvement, not as much as I would have had prior to June of this year. Generally right now, my role is to manage files and to take care of resources and things like that. So, I don't have a direct investigative role per se, but I am involved in the decision making process as to how files unfold and things like that, but generally, the day-to-day work and the investigative work is handled by the rank of sergeant and a constable. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. And as part of your role, current
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 2 MR. WADDEN: Q. And maybe the best way to start here today is to kind of introduce you to everybody in the room. Perhaps you could talk a little bit about your background. Tell us how long you've been with the RNC. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. I've been a police officer for 24 years. Of those 24 years, I've been with the RNC for almost 19, so since July of 2000. Previous to my joining – years joining with the RNC, I was with the City of Summerside Police Services in Summerside, Prince Edward Island. So, my years, you know, just get into my years with the RNC. As it relates	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 4 INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. I do. I have some direct involvement, not as much as I would have had prior to June of this year. Generally right now, my role is to manage files and to take care of resources and things like that. So, I don't have a direct investigative role per se, but I am involved in the decision making process as to how files unfold and things like that, but generally, the day-to-day work and the investigative work is handled by the rank of sergeant and a constable. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. And as part of your role, current role that is with the RNC, I think we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 2 MR. WADDEN: Q. And maybe the best way to start here today is to kind of introduce you to everybody in the room. Perhaps you could talk a little bit about your background. Tell us how long you've been with the RNC. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. I've been a police officer for 24 years. Of those 24 years, I've been with the RNC for almost 19, so since July of 2000. Previous to my joining – years joining with the RNC, I was with the City of Summerside Police Services in Summerside, Prince Edward Island. So, my years, you know, just get into my years with the RNC. As it relates to traffic and accident investigation work,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 4 INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. I do. I have some direct involvement, not as much as I would have had prior to June of this year. Generally right now, my role is to manage files and to take care of resources and things like that. So, I don't have a direct investigative role per se, but I am involved in the decision making process as to how files unfold and things like that, but generally, the day-to-day work and the investigative work is handled by the rank of sergeant and a constable. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. And as part of your role, current role that is with the RNC, I think we discussed the fact that you also have some
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 2 MR. WADDEN: Q. And maybe the best way to start here today is to kind of introduce you to everybody in the room. Perhaps you could talk a little bit about your background. Tell us how long you've been with the RNC. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. I've been a police officer for 24 years. Of those 24 years, I've been with the RNC for almost 19, so since July of 2000. Previous to my joining – years joining with the RNC, I was with the City of Summerside Police Services in Summerside, Prince Edward Island. So, my years, you know, just get into my years with the RNC. As it relates to traffic and accident investigation work, I completed the collision reconstruction	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 4 INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. I do. I have some direct involvement, not as much as I would have had prior to June of this year. Generally right now, my role is to manage files and to take care of resources and things like that. So, I don't have a direct investigative role per se, but I am involved in the decision making process as to how files unfold and things like that, but generally, the day-to-day work and the investigative work is handled by the rank of sergeant and a constable. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. And as part of your role, current role that is with the RNC, I think we discussed the fact that you also have some work to do oftentimes outside of the office
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 2 MR. WADDEN: Q. And maybe the best way to start here today is to kind of introduce you to everybody in the room. Perhaps you could talk a little bit about your background. Tell us how long you've been with the RNC. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. I've been a police officer for 24 years. Of those 24 years, I've been with the RNC for almost 19, so since July of 2000. Previous to my joining – years joining with the RNC, I was with the City of Summerside Police Services in Summerside, Prince Edward Island. So, my years, you know, just get into my years with the RNC. As it relates to traffic and accident investigation work, I completed the collision reconstruction program at the Canadian Police College in	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 4 INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. I do. I have some direct involvement, not as much as I would have had prior to June of this year. Generally right now, my role is to manage files and to take care of resources and things like that. So, I don't have a direct investigative role per se, but I am involved in the decision making process as to how files unfold and things like that, but generally, the day-to-day work and the investigative work is handled by the rank of sergeant and a constable. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. And as part of your role, current role that is with the RNC, I think we discussed the fact that you also have some work to do oftentimes outside of the office with other groups. For example, I know I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 2 MR. WADDEN: Q. And maybe the best way to start here today is to kind of introduce you to everybody in the room. Perhaps you could talk a little bit about your background. Tell us how long you've been with the RNC. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. I've been a police officer for 24 years. Of those 24 years, I've been with the RNC for almost 19, so since July of 2000. Previous to my joining – years joining with the RNC, I was with the City of Summerside Police Services in Summerside, Prince Edward Island. So, my years, you know, just get into my years with the RNC. As it relates to traffic and accident investigation work, I completed the collision reconstruction program at the Canadian Police College in Ottawa in 2001. So, I've been working in	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. I do. I have some direct involvement, not as much as I would have had prior to June of this year. Generally right now, my role is to manage files and to take care of resources and things like that. So, I don't have a direct investigative role per se, but I am involved in the decision making process as to how files unfold and things like that, but generally, the day-to-day work and the investigative work is handled by the rank of sergeant and a constable. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. And as part of your role, current role that is with the RNC, I think we discussed the fact that you also have some work to do oftentimes outside of the office with other groups. For example, I know I think you told me you've been involved in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 2 MR. WADDEN: Q. And maybe the best way to start here today is to kind of introduce you to everybody in the room. Perhaps you could talk a little bit about your background. Tell us how long you've been with the RNC. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. I've been a police officer for 24 years. Of those 24 years, I've been with the RNC for almost 19, so since July of 2000. Previous to my joining – years joining with the RNC, I was with the City of Summerside Police Services in Summerside, Prince Edward Island. So, my years, you know, just get into my years with the RNC. As it relates to traffic and accident investigation work, I completed the collision reconstruction program at the Canadian Police College in Ottawa in 2001. So, I've been working in that field. I'm a forensic collision	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. I do. I have some direct involvement, not as much as I would have had prior to June of this year. Generally right now, my role is to manage files and to take care of resources and things like that. So, I don't have a direct investigative role per se, but I am involved in the decision making process as to how files unfold and things like that, but generally, the day-to-day work and the investigative work is handled by the rank of sergeant and a constable. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. And as part of your role, current role that is with the RNC, I think we discussed the fact that you also have some work to do oftentimes outside of the office with other groups. For example, I know I think you told me you've been involved in some of the changes that were taken under
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 2 MR. WADDEN: Q. And maybe the best way to start here today is to kind of introduce you to everybody in the room. Perhaps you could talk a little bit about your background. Tell us how long you've been with the RNC. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. I've been a police officer for 24 years. Of those 24 years, I've been with the RNC for almost 19, so since July of 2000. Previous to my joining – years joining with the RNC, I was with the City of Summerside Police Services in Summerside, Prince Edward Island. So, my years, you know, just get into my years with the RNC. As it relates to traffic and accident investigation work, I completed the collision reconstruction program at the Canadian Police College in Ottawa in 2001. So, I've been working in that field. I'm a forensic collision reconstructionist with the RNC.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. I do. I have some direct involvement, not as much as I would have had prior to June of this year. Generally right now, my role is to manage files and to take care of resources and things like that. So, I don't have a direct investigative role per se, but I am involved in the decision making process as to how files unfold and things like that, but generally, the day-to-day work and the investigative work is handled by the rank of sergeant and a constable. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. And as part of your role, current role that is with the RNC, I think we discussed the fact that you also have some work to do oftentimes outside of the office with other groups. For example, I know I think you told me you've been involved in some of the changes that were taken under the Highway Traffic Act back in June?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. WADDEN: Q. And maybe the best way to start here today is to kind of introduce you to everybody in the room. Perhaps you could talk a little bit about your background. Tell us how long you've been with the RNC. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. I've been a police officer for 24 years. Of those 24 years, I've been with the RNC for almost 19, so since July of 2000. Previous to my joining – years joining with the RNC, I was with the City of Summerside Police Services in Summerside, Prince Edward Island. So, my years, you know, just get into my years with the RNC. As it relates to traffic and accident investigation work, I completed the collision reconstruction program at the Canadian Police College in Ottawa in 2001. So, I've been working in that field. I'm a forensic collision reconstructionist with the RNC. From November of 2014 to June of this	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. I do. I have some direct involvement, not as much as I would have had prior to June of this year. Generally right now, my role is to manage files and to take care of resources and things like that. So, I don't have a direct investigative role per se, but I am involved in the decision making process as to how files unfold and things like that, but generally, the day-to-day work and the investigative work is handled by the rank of sergeant and a constable. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. And as part of your role, current role that is with the RNC, I think we discussed the fact that you also have some work to do oftentimes outside of the office with other groups. For example, I know I think you told me you've been involved in some of the changes that were taken under the Highway Traffic Act back in June? INSPECTOR DIDHAM:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. WADDEN: Q. And maybe the best way to start here today is to kind of introduce you to everybody in the room. Perhaps you could talk a little bit about your background. Tell us how long you've been with the RNC. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. I've been a police officer for 24 years. Of those 24 years, I've been with the RNC for almost 19, so since July of 2000. Previous to my joining – years joining with the RNC, I was with the City of Summerside Police Services in Summerside, Prince Edward Island. So, my years, you know, just get into my years with the RNC. As it relates to traffic and accident investigation work, I completed the collision reconstruction program at the Canadian Police College in Ottawa in 2001. So, I've been working in that field. I'm a forensic collision reconstructionist with the RNC. From November of 2014 to June of this year, June 2018, I was the sergeant in	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. I do. I have some direct involvement, not as much as I would have had prior to June of this year. Generally right now, my role is to manage files and to take care of resources and things like that. So, I don't have a direct investigative role per se, but I am involved in the decision making process as to how files unfold and things like that, but generally, the day-to-day work and the investigative work is handled by the rank of sergeant and a constable. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. And as part of your role, current role that is with the RNC, I think we discussed the fact that you also have some work to do oftentimes outside of the office with other groups. For example, I know I think you told me you've been involved in some of the changes that were taken under the Highway Traffic Act back in June? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. That's correct. When I was promoted in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. WADDEN: Q. And maybe the best way to start here today is to kind of introduce you to everybody in the room. Perhaps you could talk a little bit about your background. Tell us how long you've been with the RNC. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. I've been a police officer for 24 years. Of those 24 years, I've been with the RNC for almost 19, so since July of 2000. Previous to my joining – years joining with the RNC, I was with the City of Summerside Police Services in Summerside, Prince Edward Island. So, my years, you know, just get into my years with the RNC. As it relates to traffic and accident investigation work, I completed the collision reconstruction program at the Canadian Police College in Ottawa in 2001. So, I've been working in that field. I'm a forensic collision reconstructionist with the RNC. From November of 2014 to June of this	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. I do. I have some direct involvement, not as much as I would have had prior to June of this year. Generally right now, my role is to manage files and to take care of resources and things like that. So, I don't have a direct investigative role per se, but I am involved in the decision making process as to how files unfold and things like that, but generally, the day-to-day work and the investigative work is handled by the rank of sergeant and a constable. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. And as part of your role, current role that is with the RNC, I think we discussed the fact that you also have some work to do oftentimes outside of the office with other groups. For example, I know I think you told me you've been involved in some of the changes that were taken under the Highway Traffic Act back in June? INSPECTOR DIDHAM:

committees and provincial committees and a member of the City of St. John's Police and Traffic Committee. In a member of the Province of Newfoundland and Labrador Bighway Traffic Act Committee and that committee, in particular fact, looking a tamendments or adjustments to the Highway Traffic Act Committee and that committee, in particular fact, looking a tamendments or adjustments to the Highway Traffic Act, looking a tamendments or adjustments to the Highway Traffic Act, looking a tamendments or adjustments to the Highway Traffic Act, looking a tamendments or adjustments to the Highway Traffic Act, looking a tamendments or adjustments to the Highway Traffic Act, looking a tamendments or adjustments to the Highway Traffic Act, looking a tamendments or adjustments to the Highway Traffic Act, looking a tamendments or adjustments to the Highway Traffic Act, looking a tamendments or adjustments to the Highway Traffic Act, looking a tamendments or adjustments to the Highway Traffic Act, looking a tamendments or adjustments to the Highway Traffic Act, looking a tamendments or adjustments to the Highway Traffic Act, looking a tamendments or adjustments to the Highway Traffic Act, looking a tamendments or adjustments to the Highway Traffic Act, looking a tamendments or adjustments to the Highway Traffic Act, looking a tamendments or adjustments to the Highway Traffic Act, looking a tamendments or adjustments to the Highway Traffic Act, looking a tamendments or adjustments to the Highway Traffic Act, looking the very very concerning to us. So, when we looked at that, when I sa down with our team and we started putting things together, we came up with a plan that we had to looked at a wareness and enforcement. Can you talk to us about those, what they mean and how you implement them? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: New ADDEn: Wadden had mentioned. We looked at the deucation piece. Page 8 A wareness and enforcement. Can you talk to us about those, what they mean and how you implement them? New ADDIEN: New ADDIEN: New ADDIEN:	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 N 18 Q 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	committees and provincial committees and national committees. So, currently I'm a member of the City of St. John's Police and Traffic Committee. I'm a member of the Province of Newfoundland and Labrador Highway Traffic Act Committee and that	2 3 4	collisions. So, like was brought up to me when I
a member of the City of St. John's Police and Traffic Committee. I'm a member of the Province of Newfoundland and Labrador Highway Traffic Act Committee and that reviewing the Highway Traffic Act, looking at a member of the Provincia Advisory Board on the committee Traffic Act. I'm also a member of the Provincial Advisory Board on the committee with the RCMP and other enforcement agencies as it relates to road safety. So, we meet on those as well. So, those are three committees in particular that I'm a part of as it relates to traffic work. MR WADDEN: RNC does and what the RNC can do, perhaps look and thinker of the post of a general discussion about what the RNC does and what the RNC can do, perhaps look and the population that we have that we policed at the time, and that's what had sown the look at a way and a strategic plan to put in place on who we were going to deal with that because our numbers had bloomed to, you know, wends 4500 collisions in the place on how we were going to deal with that because our numbers had bloomed to, you know, wends 4500 collisions in the place on how we were going to deal with that because our numbers had bloomed to, you know, wends 4500 collisions in the population that we have that we policed at the time, and that we have that we policed at the time, and that we have that we policed at the time, and that we have that we policed at the time, and that we have that we policed at the time, and that we have that we policed at that process, you know, wore song to we weary, you know, were soll with the because our numbers had bloomed to, you know, were soll we have have that we policed at the time, and that we have that we policed at the time, and that we have that we have that we policed at the time, and that we have the rime; and the probability of the problem for users of the problem for users of the problem for users of the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 N 18 Q 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	national committees. So, currently I'm a member of the City of St. John's Police and Traffic Committee. I'm a member of the Province of Newfoundland and Labrador Highway Traffic Act Committee and that	2 3 4	collisions. So, like was brought up to me when I
member of the City of St. John's Police and Traffic Committee. I'm a member of the Province of Newfoundland and Labrador Highway Traffic Act Committee and that committee, in particular, deals with reviewing the Highway Traffic Act, looking at amendments or adjustments to the Highway 10 Traffic Act. I'm also a member of the 11 Provincial Advisory Board on the committee 12 with the RCMP and other enforcement agencies 13 as it relates to road safety. So, we meet 14 on those as well. So, those are three 15 committees in particular that I'm a part of 16 as it relates to traffic work. 17 MR. WADDEN: 18 Q. Okay. So, what I want to get into really is 19 sort of a general discussion about what the 20 RNC does and what the RNC can do, perhaps 21 more importantly, to reduce accidents within 22 their jurisdiction and I think perhaps the 23 best place to start there is with what you 24 refer to as the three pillars. I think you 25 referenced them as being education, 26 awareness and enforcement. Can you talk to 27 us about those, what they mean and how you 38 implement them? 29 awareness and enforcement. Can you talk to 29 us about those, what they mean and how you 30 implement them? 31 part of that corporate plan from 2014 to 2017, as 32 tasked with —the RNC has a corporate plan 33 tasked with —the RNC has a corporate plan 44 INSPECTOR DIDHAM: 45 A. Sure. As a preamble to it, when I became 46 sergeant in charge of our Traffic Services 47 Division in 2014, November of 2014, I was 48 tasked with —the RNC has a corporate plan 49 that's put in place every throughers 40 part of that corporate plan from 2014 to 2017, as 41 part of that corporate plan number on goal 41 and so when I was — when I moved into the 41 and so when I was — when I moved into the 42 are the police at the time, and the take and we started putting 41 things together, we came up with a plan that 42 what to tackle this problamsically in a 43 three-pronged approach and that's what Mr. 44 Wadden had mentioned. We looked at 45 the police and eactive three 46 ser	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 N 18 Q 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	member of the City of St. John's Police and Traffic Committee. I'm a member of the Province of Newfoundland and Labrador Highway Traffic Act Committee and that	3 4	So, like was brought up to me when I
Traffic Committee. I'm a member of the Highway Traffic Act Committee and that committee, in particular, deals with reviewing the Highway Traffic Act. I'm also a member of the tereviewing the Highway Traffic Act. I'm also a member of the 11 Provincial Advisory Board on the committee with the RCMP and other enforcement agencies as it relates to road safety. So, we meet on those as well. So, those are three on those as well. So, those are three on those as well. So, those are three to make the traffic work. The committees in particular that I'm a part of as it relates to traffic work. The committees in particular that I'm a part of as it relates to traffic work. The committees in particular that I'm a part of as it relates to traffic work. The committees in particular that I'm a part of as it relates to traffic work. The committees in particular that I'm a part of as it relates to traffic work. The committees in particular that I'm a part of as it relates to traffic work. The committees in particular that I'm a part of as it relates to traffic work. The committees in particular that I'm a part of as it relates to traffic work. The committees in particular that I'm a part of as it relates to traffic work. The committees in particular that I'm a part of as it relates to traffic work. The committees in particular that I'm a part of as it relates to traffic work. The committees in particular that I'm a part of as it relates to traffic work. The committees in particular that I'm a part of as it relates to traffic work. The committees in particular that I'm a part of as it relates to traffic work. The committees in particular that I'm a part of as it relates to traffic work. The committees in particular that I'm a part of as it relates to traffic work. The committees in particular that I'm a part of as it relates to traffic work. The committee was a traffic to a wareness and enforcement. Can you talk to us about those, what they mean and how you implement them? 12 awareness and enforcement. Can you talk to us about tho	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 Q 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Traffic Committee. I'm a member of the Province of Newfoundland and Labrador Highway Traffic Act Committee and that	4	
Traffic Committee. I'm a member of the Highway Traffic Act Committee and that committee, in particular, deals with reviewing the Highway Traffic Act. I'm also a member of the tereviewing the Highway Traffic Act. I'm also a member of the 11 Provincial Advisory Board on the committee with the RCMP and other enforcement agencies as it relates to road safety. So, we meet on those as well. So, those are three on those as well. So, those are three on those as well. So, those are three to make the traffic work. The committees in particular that I'm a part of as it relates to traffic work. The committees in particular that I'm a part of as it relates to traffic work. The committees in particular that I'm a part of as it relates to traffic work. The committees in particular that I'm a part of as it relates to traffic work. The committees in particular that I'm a part of as it relates to traffic work. The committees in particular that I'm a part of as it relates to traffic work. The committees in particular that I'm a part of as it relates to traffic work. The committees in particular that I'm a part of as it relates to traffic work. The committees in particular that I'm a part of as it relates to traffic work. The committees in particular that I'm a part of as it relates to traffic work. The committees in particular that I'm a part of as it relates to traffic work. The committees in particular that I'm a part of as it relates to traffic work. The committees in particular that I'm a part of as it relates to traffic work. The committees in particular that I'm a part of as it relates to traffic work. The committees in particular that I'm a part of as it relates to traffic work. The committees in particular that I'm a part of as it relates to traffic work. The committees in particular that I'm a part of as it relates to traffic work. The committee was a traffic to a wareness and enforcement. Can you talk to us about those, what they mean and how you implement them? 12 awareness and enforcement. Can you talk to us about tho	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 Q 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Traffic Committee. I'm a member of the Province of Newfoundland and Labrador Highway Traffic Act Committee and that		
5	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 M 18 Q 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Highway Traffic Act Committee and that		
6	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 M 18 Q 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Highway Traffic Act Committee and that	ıJ	
reviewing the Highway Traffic Act, looking at amendments or adjustments to the Highway 10 Traffic Act. I'm also a member of the 11 Provincial Advisory Board on the committee 12 with the RCMP and other enforcement agencies 13 as it relates to road safety. So, we meet 14 on those as well. So, those are three 15 committees in particular that I'm a part of 16 as it relates to traffic work. 17 MR. WADDEN: 18 Q. Okay. So, what I want to get into really is 19 sort of a general discussion about what the 20 RNC does and what the RNC can do, perhaps 21 more importantly, to reduce accidents within 22 their jurisdiction and I think perhaps the 23 best place to start there is with what you 24 refer to as the three pillars. I think you 25 referenced them as being education, 26 awareness and enforcement. Can you talk to 27 us about those, what they mean and how you 28 implement them? 29 INSPECTOR DIDHAM: 20 RNC jurisdiction, both the northeast Avalon, 21 Corner Brook and Labrador, those numbers 22 were very concerning to us. 23 best place to start there is with what you 24 refer to as the three pillars. I think you 25 referenced them as being education, 26 awareness and enforcement. Can you talk to 27 us about those, what they mean and how you 28 implement them? 29 the referenced them as being education, 30 put in place every three years and 40 for the corporate plan from 2014 to 2017, as 41 Inspect Or DIDHAM: 42 part of that corporate plan from 2014 to 2017, as 43 part of that corporate plan from 2014 to 2017, as 44 part of the torporate plan from 2014 to 2017, as 45 part of that corporate plan from 2014 to 2017, as 46 part of the tree years, our number one goal 47 and objective was to improve highway safety 48 tasked with putting together a plan of how 49 we could look at improving in whith the problem and enacting and putting in 40 part of the corporate plan from 2014 to 2017, as 41 part of that corporate plan from 2014 to 2017, as 42 part of that corporate plan from 2014 to 2017, as 43 part of that corporate plan from 201	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 Q 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	· ·		
Reviewing the Highway Traffic Act, looking at at amendments or adjustments to the Highway Traffic Act. I'm also a member of the 11 Provincial Advisory Board on the committee 12 with the RCMP and other enforcement agencies as it relates to road safety. So, we meet 13 committees in particular that I'm a part of 16 as it relates to traffic work. 16 as it relates to traffic work. 17 MR. WADDEN: 18 Q. Okay. So, what I want to get into really is 19 sort of a general discussion about what the 190 RNC does and what the RNC can do, perhaps 19 more importantly, to reduce accidents within 192 their jurisdiction and I think perhaps the 23 best place to start there is with what you 24 refer to as the three pillars. I think you 24 refer to as the three pillars. I think you 25 referenced them as being education, 25 minplement them? 188 a tasked with — the RNC has a corporate plan of for the corporate plan for 2014 to 2017, as 10 place to direct our resources. And 10 for the corporate plan for 2014 to 2017, as 11 place to direct our resources. And 10 for those three years, our number one goal 15 and objective was to improve highway safety 16 and objective was to improve highway safety 16 we could look at improven go 2014, I was 18 tasked with putting together a plan of how we could look at improving 19 we could look at improving 19 and ultimately, when we look at improving 20 more of 2014, I was 18 tasked with putting together a plan of how we could look at improving 20 ment of the corporate plan and ultimately, when we look at improving 20 ment and the team that were were working 20 with ment at we had to tackle this problem basically in a three-pronged approach and that, when I sat whe had to tackle this problem basically in a three-pronged approach and that, when I sat we had to tackle this problem basically in a three-pronged approach and that's what Mr. Wadden had mentioned. We looked at the with those issues that we found were the main causes of these incidents that were 20 main causes of these incidents that were 21 m	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 Q 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	committee, in particular, deals with		
at amendments or adjustments to the Highway 10 Traffic Act. I'm also a member of the 11 Provincial Advisory Board on the committee 12 with the RCMP and other enforcement agencies 13 as it relates to road safety. So, we meet 14 on those as well. So, those are three 14 committees in particular that I'm a part of 16 as it relates to traffic work. 17 MR. WADDEN: 18 Q. Okay. So, what I want to get into really is 500 or a general discussion about what the 19 sort of a general discussion about what the 20 sort of a general discussion about what the 21 sort of a general discussion about what the 21 sort of a general discussion about what the 21 sort of a general discussion about what the 21 sort of a general discussion about what the 21 sort of a general discussion about wha	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 M 18 Q 19 20 21 22 23 24 25			· •
10 Traffic Act. I'm also a member of the 11 Provincial Advisory Board on the committee 12 with the RCMP and other enforcement agencies 13 as it relates to road safety. So, we meet 14 on those as well. So, those are three 15 committees in particular that I'm a part of 16 as it relates to traffic work. 17 MR. WADDEN: 18 Q. Okay. So, what I want to get into really is 19 sort of a general discussion about what the 20 RNC does and what the RNC can do, perhaps 21 more importantly, to reduce accidents within 22 their jurisdiction and I think perhaps the 23 best place to start there is with what you 24 refer to as the three pilars. I think you 24 refer to as the three pilars. I think you 25 referenced them as being education, 26 when we looked at that, when I sat down with our team and we started putting things together, we came up with a plan that we have looked at that, when I sat down with our team and we started putting things together, we came up with a plan that we have looked at that when I sat down with our team and we started putting things together, we came up with a plan that we have looked at that, when I sat down with our team and we started putting things together, we came up with a plan that we have looked at that, when I sat down with our team and we started putting things together, we came up with a plan that we have looked at that, when I sat down with our team and we started putting things together, we came up with a plan that we have that were very concerning to us. So, when we looked at that, when I sat down with our team and we started putting things together, we came up with a plan that we have that when I sat down with our team and we started putting things together, we came up with a plan that we have that when we hade to tackle this problem basically in a three-pronged approach and that's what Mr. Wadden had mentioned. We looked at the educating the public, raising awareness to the problem and enacting and putting in place some enforcement. Give me some – educate – the education piece. NSPE	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 M 18 Q 19 20 21 22 23 24 25			
11	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 M 18 Q 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
with the RCMP and other enforcement agencies as it relates to road safety. So, we meet on those as well. So, those are three committees in particular that I'm a part of as it relates to traffic work. MR. WADDEN: No. Okay. So, what I want to get into really is sort of a general discussion about what the sort of a general discussion about what the more importantly, to reduce accidents within their jurisdiction and I think perhaps the best place to start there is with what you refer to as the three pillars. I think you their jurisdiction and I think perhaps the septace to start there is with what you implement them? No. Okay. So, what I want to get into really is sort of a general discussion about what the proof and that's what Mr. Wadden had mentioned. We looked at their jurisdiction and I think perhaps the set place to start there is with what you refer to as the three pillars. I think you the problem and enacting and putting in place some enforcement. Can you talk to us about those, what they mean and how you implement them? A. Sure. As a preamble to it, when I became sergeant in charge of our Traffic Services Division in 2014, November of 2014, I was tasked with the RNC has a corporate plan for the corporate plan from 2014 to 2017, as part of that corporate plan, our executive initiates top priorities or goals that we put in place every three years and for those three years, our number one goal for those three years, our number one goal that saked with putting together a plan of how when I was - when I moved into the sergeant's role in November of 2014, I was tasked with putting together a plan of how we could look at improving highway safety and ultimately, when we look at improving 20 mind team and the started putting things together, we came up with a plan that were working when I say the poble and objective was originated. It was in at the time, and the team that were working with me, but our general patrol officers weren't aware	12 13 14 15 16 17 M 18 Q 19 20 21 22 23 24 25			
as it relates to road safety. So, we meet on those as well. So, those are three committees in particular that I'm a part of as it relates to traffic work. MR. WADDEN: RNC does and what I want to get into really is sort of a general discussion about what the RNC does and what the RNC can do, perhaps more importantly, to reduce accidents within their jurisdiction and I think perhaps the best place to start there is with what you refer to as the three pillars. I think you refer to as the three pillars. I think you so about those, what they mean and how you implement them? MR. WADDEN: Best place to start there is with what you sabout those, what they mean and how you implement them? NR. Wadden had mentioned. We looked at educating the public, raising awareness to the problem and enacting and putting in place some enforcement initiatives to deal with those issues that we found were the main causes of these incidents that were Page 6 A. Sure. As a preamble to it, when I became sergeant in charge of our Traffic Services Division in 2014, November of 2014, I was tasked with the RNC has a corporate plan for the corporate plan, our executive initiates top priorities or goals that we for those three years, our number one goal for those three years our nu	13 14 15 16 17 M 18 Q 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	·		*
on those as well. So, those are three committees in particular that I'm a part of a sit relates to traffic work. MR. WADDEN: RNC does and what I want to get into really is sort of a general discussion about what the 20 RNC does and what the RNC can do, perhaps 21 more importantly, to reduce accidents within 22 their jurisdiction and I think perhaps the 23 best place to start there is with what you 24 refer to as the three pillars. I think you 25 referenced them as being education, Page 6 I awareness and enforcement. Can you talk to us about those, what they mean and how you implement them? NSPECTOR DIDHAM: NSPEC	14 15 16 17 M 18 Q 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
15 committees in particular that I'm a part of 16 as it relates to traffic work. 17 MR. WADDEN: 18 Q. Okay. So, what I want to get into really is 19 sort of a general discussion about what the 20 RNC does and what the RNC can do, perhaps 21 more importantly, to reduce accidents within 22 things together, we came up with a plan that 23 best place to start there is with what you 24 refer to as the three pillars. I think you 25 referenced them as being education, 26 referenced them as being education, 27 say about those, what they mean and how you 3 implement them? 4 INSPECTOR DIDHAM: 5 A. Sure. As a preamble to it, when I became 4 sergeant in charge of our Traffic Services 5 Division in 2014, November of 2014, I was 8 tasked with the RNC has a corporate plan 9 that's put in place every three years and 10 for the corporate plan, our executive 11 initiates top priorities or goals that we 12 and objective was to improve highway safety 13 and objective was to improve highway safety 14 tasked with putting together a plan of how 15 things together, we came up with a plan that we had to tackle this problem basically in a three-pronged approach and that's what Mr. 19 Wadden had mentioned. We looked at the veloucating the public, raising awareness to the problem and enacting and putting in place some enforcement initiatives to deal with those issues that we found were the main causes of these incidents that were 25 main causes of these incidents that were 26 INSPECTOR DIDHAM: 27 A. Yeah, that's great, and perhaps let's look at those three prongs for a moment. Give me some – educate – the education piece. 28 INSPECTOR DIDHAM: 29 Q. What does that mean? What would you guys be doing there? 30 INSPECTOR DIDHAM: 31 INSPECTOR DIDHAM: 32 Q. What does that mean? What would you guys be doing there? 33 INSPECTOR DIDHAM: 4 INSPECTOR DIDHAM: 4 INSPECTOR DIDHAM: 5 A. So, what we did there when we looked at the education, to be honest with you, we had to educate our own poplice officers that there was a problem. You know, our own m	15 16 17 M 18 Q 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	• •		
16 as it relates to traffic work. 17 MR. WADDEN: 18 Q. Okay. So, what I want to get into really is 19 sort of a general discussion about what the 20 RNC does and what the RNC can do, perhaps 21 more importantly, to reduce accidents within 22 their jurisdiction and I think perhaps the 23 best place to start there is with what you 24 refer to as the three pillars. I think you 25 referenced them as being education, 26 us about those, what they mean and how you 3 implement them? 4 INSPECTOR DIDHAM: 5 A. Sure. As a preamble to it, when I became 6 sergeant in charge of our Traffic Services 7 Division in 2014, November of 2014, I was 8 tasked with the RNC has a corporate plan 10 for the corporate plan from 2014 to 2017, as 11 part of that corporate plan, our executive 12 initiates top priorities or goals that we 13 put in place to direct our resources. And 14 for those three years, our number one goal 15 and objective was to improve highway safety 16 and so when I was - when I moved into the 17 sergeant's role in November of 2014, I was 18 tasked with putting together a plan of how 19 we could look at improving highway safety 20 and ultimately, when we look at improving 21 down with our team and we started putting things together, we came up with a plan that we had to tackle this problem basically in a three-pronged approach and that's what Mr. 20 Wadden had mentioned. We looked at 21 deducating the public, raising awareness to the problem and enacting and putting in place some enforcement initiatives to deal with those issues that we found were the main causes of these incidents that were 22 mand a deducation, or a moment. Give me some - educate - the education piece. 23 happening. 24 MR. WADDEN: 25 MR. WADDEN: 26 NRPECTOR DIDHAM: 27 Neah. 28 MR. WADDEN: 29 Neat does that mean? What would you guys be doing there? 30 What does that mean? What would you guys be doing there? 31 INSPECTOR DIDHAM: 32 Neah. 33 Neat and objective was to improve highway safety and so when I was - when I moved into the educate our own poli	16 17 M 18 Q 19 20 21 22 23 24 25			
17 MR. WADDEN: 18 Q. Okay. So, what I want to get into really is sort of a general discussion about what the 20 RNC does and what the RNC can do, perhaps 21 more importantly, to reduce accidents within 22 their jurisdiction and I think perhaps the 23 best place to start there is with what you 24 refer to as the three pillars. I think you 25 referenced them as being education, 25 referenced them as being education, 26 main causes of these incidents that were 27 main causes of these incidents that were 28 main causes of these incidents that were 29 main causes of these incidents that were 30 main causes of these incidents that were 31 may 10 main and 10 main and 10 main and 10 for the corporate plan from 2014 to 2017, as 11 part of that corporate plan, our executive 12 initiates top priorities or goals that we 13 put in place to direct our resources. And 14 for those three years, our number one goal 15 and objective was to improve highway safety 16 may 20 and ultimately, when we look at improving 19 we could look at improving highway safety 20 and ultimately, when we look at improving 20 some of our traffic officers weren't aware 20 the perblem and enacting and putting in there pronged approach and that's what Mr. Wadden had mentioned. We looked at the three-pronged approach and that's what Mr. Wadden had mentioned. We looked at the educating the public, raising awareness to the problem and enacting and putting in place some enforcement initiatives to deal with those issues that we found were the main causes of these incidents with those issues that we found were the main causes of these incidents where with those issues that we found were the main causes of these incidents where the main causes of these incidents where the main causes of these incidents with those issues that we found were the main causes of these incidents where incidents had there were ducate and plant hat three-pronged approach and that's what Mr. Wadden had mentioned. We looked at the education, the first pice of the problem and enacting and	17 M 18 Q 19 20 21 22 23 24 25			
RNC does and what the RNC can do, perhaps more importantly, to reduce accidents within their jurisdiction and I think perhaps the best place to start there is with what you refer to as the three pillars. I think you should be three pillars. I think you should be three pillars. I think you should be three pillars. I think you should those, what they mean and how you implement them? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Sure. As a preamble to it, when I became sergeant in charge of our Traffic Services Division in 2014, November of 2014, I was tasked with the RNC has a corporate plan for the corporate plan from 2014 to 2017, as part of that corporate plan for both that's put in place to direct our resources. And for those three years, our number one goal for those three years, our number one goal and objective was to improve highway safety and so when I was - when I moved into the sergeant's role in November of 2014, I was tasked with putting together a plan of how we could look at improving highway safety and ultimately, when we look at improving Is we had to tackle this problem basically in a three-pronged approach and that's what Mr. Wadden had mentioned. We looked at what three-pronged approach and that's what Mr. Wadden had mentioned. We looked at there-pronged approach and that's what Mr. Wadden had mentioned. We looked at there-pronged approach and that's what Mr. Wadden had mentioned. We looked at there-pronged approach and that's what Mr. Wadden had mentioned. We looked at the educating the public, raising awareness to the problem and enacting and putting in place some enforcement initiatives to deal with those issues that we found were the main causes of these incidents that were Rage 6 MR. WADDEN: NSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Yeah. MR. WADDEN: NSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Yeah. MR. WADDEN: NSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. So, what we did there when we looked at the education piece, the first piece of education, to be honest with you, we had to education, to be honest with you, we had to education, to be honest with you, we had to ed	18 Q 19 20 21 22 23 24 25			
19 sort of a general discussion about what the 20 RNC does and what the RNC can do, perhaps 21 more importantly, to reduce accidents within 22 their jurisdiction and I think perhaps the 23 best place to start there is with what you 24 refer to as the three pillars. I think you 25 referenced them as being education, 26 main causes of these incidents that were 27 main causes of these incidents that were 28 main causes of these incidents that were 29 main causes of these incidents that were 20 main causes of these incidents that were 20 main causes of these incidents that were 21 main causes of these incidents that were 22 main causes of these incidents that were 22 main causes of these incidents that were 23 main causes of these incidents that were 24 with those issues that we found were the 25 main causes of these incidents that were 24 with those issues that we found were the 25 main causes of these incidents that were 24 with those issues that we found were the 25 main causes of these incidents that were 24 with those issues that we found were the 25 main causes of these incidents that were 25 main causes of these incidents that were 26 main causes of these incidents that were 27 main causes of these incidents that were 28 main causes of these incidents that were 29 main causes of these incidents that were 20 main causes of these incidents that were 29 main causes of these incidents that were 20 main cause	19 20 21 22 23 24 25			
RNC does and what the RNC can do, perhaps more importantly, to reduce accidents within their jurisdiction and I think perhaps the their jurisdiction and I think perhaps the best place to start there is with what you refer to as the three pillars. I think you referenced them as being education, Page 6 awareness and enforcement. Can you talk to us about those, what they mean and how you implement them? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Sure. As a preamble to it, when I became sergeant in charge of our Traffic Services Division in 2014, November of 2014, I was tasked with the RNC has a corporate plan that's put in place every three years and for the corporate plan, our executive initiates top priorities or goals that we for those three years, our number one goal for those three years, our number one goal and objective was to improve highway safety sergeant's role in November of 2014, I was tasked with putting together a plan of how we could look at improving highway safety and and ultimately, when we look at improving and ultimately by when we look at improving Wadden had mentioned. We looked at educating the public, raising awareness to the protains and educating the public, raising awareness to the problem and enacting and putting in place some enforcement initiatives to deal with those issues that we found were the main causes of these incidents that we foal with those issues tha	20 21 22 23 24 25			
21 more importantly, to reduce accidents within their jurisdiction and I think perhaps the 22 their jurisdiction and I think perhaps the 23 best place to start there is with what you 24 refer to as the three pillars. I think you 25 referenced them as being education, 25 main causes of these incidents that were 26 main causes of these incidents that were 27 main causes of these incidents that were 28 main causes of these incidents that were 29 main causes of these incidents that were 20 main causes of these incidents that were 21 main causes of these incidents that were 21 main causes of these incidents that were 22 main causes of these incidents that were 22 main causes of these incidents that were 23 main causes of these incidents that were 24 main causes of these incidents that were 25 main causes of these incidents that were 24 main causes of these incidents that were 25 main causes of these incidents that were 24 main causes of	21 22 23 24 25			
their jurisdiction and I think perhaps the best place to start there is with what you refer to as the three pillars. I think you refer to as the three pillars. I think you referenced them as being education, Page 6 awareness and enforcement. Can you talk to us about those, what they mean and how you implement them? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Sure. As a preamble to it, when I became sergeant in charge of our Traffic Services Division in 2014, November of 2014, I was tasked with the RNC has a corporate plan for the corporate plan from 2014 to 2017, as put in place every three years and for those three years, our number one goal and objective was to improve highway safety 16 and so when I was - when I moved into the regearly sould look at improving and putting in place some enforcement initiatives to deal with those issues that we found were the main causes of these incidents that were m	22 23 24 25	· 1 1		
best place to start there is with what you refer to as the three pillars. I think you refer to as the three pillars. I think you refer to as the three pillars. I think you referenced them as being education, Page 6 awareness and enforcement. Can you talk to us about those, what they mean and how you implement them? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Sure. As a preamble to it, when I became sergeant in charge of our Traffic Services Division in 2014, November of 2014, I was tasked with the RNC has a corporate plan for the corporate plan from 2014 to 2017, as part of that corporate plan nour executive initiates top priorities or goals that we referenced them as being education, Page 6 A. Sure. As a preamble to it, when I became sergeant in charge of our Traffic Services Division in 2014, November of 2014, I was tasked with the RNC has a corporate plan part of that corporate plan, our executive initiates top priorities or goals that we referenced them as being education, Bay WADDEN: Referenced them as being education, A. Sure. As a preamble to it, when I became sergeant in charge of our Traffic Services Referenced them as being education, Referenced them as being education with those issues that we found were the main causes of these incidents that were expages and happening. Referenced them as being education, on the education piece. Referenced them as being education piece. Referenced them as being education piece. Referenced them as being education piece. Reference deucate - the education piece. Reference the main causes of these incidents that were ownest look at those three prongs for a moment. Give me some - educate - the education piece. Reference the main causes of these incidents that were ownest look at th	23 24 25			
refer to as the three pillars. I think you referenced them as being education, Page 6 awareness and enforcement. Can you talk to us about those, what they mean and how you implement them? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Sure. As a preamble to it, when I became sergeant in charge of our Traffic Services Division in 2014, November of 2014, I was tasked with the RNC has a corporate plan of for the corporate plan for the corporate plan, our executive initiates top priorities or goals that we put in place to direct our resources. And for those three years, our number one goal in and so when I was – when I moved into the regeant's role in November of 2014, I was tasked with putting together a plan of how we could look at improving lighway safety and ultimately, when we look at improving and unital tasked with putting together a plan of how are referenced them as being education, and with those issues that we main causes of these incidents that were main causes of these incidents that were hapan in an incauses of these incidents that were hapan happening. Page 8 happening. AR. WADDEN: NR. WADDEN: A. Sure. As a preame and perhaps let's look at those three prongs for a moment. Give me some – educate – the education piece. NR. WADDEN: NR. WADDEN: NR. WADDEN: NR. WADDEN: A. Sure. As a prolar the education piece. NR. WADDEN: NR. WADDEN: NR. WADDEN: NR. WADDEN: A. Sure. As a prolar the education piece. NR. WADDEN: A. Sure. As a prolar the education piece. NR. WADDEN: A. Sure. As a prolar the education piece. NR. WADDEN: NR. WADDEN: NR. WADDEN: A. Sure. As a prolar the education piece. NR. WADDEN: NR. WADDEN: NR. WADDEN: NR. WADDE	24 25			
25 referenced them as being education, Page 6 Page 6 Page 8 Page 8 Page 8 Page 8 Page 8 Page 19 Page 10	25			*
Page 6 1 awareness and enforcement. Can you talk to 2 us about those, what they mean and how you 3 implement them? 4 INSPECTOR DIDHAM: 5 A. Sure. As a preamble to it, when I became 6 sergeant in charge of our Traffic Services 7 Division in 2014, November of 2014, I was 8 tasked with the RNC has a corporate plan 9 that's put in place every three years and 10 for the corporate plan from 2014 to 2017, as 11 part of that corporate plan, our executive 12 initiates top priorities or goals that we 13 put in place to direct our resources. And 14 for those three years, our number one goal 15 and objective was to improve highway safety 16 and so when I was – when I moved into the 17 sergeant's role in November of 2014, I was 18 tasked with putting together a plan of how 19 we could look at improving highway safety 20 and ultimately, when we look at improving Page 8 RR. WADDEN: 1 happening. 2 MR. WADDEN: 3 Q. Yeah, that's great, and perhaps let's look at those three prongs for a moment. Give me some – educate – the education piece. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: 4 A. Yeah. 5 A. So, what does that mean? What would you guys be doing there? 5 INSPECTOR DIDHAM: 6 INSPECTOR DIDHAM: 7 A. So, what we did there when we looked at the education piece, the first piece of education, to be honest with you, we had to educate our own police officers that there 6 was a problem. You know, our own members – 1 I saw it, being in the role that I was in at the time, and the team that were working 8 with me, but our general patrol officers and some of our traffic officers weren't aware				
1 awareness and enforcement. Can you talk to 2 us about those, what they mean and how you 3 implement them? 4 INSPECTOR DIDHAM: 5 A. Sure. As a preamble to it, when I became 6 sergeant in charge of our Traffic Services 7 Division in 2014, November of 2014, I was 8 tasked with the RNC has a corporate plan 9 that's put in place every three years and 10 for the corporate plan from 2014 to 2017, as 11 part of that corporate plan our executive 12 initiates top priorities or goals that we 13 put in place to direct our resources. And 14 for those three years and 16 for the corporate plan from 2014 to 2017, as 17 part of that corporate plan, our executive 18 put in place to direct our resources. And 19 for those three years and 10 for the corporate plan from 2014 to 2017, as 11 part of that corporate plan our executive 12 initiates top priorities or goals that we 13 put in place to direct our resources. And 14 for those three years and 15 education piece. 16 WR. WADDEN: 17 NSPECTOR DIDHAM: 18 WR. WADDEN: 19 What does that mean? What would you guys be doing there? 10 doing there? 11 INSPECTOR DIDHAM: 12 NSPECTOR DIDHAM: 13 Put in place to direct our resources. And 14 for those three years and 15 education piece. 16 NR. WADDEN: 17 NSPECTOR DIDHAM: 18 A. So, what we did there when we looked at the education piece, the first piece of education, to be honest with you, we had to educate our own police officers that there 16 was a problem. You know, our own members – 17 sergeant's role in November of 2014, I was 18 tasked with putting together a plan of how 19 we could look at improving highway safety 20 and ultimately, when we look at improving 20 with me, but our general patrol officers and some of our traffic officers weren't aware		<u> </u>	23	
us about those, what they mean and how you implement them? MR. WADDEN: Q. Yeah, that's great, and perhaps let's look at those three prongs for a moment. Give me some – educate – the education piece. NSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Sure. As a preamble to it, when I became sergeant in charge of our Traffic Services Division in 2014, November of 2014, I was tasked with — the RNC has a corporate plan for that's put in place every three years and for the corporate plan from 2014 to 2017, as part of that corporate plan, our executive initiates top priorities or goals that we initiates top priorities or goals that we put in place to direct our resources. And for those three years, our number one goal and objective was to improve highway safety and so when I was – when I moved into the sergeant's role in November of 2014, I was tasked with putting together a plan of how we could look at improving highway safety and ultimately, when we look at improving and ultimately, when we look at improving and ultimately, when we look at improving and how you implement them? MR. WADDEN: NSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Yeah. MR. WADDEN: NSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Yeah. November of 2014, I was to doing there? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Sure. The education piece. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Sure. As a preamble to it, when I became some – educate – the education piece. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Yeah. A. So, what we did there when we looked at the education, to be honest with you, we had to educate our own police officers that there was a problem. You know, our own members – I saw it, being in the role that I was in at the time, and the team that were working with me, but our general patrol officers and some of our traffic officers weren't aware	1 1			
implement them? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Sure. As a preamble to it, when I became sergeant in charge of our Traffic Services Division in 2014, November of 2014, I was tasked with the RNC has a corporate plan for the corporate plan from 2014 to 2017, as part of that corporate plan, our executive initiates top priorities or goals that we put in place to direct our resources. And for those three years, our number one goal for those three years, our number one goal and objective was to improve highway safety and so when I was – when I moved into the sergeant's role in November of 2014, I was tasked with putting together a plan of how and ultimately, when we look at improving and ultimately, when we look at improving at the time, and the team that were working with me, but our general patrol officers and some of our traffic officers weren't aware	1			
4 INSPECTOR DIDHAM: 5 A. Sure. As a preamble to it, when I became 6 sergeant in charge of our Traffic Services 7 Division in 2014, November of 2014, I was 8 tasked with the RNC has a corporate plan 9 that's put in place every three years and 10 for the corporate plan from 2014 to 2017, as 11 part of that corporate plan, our executive 12 initiates top priorities or goals that we 13 put in place to direct our resources. And 14 for those three years, our number one goal 15 and objective was to improve highway safety 16 and so when I was – when I moved into the 17 sergeant's role in November of 2014, I was 18 tasked with putting together a plan of how 19 we could look at improving highway safety 20 and ultimately, when we look at improving 20 at those three prongs for a moment. Give me some – educate – the education piece. 1NSPECTOR DIDHAM: 2 A. Yeah. 3 MR. WADDEN: 3 Q. What does that mean? What would you guys be doing there? 11 INSPECTOR DIDHAM: 12 A. So, what we did there when we looked at the education, to be honest with you, we had to educate our own police officers that there was a problem. You know, our own members – I saw it, being in the role that I was in at the time, and the team that were working with me, but our general patrol officers and some of our traffic officers weren't aware				
5 A. Sure. As a preamble to it, when I became sergeant in charge of our Traffic Services 7 Division in 2014, November of 2014, I was 8 tasked with the RNC has a corporate plan 9 that's put in place every three years and 10 for the corporate plan from 2014 to 2017, as 11 part of that corporate plan, our executive 12 initiates top priorities or goals that we 13 put in place to direct our resources. And 14 for those three years, our number one goal 15 and objective was to improve highway safety 16 and so when I was – when I moved into the 17 sergeant's role in November of 2014, I was 18 tasked with putting together a plan of how 19 we could look at improving highway safety 20 and ultimately, when we look at improving 2014 in place to direct our resources. And 18 tasked with putting together a plan of how 20 and ultimately, when we look at improving 20 some of our traffic officers weren't aware				
6 sergeant in charge of our Traffic Services 7 Division in 2014, November of 2014, I was 8 tasked with the RNC has a corporate plan 9 that's put in place every three years and 10 for the corporate plan from 2014 to 2017, as 11 part of that corporate plan, our executive 12 initiates top priorities or goals that we 13 put in place to direct our resources. And 14 for those three years, our number one goal 15 and objective was to improve highway safety 16 and so when I was – when I moved into the 17 sergeant's role in November of 2014, I was 18 tasked with putting together a plan of how 19 we could look at improving highway safety 20 and ultimately, when we look at improving 6 INSPECTOR DIDHAM: 7 A. Yeah. 8 MR. WADDEN: 9 Q. What does that mean? What would you guys be doing there? 11 INSPECTOR DIDHAM: 12 A. So, what we did there when we looked at the education, to be honest with you, we had to educate our own police officers that there 13 was a problem. You know, our own members – 15 I saw it, being in the role that I was in at the time, and the team that were working with me, but our general patrol officers and some of our traffic officers weren't aware	1			
Division in 2014, November of 2014, I was tasked with the RNC has a corporate plan that's put in place every three years and for the corporate plan from 2014 to 2017, as part of that corporate plan, our executive initiates top priorities or goals that we put in place to direct our resources. And for those three years, our number one goal and objective was to improve highway safety and so when I was – when I moved into the sergeant's role in November of 2014, I was tasked with putting together a plan of how we could look at improving highway safety and ultimately, when we look at improving 20 Division in 2014, I was tasked with the RNC has a corporate plan of 2014, I was tasked with the RNC has a corporate plan of 2014, I was tasked with putting together a plan of how and ultimately, when we look at improving 20 A. Yeah. MR. WADDEN: In INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. So, what we did there when we looked at the education piece, the first piece of educate our own police officers that there was a problem. You know, our own members — I saw it, being in the role that I was in at the time, and the team that were working with me, but our general patrol officers and some of our traffic officers weren't aware	1	* · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
tasked with the RNC has a corporate plan that's put in place every three years and for the corporate plan from 2014 to 2017, as part of that corporate plan, our executive initiates top priorities or goals that we put in place to direct our resources. And for those three years, our number one goal and objective was to improve highway safety and so when I was – when I moved into the sergeant's role in November of 2014, I was tasked with putting together a plan of how we could look at improving lighway safety and ultimately, when we look at improving 20 **MR. WADDEN: 9 Q. What does that mean? What would you guys be doing there? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. So, what we did there when we looked at the education piece, the first piece of education, to be honest with you, we had to educate our own police officers that there was a problem. You know, our own members – I saw it, being in the role that I was in at the time, and the team that were working with me, but our general patrol officers and some of our traffic officers weren't aware	6		0	
that's put in place every three years and for the corporate plan from 2014 to 2017, as part of that corporate plan, our executive initiates top priorities or goals that we put in place to direct our resources. And for those three years, our number one goal and objective was to improve highway safety and so when I was – when I moved into the sergeant's role in November of 2014, I was tasked with putting together a plan of how we could look at improving highway safety and ultimately, when we look at improving and ultimately, when we look at improving 20. What does that mean? What would you guys be doing there? 10	/		/	
for the corporate plan from 2014 to 2017, as part of that corporate plan, our executive initiates top priorities or goals that we put in place to direct our resources. And for those three years, our number one goal and objective was to improve highway safety and so when I was – when I moved into the sergeant's role in November of 2014, I was sergeant's role in November of 2014, I was we could look at improving highway safety and ultimately, when we look at improving doing there? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. So, what we did there when we looked at the education piece, the first piece of education, to be honest with you, we had to educate our own police officers that there was a problem. You know, our own members – I saw it, being in the role that I was in at the time, and the team that were working with me, but our general patrol officers and some of our traffic officers weren't aware	1		-	
part of that corporate plan, our executive initiates top priorities or goals that we put in place to direct our resources. And for those three years, our number one goal and objective was to improve highway safety and so when I was – when I moved into the sergeant's role in November of 2014, I was tasked with putting together a plan of how we could look at improving highway safety and ultimately, when we look at improving 11 INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. So, what we did there when we looked at the education, to be honest with you, we had to educate our own police officers that there was a problem. You know, our own members – I saw it, being in the role that I was in at the time, and the team that were working with me, but our general patrol officers and some of our traffic officers weren't aware	1	1 1 , ,		•
initiates top priorities or goals that we put in place to direct our resources. And for those three years, our number one goal and objective was to improve highway safety and so when I was – when I moved into the sergeant's role in November of 2014, I was tasked with putting together a plan of how we could look at improving highway safety and ultimately, when we look at improving 12 A. So, what we did there when we looked at the education piece, the first piece of education, to be honest with you, we had to educate our own police officers that there was a problem. You know, our own members – I saw it, being in the role that I was in at the time, and the team that were working with me, but our general patrol officers and some of our traffic officers weren't aware	1	* *		<u>C</u>
put in place to direct our resources. And for those three years, our number one goal and objective was to improve highway safety and so when I was – when I moved into the sergeant's role in November of 2014, I was tasked with putting together a plan of how we could look at improving highway safety and ultimately, when we look at improving 20 with me, but our general patrol officers weren't aware	1			
for those three years, our number one goal and objective was to improve highway safety and so when I was – when I moved into the sergeant's role in November of 2014, I was tasked with putting together a plan of how we could look at improving highway safety and ultimately, when we look at improving 20 we could look at improving 20 and ultimately, when we look at improving 21 deducation, to be honest with you, we had to educate our own police officers that there was a problem. You know, our own members – I saw it, being in the role that I was in at the time, and the team that were working with me, but our general patrol officers and some of our traffic officers weren't aware	1	1 1		· ·
and objective was to improve highway safety and so when I was – when I moved into the sergeant's role in November of 2014, I was tasked with putting together a plan of how we could look at improving highway safety and ultimately, when we look at improving to we could look at improving and ultimately, when we look at improving to educate our own police officers that there was a problem. You know, our own members – I saw it, being in the role that I was in at the time, and the team that were working with me, but our general patrol officers and some of our traffic officers weren't aware	1			1 .
and so when I was – when I moved into the sergeant's role in November of 2014, I was tasked with putting together a plan of how we could look at improving highway safety and ultimately, when we look at improving 20 was a problem. You know, our own members – I saw it, being in the role that I was in at the time, and the team that were working with me, but our general patrol officers and some of our traffic officers weren't aware				
sergeant's role in November of 2014, I was tasked with putting together a plan of how we could look at improving highway safety and ultimately, when we look at improving 20 I saw it, being in the role that I was in at the time, and the team that were working with me, but our general patrol officers and some of our traffic officers weren't aware	1			•
tasked with putting together a plan of how we could look at improving highway safety and ultimately, when we look at improving 20 the time, and the team that were working with me, but our general patrol officers and some of our traffic officers weren't aware				-
we could look at improving highway safety and ultimately, when we look at improving 20 with me, but our general patrol officers and some of our traffic officers weren't aware	1			
and ultimately, when we look at improving 20 some of our traffic officers weren't aware	1			
	1			
The contract of the contract o		•		
highway safety, one of the measures that we 21 that there was such a significant problem	1	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		• 1
22 can use or one of the pieces, you know, for 22 with the amount of collisions and the				
23 lack of a better term, a measuring stick 23 severity of the collisions that were	1		1 22	. 0.1 111 1 .1
that we can use is to see if we can reduce 24 happening within our jurisdictions and	1	·		•
the number of collisions that are happening 25 throughout the province. So, educating our	25	that we can use is to see if we can reduce	24	happening within our jurisdictions and

25

Page 9 Page 11 that I had spoken of regarding the education own officers regarding that fact. 1 1 2 As well, we wanted to educate the 2 and awareness piece. 3 3 public, you know, in the fact that you know And you know, as well, we brought 4 what there's a number of things that were 4 forward some issues about how all of these 5 going on that were problematic to us that we 5 incidents are affecting our own members as 6 were identifying as issues as it related to 6 well. Because, you know, I can speak 7 the safe movement of vehicles and 7 personally and say that it's very – it's one 8 pedestrians in the province. And so, you 8 thing to talk about it, the issues as it's 9 9 know, how that was done, again, we met with affecting the public because this is a huge issue obviously because we're all here for 10 our – I met with our patrol officers. We 10 also set up meetings and we partnered with a that, but it's also an issue personally and 11 11 12 number of community organizations, town 12 for our own officers because it's very 13 councils, city councils, public interest 13 difficult when we see these numbers 14 groups to bring that education piece to them 14 continuing to increase, the severity of the 15 and education and awareness sort of goes 15 collisions increasing and the effects that hand in hand and they're almost synonymous it's having on our own officers. It was 16 16 17 when we're talking about this topic here devastating to them as well. So, trying to 17 18 because, you know, it's hard to talk about 18 engage our people to keep them active in 19 this type of work was becoming problematic just education without bringing the 19 20 awareness part of it. 20 for us as well. 21 So, that's – when we were meeting with 21 MR. WADDEN: 22 22 these groups, we wanted to educate them on Okay. So that gives us a good picture of 23 the problem, make them aware of the the education/awareness piece and I 23 24 initiatives that we were putting in place, 24 understand – appreciate what you're saying 25 make them aware of the issues that we were 25 about the campaign you did with CBC. So Page 10 Page 12 1 having internally as it relates to 1 that's ongoing? 2 resources. You know, we're not a body that 2 INSPECTOR DIDHAM: 3 we have unlimited resources that we could 3 It is. It's still ongoing and you know, 4 just throw at a problem and hopefully it 4 there are times that come up that we may 5 5 gets fixed. have a project or something specific that we 6 MR. WADDEN: 6 want to raise awareness or bring education 7 7 Right. to the public on and we'll contact one of 8 INSPECTOR DIDHAM: 8 the media outlets or all of the media 9 So, we needed their input and we needed to 9 outlets actually and I mean, they've been 10 partner with those groups and basically 10 great to work with as well because, you drill down to see what the main problems 11 11 know, of course, it's a big issue for 12 are. In addition to that, we put in place a 12 everybody within the province and we get strong and very active media and social good strong messaging and good partnerships 13 13 14 media campaign. As part of that, we 14 with those groups on that. 15 partnered with a number of social media 15 (1:45 p.m.)16 advisory groups, conventional media outlets 16 MR. WADDEN: 17 such as NTV, CBC and VOCM and groups like 17 Okay. The third pillar and from what I Q. 18 that. So, numerous times, you know, either 18 gather from speaking to you, perhaps the myself or someone of the RNC that works in 19 19 most important pillar is enforcement. And 20 my team, we would have – we would appear on 20 we'll flush this out as we go along, but can 21 Open Line or Cross Talk. And we also put in 21 you just start by, even though it may seem 22 place, we worked with CBC on a very large 22 obvious on its face, explaining to us what 23 program called Driven and that's currently 23 you mean when you say enforcement? 24 still an active program that we have with 24 **INSPECTOR DIDHAM:**

25

CBC and that basically identified the issues

Well, we can talk about education and

1			
1	Page 13		Page 15
	awareness and we can – and again, that's	1	here. We're just putting it up sort of for
2	very important, you know, that the public	2	everybody's information. Page nine sort of
3	and our own officers are aware of the issues	3	discusses various measures that you intended
4	that are going on. But one thing we did see	4	at the time this document was created to put
5	as part of this three-pronged approach was	5	in place to reduce accidents on the roads,
6	that the enforcement side is our most	6	most of which align with what we just
7	effective deterrent to improper and unsafe	7	discussed, the education, the awareness and
8	driving habits and you know, I think it's	8	the enforcement.
9	fair to say that, you know, if we upped our	9	One of the things we talked about, and
10	enforcement efforts or if we put more	10	I think it's mentioned there in the
11	strategic enforcement initiatives in place	11	document, is high visibility and selective
12	to deal with a specific issue. For	12	enforcement. Can you talk to me a little
13	instance, you know, if we had a speeding	13	bit about those concepts and why they're
14	issue on the Outer Ring Road early in the	14	effective?
15	morning, you know, if any of us are driving	15	INSPECTOR DIDHAM:
16	in there and we're speeding, we're caught	16	A. Sure. And that's basically what I was
17	speeding in the morning and get a ticket in	17	referring to earlier, you know.
18	a certain place, quite likely we're not	18	MR. WADDEN:
19	going to do the same thing tomorrow. So, it	19	Q. Yes.
20	acts as a very good deterrent for us. And	20	INSPECTOR DIDHAM:
21	that's the approach we took on that.	21	A. In any form of policing, and of course,
22	It's not – it wasn't to be heavy-	22	we're limited to the resources that we can
23	handed, but we were seeing that, you know,	23	put at any particular problem. As it
24	as it relates to the enforcement side of	24	relates to improving highway safety, that's
25	things, we looked at the four big killers on	25	also a fact for that. So, when it comes to
	Page 14		Page 16
1	our highway, which is what we refer to as,	1	the high visibility, we wanted to be in high
2	1 41 6 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
	you know, the four big killers and those	2	problem areas. You know, having our cars
3	four being impaired driving, non-compliance	2 3	problem areas. You know, having our cars fully marked; being out there, being visible
3 4	four being impaired driving, non-compliance with seatbelt, speeding or aggressive	2 3 4	problem areas. You know, having our cars fully marked; being out there, being visible for the public to act as a deterrent and to
3 4 5	four being impaired driving, non-compliance with seatbelt, speeding or aggressive driving and distracted driving. And again,	2 3 4 5	problem areas. You know, having our cars fully marked; being out there, being visible for the public to act as a deterrent and to let people know that we're out there to look
3 4 5 6	four being impaired driving, non-compliance with seatbelt, speeding or aggressive driving and distracted driving. And again, because we're not – or we don't have huge	2 3 4 5 6	problem areas. You know, having our cars fully marked; being out there, being visible for the public to act as a deterrent and to let people know that we're out there to look after the issues that we were seeing with
3 4 5 6 7	four being impaired driving, non-compliance with seatbelt, speeding or aggressive driving and distracted driving. And again, because we're not – or we don't have huge abundance of resources to tackle problems,	2 3 4 5 6 7	problem areas. You know, having our cars fully marked; being out there, being visible for the public to act as a deterrent and to let people know that we're out there to look after the issues that we were seeing with the safety on our highways. So, you know,
3 4 5 6 7 8	four being impaired driving, non-compliance with seatbelt, speeding or aggressive driving and distracted driving. And again, because we're not – or we don't have huge abundance of resources to tackle problems, we had to be very strategic as how we put	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	problem areas. You know, having our cars fully marked; being out there, being visible for the public to act as a deterrent and to let people know that we're out there to look after the issues that we were seeing with the safety on our highways. So, you know, that's the aspect that we wanted to do
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	four being impaired driving, non-compliance with seatbelt, speeding or aggressive driving and distracted driving. And again, because we're not – or we don't have huge abundance of resources to tackle problems, we had to be very strategic as how we put out our resources to deal with these issues.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	problem areas. You know, having our cars fully marked; being out there, being visible for the public to act as a deterrent and to let people know that we're out there to look after the issues that we were seeing with the safety on our highways. So, you know, that's the aspect that we wanted to do there. As it relates to the strategic
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	four being impaired driving, non-compliance with seatbelt, speeding or aggressive driving and distracted driving. And again, because we're not – or we don't have huge abundance of resources to tackle problems, we had to be very strategic as how we put out our resources to deal with these issues. So, what I asked our members to do over	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	problem areas. You know, having our cars fully marked; being out there, being visible for the public to act as a deterrent and to let people know that we're out there to look after the issues that we were seeing with the safety on our highways. So, you know, that's the aspect that we wanted to do there. As it relates to the strategic enforcement part of things, I believe that's
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	four being impaired driving, non-compliance with seatbelt, speeding or aggressive driving and distracted driving. And again, because we're not – or we don't have huge abundance of resources to tackle problems, we had to be very strategic as how we put out our resources to deal with these issues. So, what I asked our members to do over the last three and a half years was if we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	problem areas. You know, having our cars fully marked; being out there, being visible for the public to act as a deterrent and to let people know that we're out there to look after the issues that we were seeing with the safety on our highways. So, you know, that's the aspect that we wanted to do there. As it relates to the strategic enforcement part of things, I believe that's how you referred to it?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	four being impaired driving, non-compliance with seatbelt, speeding or aggressive driving and distracted driving. And again, because we're not – or we don't have huge abundance of resources to tackle problems, we had to be very strategic as how we put out our resources to deal with these issues. So, what I asked our members to do over the last three and a half years was if we went out and we focused on those initiatives	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	problem areas. You know, having our cars fully marked; being out there, being visible for the public to act as a deterrent and to let people know that we're out there to look after the issues that we were seeing with the safety on our highways. So, you know, that's the aspect that we wanted to do there. As it relates to the strategic enforcement part of things, I believe that's how you referred to it? MR. WADDEN:
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	four being impaired driving, non-compliance with seatbelt, speeding or aggressive driving and distracted driving. And again, because we're not – or we don't have huge abundance of resources to tackle problems, we had to be very strategic as how we put out our resources to deal with these issues. So, what I asked our members to do over the last three and a half years was if we went out and we focused on those initiatives primarily, you know, and there were other	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	problem areas. You know, having our cars fully marked; being out there, being visible for the public to act as a deterrent and to let people know that we're out there to look after the issues that we were seeing with the safety on our highways. So, you know, that's the aspect that we wanted to do there. As it relates to the strategic enforcement part of things, I believe that's how you referred to it? MR. WADDEN: Q. I think I said selective enforcement.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	four being impaired driving, non-compliance with seatbelt, speeding or aggressive driving and distracted driving. And again, because we're not – or we don't have huge abundance of resources to tackle problems, we had to be very strategic as how we put out our resources to deal with these issues. So, what I asked our members to do over the last three and a half years was if we went out and we focused on those initiatives primarily, you know, and there were other things that we would look at as well, but	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	problem areas. You know, having our cars fully marked; being out there, being visible for the public to act as a deterrent and to let people know that we're out there to look after the issues that we were seeing with the safety on our highways. So, you know, that's the aspect that we wanted to do there. As it relates to the strategic enforcement part of things, I believe that's how you referred to it? MR. WADDEN: Q. I think I said selective enforcement. INSPECTOR DIDHAM:
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	four being impaired driving, non-compliance with seatbelt, speeding or aggressive driving and distracted driving. And again, because we're not – or we don't have huge abundance of resources to tackle problems, we had to be very strategic as how we put out our resources to deal with these issues. So, what I asked our members to do over the last three and a half years was if we went out and we focused on those initiatives primarily, you know, and there were other things that we would look at as well, but primarily if we just focus on those four	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	problem areas. You know, having our cars fully marked; being out there, being visible for the public to act as a deterrent and to let people know that we're out there to look after the issues that we were seeing with the safety on our highways. So, you know, that's the aspect that we wanted to do there. As it relates to the strategic enforcement part of things, I believe that's how you referred to it? MR. WADDEN: Q. I think I said selective enforcement. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Selective, okay, yeah. Selective
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	four being impaired driving, non-compliance with seatbelt, speeding or aggressive driving and distracted driving. And again, because we're not – or we don't have huge abundance of resources to tackle problems, we had to be very strategic as how we put out our resources to deal with these issues. So, what I asked our members to do over the last three and a half years was if we went out and we focused on those initiatives primarily, you know, and there were other things that we would look at as well, but primarily if we just focus on those four enforcement initiatives to see what kind of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	problem areas. You know, having our cars fully marked; being out there, being visible for the public to act as a deterrent and to let people know that we're out there to look after the issues that we were seeing with the safety on our highways. So, you know, that's the aspect that we wanted to do there. As it relates to the strategic enforcement part of things, I believe that's how you referred to it? MR. WADDEN: Q. I think I said selective enforcement. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Selective, okay, yeah. Selective enforcement is not a word or a term that I
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	four being impaired driving, non-compliance with seatbelt, speeding or aggressive driving and distracted driving. And again, because we're not – or we don't have huge abundance of resources to tackle problems, we had to be very strategic as how we put out our resources to deal with these issues. So, what I asked our members to do over the last three and a half years was if we went out and we focused on those initiatives primarily, you know, and there were other things that we would look at as well, but primarily if we just focus on those four enforcement initiatives to see what kind of a reaction and what kind of a deterrent that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	problem areas. You know, having our cars fully marked; being out there, being visible for the public to act as a deterrent and to let people know that we're out there to look after the issues that we were seeing with the safety on our highways. So, you know, that's the aspect that we wanted to do there. As it relates to the strategic enforcement part of things, I believe that's how you referred to it? MR. WADDEN: Q. I think I said selective enforcement. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Selective, okay, yeah. Selective enforcement is not a word or a term that I would use all the time, but strategic is one
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	four being impaired driving, non-compliance with seatbelt, speeding or aggressive driving and distracted driving. And again, because we're not – or we don't have huge abundance of resources to tackle problems, we had to be very strategic as how we put out our resources to deal with these issues. So, what I asked our members to do over the last three and a half years was if we went out and we focused on those initiatives primarily, you know, and there were other things that we would look at as well, but primarily if we just focus on those four enforcement initiatives to see what kind of a reaction and what kind of a deterrent that would be to those unsafe and improper	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	problem areas. You know, having our cars fully marked; being out there, being visible for the public to act as a deterrent and to let people know that we're out there to look after the issues that we were seeing with the safety on our highways. So, you know, that's the aspect that we wanted to do there. As it relates to the strategic enforcement part of things, I believe that's how you referred to it? MR. WADDEN: Q. I think I said selective enforcement. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Selective, okay, yeah. Selective enforcement is not a word or a term that I would use all the time, but strategic is one that I would look at.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	four being impaired driving, non-compliance with seatbelt, speeding or aggressive driving and distracted driving. And again, because we're not – or we don't have huge abundance of resources to tackle problems, we had to be very strategic as how we put out our resources to deal with these issues. So, what I asked our members to do over the last three and a half years was if we went out and we focused on those initiatives primarily, you know, and there were other things that we would look at as well, but primarily if we just focus on those four enforcement initiatives to see what kind of a reaction and what kind of a deterrent that would be to those unsafe and improper driving habits.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	problem areas. You know, having our cars fully marked; being out there, being visible for the public to act as a deterrent and to let people know that we're out there to look after the issues that we were seeing with the safety on our highways. So, you know, that's the aspect that we wanted to do there. As it relates to the strategic enforcement part of things, I believe that's how you referred to it? MR. WADDEN: Q. I think I said selective enforcement. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Selective, okay, yeah. Selective enforcement is not a word or a term that I would use all the time, but strategic is one that I would look at. MR. WADDEN:
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	four being impaired driving, non-compliance with seatbelt, speeding or aggressive driving and distracted driving. And again, because we're not – or we don't have huge abundance of resources to tackle problems, we had to be very strategic as how we put out our resources to deal with these issues. So, what I asked our members to do over the last three and a half years was if we went out and we focused on those initiatives primarily, you know, and there were other things that we would look at as well, but primarily if we just focus on those four enforcement initiatives to see what kind of a reaction and what kind of a deterrent that would be to those unsafe and improper driving habits. MR. WADDEN:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	problem areas. You know, having our cars fully marked; being out there, being visible for the public to act as a deterrent and to let people know that we're out there to look after the issues that we were seeing with the safety on our highways. So, you know, that's the aspect that we wanted to do there. As it relates to the strategic enforcement part of things, I believe that's how you referred to it? MR. WADDEN: Q. I think I said selective enforcement. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Selective, okay, yeah. Selective enforcement is not a word or a term that I would use all the time, but strategic is one that I would look at. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	four being impaired driving, non-compliance with seatbelt, speeding or aggressive driving and distracted driving. And again, because we're not – or we don't have huge abundance of resources to tackle problems, we had to be very strategic as how we put out our resources to deal with these issues. So, what I asked our members to do over the last three and a half years was if we went out and we focused on those initiatives primarily, you know, and there were other things that we would look at as well, but primarily if we just focus on those four enforcement initiatives to see what kind of a reaction and what kind of a deterrent that would be to those unsafe and improper driving habits. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. Can we bring up on the screens page	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	problem areas. You know, having our cars fully marked; being out there, being visible for the public to act as a deterrent and to let people know that we're out there to look after the issues that we were seeing with the safety on our highways. So, you know, that's the aspect that we wanted to do there. As it relates to the strategic enforcement part of things, I believe that's how you referred to it? MR. WADDEN: Q. I think I said selective enforcement. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Selective, okay, yeah. Selective enforcement is not a word or a term that I would use all the time, but strategic is one that I would look at. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. INSPECTOR DIDHAM:
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	four being impaired driving, non-compliance with seatbelt, speeding or aggressive driving and distracted driving. And again, because we're not – or we don't have huge abundance of resources to tackle problems, we had to be very strategic as how we put out our resources to deal with these issues. So, what I asked our members to do over the last three and a half years was if we went out and we focused on those initiatives primarily, you know, and there were other things that we would look at as well, but primarily if we just focus on those four enforcement initiatives to see what kind of a reaction and what kind of a deterrent that would be to those unsafe and improper driving habits. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. Can we bring up on the screens page nine of the 2014 to 2017 RNC Corporate Plan?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	problem areas. You know, having our cars fully marked; being out there, being visible for the public to act as a deterrent and to let people know that we're out there to look after the issues that we were seeing with the safety on our highways. So, you know, that's the aspect that we wanted to do there. As it relates to the strategic enforcement part of things, I believe that's how you referred to it? MR. WADDEN: Q. I think I said selective enforcement. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Selective, okay, yeah. Selective enforcement is not a word or a term that I would use all the time, but strategic is one that I would look at. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Because again, we don't want to seem like
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	four being impaired driving, non-compliance with seatbelt, speeding or aggressive driving and distracted driving. And again, because we're not – or we don't have huge abundance of resources to tackle problems, we had to be very strategic as how we put out our resources to deal with these issues. So, what I asked our members to do over the last three and a half years was if we went out and we focused on those initiatives primarily, you know, and there were other things that we would look at as well, but primarily if we just focus on those four enforcement initiatives to see what kind of a reaction and what kind of a deterrent that would be to those unsafe and improper driving habits. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. Can we bring up on the screens page nine of the 2014 to 2017 RNC Corporate Plan? Thank you. So, Inspector Didham, this is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	problem areas. You know, having our cars fully marked; being out there, being visible for the public to act as a deterrent and to let people know that we're out there to look after the issues that we were seeing with the safety on our highways. So, you know, that's the aspect that we wanted to do there. As it relates to the strategic enforcement part of things, I believe that's how you referred to it? MR. WADDEN: Q. I think I said selective enforcement. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Selective, okay, yeah. Selective enforcement is not a word or a term that I would use all the time, but strategic is one that I would look at. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Because again, we don't want to seem like we're actually selecting the type of
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	four being impaired driving, non-compliance with seatbelt, speeding or aggressive driving and distracted driving. And again, because we're not – or we don't have huge abundance of resources to tackle problems, we had to be very strategic as how we put out our resources to deal with these issues. So, what I asked our members to do over the last three and a half years was if we went out and we focused on those initiatives primarily, you know, and there were other things that we would look at as well, but primarily if we just focus on those four enforcement initiatives to see what kind of a reaction and what kind of a deterrent that would be to those unsafe and improper driving habits. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. Can we bring up on the screens page nine of the 2014 to 2017 RNC Corporate Plan?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	problem areas. You know, having our cars fully marked; being out there, being visible for the public to act as a deterrent and to let people know that we're out there to look after the issues that we were seeing with the safety on our highways. So, you know, that's the aspect that we wanted to do there. As it relates to the strategic enforcement part of things, I believe that's how you referred to it? MR. WADDEN: Q. I think I said selective enforcement. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Selective, okay, yeah. Selective enforcement is not a word or a term that I would use all the time, but strategic is one that I would look at. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Because again, we don't want to seem like

Page 17 it's illegal, it's illegal. That's part of 1 campaigns and we'll be doing extra road 1 2 our job. But the strategic enforcement part 2 checks, safety checks, extra enforcement as 3 is tied to the resources that we have and 3 it relates to impaired driving. So, those 4 what we can put out there and deal with 4 are the types of things that we do strategic specific problems. So, that's what we would 5 5 throughout the year. 6 be referring to there. 6 It's spotty, for lack of a better term, 7 MR. WADDEN: 7 because again when we're trying to do these 8 Q. Okay. For example, if you set up a - if the 8 things, we have to bring a number of 9 RNC set up a stop one day over the holidays 9 resources together. Our uniformed officers, 10 at some intersection in town looking 10 when we're looking for people basically, specifically for impaired driving, what wherever we can, to put out there to deal 11 11 12 would be the term for that sort of 12 with these types of initiatives because in 13 procedure? 13 order to do them effectively and safely, we have to have a certain number of people that 14 **INSPECTOR DIDHAM:** 14 15 We do that throughout the year all the time 15 we engage in this. Α We also partner with the RCMP, Service 16 as it relates to – and we call those 16 NL Highway Enforcement officers, municipal 17 operational plans. 17 18 MR. WADDEN: 18 enforcement agencies and other groups, MADD 19 19 Okav. and groups like that when it comes to these O. 20 INSPECTOR DIDHAM: 20 types of initiatives, which again raises the 21 So when – and again, that's a part of our 21 awareness and education piece and also 22 22 strategic enforcement plan, our objectives. allows us to engage other officials to So, throughout the year -- we're doing one assist and to jointly work on these 23 23 24 the long weekend of October and it's a 24 enforcement operations. 25 common one. There's a national – there are 25 MR. WADDEN: Page 18 Page 20 Q. 1 national programs that we become a part of 1 Okay. So, let's talk a little bit about 2 every year. One of them is in October, the 2 what I presume are the results that came 3 first weekend in October. It's called 3 from the 2014-2017 Corporate Plan and try 4 Operation Impact. So, all police forces 4 and focus in on what precisely garnered 5 5 across the country are involved in that and those results. Can we bring up the 6 we put together an operational plan to look 6 Statistical Report that I sent the Board 7 at – and this year again, it's focused on 7 yesterday? Yeah. Great, thank you. 8 trying to deter unsafe and improper driving 8 Now, Inspector, so we see there, if we look at - let's look at that top box, 9 habits and to focus on again those four big 9 10 killers that I mentioned that we see 10 collisions all jurisdictions 2013 to 2017. 11 commonly as it relates to the collisions 11 We see in 2013 total collisions are 6284 and 12 that we're having. 12 2017, we've whittled it down, I'll say, to Another one is in the long weekend in 13 4752, right? 13 14 May. That's Canada Road Safety Week so that 14 INSPECTOR DIDHAM: 15 starts the Tuesday before and it runs right 15 A. That's correct. 16 through to Monday after the long weekend. 16 MR. WADDEN: So, depending on how you look at it, shaved 17 Again, a very similar concept as to 17 Q. 18 Operation Impact but a little bit longer. 18 off a fair chunk of collisions? 19 During those – and again, we look at – 19 **INSPECTOR DIDHAM:** 20 those are just two. We do strategic or 20 Yeah, about 25 percent. 21 selective enforcement on – we'll do an 21 MR. WADDEN: 22 operation or a couple of operations each 22 Q. And that of course is in line with the 23 year on seatbelt use and we'll also do one 23 period of time that the corporate plan 24 around Christmas. You'll see us out coming 24 dictated certain measures that we've already 25 Christmas, we'll be doing some media 25 talked about and the three pillars. And if

	11001 27, 2018		2017 Automobile insurance Review
l .	Page 21		Page 23
1	I had to say to you, look, accidents have	1	compliance.
2	obviously gone down in 2017. There's	2	And that's what we're seeing here as it
3	probably ten different – 50 different	3	relates to these numbers. We hope that
4	variables we can talk about that caused	4	these numbers continue to decrease what
5	that. What would you pinpoint as the main	5	we're seeing here, these current numbers
6	couple of things that let that happen?	6	from 2017. I can say, even though it's not
7	INSPECTOR DIDHAM:	7	here right now, that the first two quarters
8	A. To let the numbers come down?	8	of 2018, our numbers have decreased again,
9	MR. WADDEN:	9	not significantly, another couple of
10	Q. That got us to bring those numbers down to	10	percentage points down from last year, but
11	4700?	11	they have decreased again for the first two
12	INSPECTOR DIDHAM:	12	quarters of this year.
13	A. The three things that we talked about here.	13	MR. WADDEN:
14	MR. WADDEN:	14	Q. Okay.
15	Q. Okay, all those things.	15	INSPECTOR DIDHAM:
16	INSPECTOR DIDHAM:	16	A. We hope to see that continue. However,
17	A. Our education, our awareness and our	17	we're – from a resource basis, I don't mind
18	enforcement campaigns that we've been doing.	18	saying, we're almost maxed out. We're
1	MR. WADDEN:		* •
19		19	pretty much maxed out as to what we can do
20	Q. Okay.	20	without initiating or doing something more
21	INSPECTOR DIDHAM:	21	== with more resources or, you know,
22	A. Just to give you – and the reason we feel	22	basically shift gears and keep the pedal
23	this is so is number one, we see it	23	down.
24	ourselves in the numbers of collisions that	24	MR. WADDEN:
25	we have. We've increased our enforcement	25	Q. I got you, okay. And I'm going to bring you
L23			
	Page 22		Page 24
1	Page 22 initiatives.	1	Page 24 to that point on resources, because I know
1 2	Page 22	1 2	Page 24 to that point on resources, because I know it's key in terms of enforcement.
1	Page 22 initiatives. MR. WADDEN: Q. Yeah.	1	Page 24 to that point on resources, because I know
1 2	Page 22 initiatives. MR. WADDEN:	1 2	Page 24 to that point on resources, because I know it's key in terms of enforcement.
1 2 3	Page 22 initiatives. MR. WADDEN: Q. Yeah.	1 2 3	Page 24 to that point on resources, because I know it's key in terms of enforcement. INSPECTOR DIDHAM:
1 2 3 4	initiatives. MR. WADDEN: Q. Yeah. INSPECTOR DIDHAM:	1 2 3 4	Page 24 to that point on resources, because I know it's key in terms of enforcement. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Yeah.
1 2 3 4 5	Page 22 initiatives. MR. WADDEN: Q. Yeah. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. And just to use an example from – and this	1 2 3 4 5	Page 24 to that point on resources, because I know it's key in terms of enforcement. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Yeah. MR. WADDEN: Q. First, let's just have a quick look at the
1 2 3 4 5 6	initiatives. MR. WADDEN: Q. Yeah. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. And just to use an example from – and this isn't specific data, but still it's relevant. In the 1980s, the Province of	1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 24 to that point on resources, because I know it's key in terms of enforcement. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Yeah. MR. WADDEN:
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	initiatives. MR. WADDEN: Q. Yeah. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. And just to use an example from – and this isn't specific data, but still it's relevant. In the 1980s, the Province of Newfoundland had the highest rate of non-	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 24 to that point on resources, because I know it's key in terms of enforcement. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Yeah. MR. WADDEN: Q. First, let's just have a quick look at the 2018 to '21 corporate plan and can we go to page I believe it's 20? Great, thank
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	initiatives. MR. WADDEN: Q. Yeah. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. And just to use an example from – and this isn't specific data, but still it's relevant. In the 1980s, the Province of Newfoundland had the highest rate of noncompliance with seatbelt use and our	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 24 to that point on resources, because I know it's key in terms of enforcement. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Yeah. MR. WADDEN: Q. First, let's just have a quick look at the 2018 to '21 corporate plan and can we go to page I believe it's 20? Great, thank you. So, this is the updated corporate plan
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	initiatives. MR. WADDEN: Q. Yeah. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. And just to use an example from – and this isn't specific data, but still it's relevant. In the 1980s, the Province of Newfoundland had the highest rate of noncompliance with seatbelt use and our statistics show that. So, in the mid-1980s,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 24 to that point on resources, because I know it's key in terms of enforcement. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Yeah. MR. WADDEN: Q. First, let's just have a quick look at the 2018 to '21 corporate plan and can we go to page I believe it's 20? Great, thank you. So, this is the updated corporate plan and the issues with respect to improved road
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	initiatives. MR. WADDEN: Q. Yeah. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. And just to use an example from – and this isn't specific data, but still it's relevant. In the 1980s, the Province of Newfoundland had the highest rate of noncompliance with seatbelt use and our statistics show that. So, in the mid-1980s, from the mid-1980s to the early 1990s, the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 24 to that point on resources, because I know it's key in terms of enforcement. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Yeah. MR. WADDEN: Q. First, let's just have a quick look at the 2018 to '21 corporate plan and can we go to page I believe it's 20? Great, thank you. So, this is the updated corporate plan and the issues with respect to improved road and highway safety are under goal seven.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	initiatives. MR. WADDEN: Q. Yeah. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. And just to use an example from – and this isn't specific data, but still it's relevant. In the 1980s, the Province of Newfoundland had the highest rate of noncompliance with seatbelt use and our statistics show that. So, in the mid-1980s, from the mid-1980s to the early 1990s, the RNC initiated a very strong program and	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 24 to that point on resources, because I know it's key in terms of enforcement. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Yeah. MR. WADDEN: Q. First, let's just have a quick look at the 2018 to '21 corporate plan and can we go to page I believe it's 20? Great, thank you. So, this is the updated corporate plan and the issues with respect to improved road and highway safety are under goal seven. Help me flesh this out a little bit.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	initiatives. MR. WADDEN: Q. Yeah. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. And just to use an example from – and this isn't specific data, but still it's relevant. In the 1980s, the Province of Newfoundland had the highest rate of noncompliance with seatbelt use and our statistics show that. So, in the mid-1980s, from the mid-1980s to the early 1990s, the RNC initiated a very strong program and basically a zero tolerance program as it	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 24 to that point on resources, because I know it's key in terms of enforcement. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Yeah. MR. WADDEN: Q. First, let's just have a quick look at the 2018 to '21 corporate plan and can we go to page I believe it's 20? Great, thank you. So, this is the updated corporate plan and the issues with respect to improved road and highway safety are under goal seven. Help me flesh this out a little bit. Objective 7.1 working closely with community
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	initiatives. MR. WADDEN: Q. Yeah. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. And just to use an example from – and this isn't specific data, but still it's relevant. In the 1980s, the Province of Newfoundland had the highest rate of noncompliance with seatbelt use and our statistics show that. So, in the mid-1980s, from the mid-1980s to the early 1990s, the RNC initiated a very strong program and basically a zero tolerance program as it related to seatbelt use. So, our officers	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 24 to that point on resources, because I know it's key in terms of enforcement. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Yeah. MR. WADDEN: Q. First, let's just have a quick look at the 2018 to '21 corporate plan and can we go to page I believe it's 20? Great, thank you. So, this is the updated corporate plan and the issues with respect to improved road and highway safety are under goal seven. Help me flesh this out a little bit. Objective 7.1 working closely with community partners and stakeholders. So, is that what
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	initiatives. MR. WADDEN: Q. Yeah. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. And just to use an example from – and this isn't specific data, but still it's relevant. In the 1980s, the Province of Newfoundland had the highest rate of noncompliance with seatbelt use and our statistics show that. So, in the mid-1980s, from the mid-1980s to the early 1990s, the RNC initiated a very strong program and basically a zero tolerance program as it related to seatbelt use. So, our officers would come in and if you were caught not	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 24 to that point on resources, because I know it's key in terms of enforcement. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Yeah. MR. WADDEN: Q. First, let's just have a quick look at the 2018 to '21 corporate plan and can we go to page I believe it's 20? Great, thank you. So, this is the updated corporate plan and the issues with respect to improved road and highway safety are under goal seven. Help me flesh this out a little bit. Objective 7.1 working closely with community partners and stakeholders. So, is that what you were talking about when you said working
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	initiatives. MR. WADDEN: Q. Yeah. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. And just to use an example from – and this isn't specific data, but still it's relevant. In the 1980s, the Province of Newfoundland had the highest rate of noncompliance with seatbelt use and our statistics show that. So, in the mid-1980s, from the mid-1980s to the early 1990s, the RNC initiated a very strong program and basically a zero tolerance program as it related to seatbelt use. So, our officers would come in and if you were caught not wearing your seatbelt, you got a ticket.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 24 to that point on resources, because I know it's key in terms of enforcement. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Yeah. MR. WADDEN: Q. First, let's just have a quick look at the 2018 to '21 corporate plan and can we go to page I believe it's 20? Great, thank you. So, this is the updated corporate plan and the issues with respect to improved road and highway safety are under goal seven. Help me flesh this out a little bit. Objective 7.1 working closely with community partners and stakeholders. So, is that what you were talking about when you said working with groups like MADD, working with city
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	initiatives. MR. WADDEN: Q. Yeah. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. And just to use an example from – and this isn't specific data, but still it's relevant. In the 1980s, the Province of Newfoundland had the highest rate of noncompliance with seatbelt use and our statistics show that. So, in the mid-1980s, from the mid-1980s to the early 1990s, the RNC initiated a very strong program and basically a zero tolerance program as it related to seatbelt use. So, our officers would come in and if you were caught not wearing your seatbelt, you got a ticket. There was no discretion used. It was hammer	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 24 to that point on resources, because I know it's key in terms of enforcement. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Yeah. MR. WADDEN: Q. First, let's just have a quick look at the 2018 to '21 corporate plan and can we go to page I believe it's 20? Great, thank you. So, this is the updated corporate plan and the issues with respect to improved road and highway safety are under goal seven. Help me flesh this out a little bit. Objective 7.1 working closely with community partners and stakeholders. So, is that what you were talking about when you said working with groups like MADD, working with city councils, things like that?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	initiatives. MR. WADDEN: Q. Yeah. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. And just to use an example from – and this isn't specific data, but still it's relevant. In the 1980s, the Province of Newfoundland had the highest rate of noncompliance with seatbelt use and our statistics show that. So, in the mid-1980s, from the mid-1980s to the early 1990s, the RNC initiated a very strong program and basically a zero tolerance program as it related to seatbelt use. So, our officers would come in and if you were caught not wearing your seatbelt, you got a ticket. There was no discretion used. It was hammer down basically. And it wasn't done to be	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 24 to that point on resources, because I know it's key in terms of enforcement. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Yeah. MR. WADDEN: Q. First, let's just have a quick look at the 2018 to '21 corporate plan and can we go to page I believe it's 20? Great, thank you. So, this is the updated corporate plan and the issues with respect to improved road and highway safety are under goal seven. Help me flesh this out a little bit. Objective 7.1 working closely with community partners and stakeholders. So, is that what you were talking about when you said working with groups like MADD, working with city councils, things like that? INSPECTOR DIDHAM:
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	initiatives. MR. WADDEN: Q. Yeah. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. And just to use an example from – and this isn't specific data, but still it's relevant. In the 1980s, the Province of Newfoundland had the highest rate of noncompliance with seatbelt use and our statistics show that. So, in the mid-1980s, from the mid-1980s to the early 1990s, the RNC initiated a very strong program and basically a zero tolerance program as it related to seatbelt use. So, our officers would come in and if you were caught not wearing your seatbelt, you got a ticket. There was no discretion used. It was hammer down basically. And it wasn't done to be heavy-handed. It was done to – again, to	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	rage 24 to that point on resources, because I know it's key in terms of enforcement. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Yeah. MR. WADDEN: Q. First, let's just have a quick look at the 2018 to '21 corporate plan and can we go to page I believe it's 20? Great, thank you. So, this is the updated corporate plan and the issues with respect to improved road and highway safety are under goal seven. Help me flesh this out a little bit. Objective 7.1 working closely with community partners and stakeholders. So, is that what you were talking about when you said working with groups like MADD, working with city councils, things like that? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. That's exactly, yeah.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	initiatives. MR. WADDEN: Q. Yeah. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. And just to use an example from – and this isn't specific data, but still it's relevant. In the 1980s, the Province of Newfoundland had the highest rate of noncompliance with seatbelt use and our statistics show that. So, in the mid-1980s, from the mid-1980s to the early 1990s, the RNC initiated a very strong program and basically a zero tolerance program as it related to seatbelt use. So, our officers would come in and if you were caught not wearing your seatbelt, you got a ticket. There was no discretion used. It was hammer down basically. And it wasn't done to be heavy-handed. It was done to – again, to bring up the compliance and to improve	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	to that point on resources, because I know it's key in terms of enforcement. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Yeah. MR. WADDEN: Q. First, let's just have a quick look at the 2018 to '21 corporate plan and can we go to page I believe it's 20? Great, thank you. So, this is the updated corporate plan and the issues with respect to improved road and highway safety are under goal seven. Help me flesh this out a little bit. Objective 7.1 working closely with community partners and stakeholders. So, is that what you were talking about when you said working with groups like MADD, working with city councils, things like that? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. That's exactly, yeah. MR. WADDEN:
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	initiatives. MR. WADDEN: Q. Yeah. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. And just to use an example from – and this isn't specific data, but still it's relevant. In the 1980s, the Province of Newfoundland had the highest rate of noncompliance with seatbelt use and our statistics show that. So, in the mid-1980s, from the mid-1980s to the early 1990s, the RNC initiated a very strong program and basically a zero tolerance program as it related to seatbelt use. So, our officers would come in and if you were caught not wearing your seatbelt, you got a ticket. There was no discretion used. It was hammer down basically. And it wasn't done to be heavy-handed. It was done to – again, to bring up the compliance and to improve safety at the time of course again. And	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	to that point on resources, because I know it's key in terms of enforcement. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Yeah. MR. WADDEN: Q. First, let's just have a quick look at the 2018 to '21 corporate plan and can we go to page I believe it's 20? Great, thank you. So, this is the updated corporate plan and the issues with respect to improved road and highway safety are under goal seven. Help me flesh this out a little bit. Objective 7.1 working closely with community partners and stakeholders. So, is that what you were talking about when you said working with groups like MADD, working with city councils, things like that? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. That's exactly, yeah. MR. WADDEN: Q. Can you give me any sort of – so, this is
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	initiatives. MR. WADDEN: Q. Yeah. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. And just to use an example from – and this isn't specific data, but still it's relevant. In the 1980s, the Province of Newfoundland had the highest rate of noncompliance with seatbelt use and our statistics show that. So, in the mid-1980s, from the mid-1980s to the early 1990s, the RNC initiated a very strong program and basically a zero tolerance program as it related to seatbelt use. So, our officers would come in and if you were caught not wearing your seatbelt, you got a ticket. There was no discretion used. It was hammer down basically. And it wasn't done to be heavy-handed. It was done to – again, to bring up the compliance and to improve safety at the time of course again. And when we ramped down that program in the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 24 to that point on resources, because I know it's key in terms of enforcement. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Yeah. MR. WADDEN: Q. First, let's just have a quick look at the 2018 to '21 corporate plan and can we go to page I believe it's 20? Great, thank you. So, this is the updated corporate plan and the issues with respect to improved road and highway safety are under goal seven. Help me flesh this out a little bit. Objective 7.1 working closely with community partners and stakeholders. So, is that what you were talking about when you said working with groups like MADD, working with city councils, things like that? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. That's exactly, yeah. MR. WADDEN: Q. Can you give me any sort of – so, this is where we are right now. This is the 2018
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	initiatives. MR. WADDEN: Q. Yeah. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. And just to use an example from – and this isn't specific data, but still it's relevant. In the 1980s, the Province of Newfoundland had the highest rate of noncompliance with seatbelt use and our statistics show that. So, in the mid-1980s, from the mid-1980s to the early 1990s, the RNC initiated a very strong program and basically a zero tolerance program as it related to seatbelt use. So, our officers would come in and if you were caught not wearing your seatbelt, you got a ticket. There was no discretion used. It was hammer down basically. And it wasn't done to be heavy-handed. It was done to – again, to bring up the compliance and to improve safety at the time of course again. And when we ramped down that program in the early '90s, we had the highest compliance.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	to that point on resources, because I know it's key in terms of enforcement. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Yeah. MR. WADDEN: Q. First, let's just have a quick look at the 2018 to '21 corporate plan and can we go to page I believe it's 20? Great, thank you. So, this is the updated corporate plan and the issues with respect to improved road and highway safety are under goal seven. Help me flesh this out a little bit. Objective 7.1 working closely with community partners and stakeholders. So, is that what you were talking about when you said working with groups like MADD, working with city councils, things like that? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. That's exactly, yeah. MR. WADDEN: Q. Can you give me any sort of – so, this is where we are right now. This is the 2018 version. So, are you able to discuss any
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	initiatives. MR. WADDEN: Q. Yeah. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. And just to use an example from – and this isn't specific data, but still it's relevant. In the 1980s, the Province of Newfoundland had the highest rate of noncompliance with seatbelt use and our statistics show that. So, in the mid-1980s, from the mid-1980s to the early 1990s, the RNC initiated a very strong program and basically a zero tolerance program as it related to seatbelt use. So, our officers would come in and if you were caught not wearing your seatbelt, you got a ticket. There was no discretion used. It was hammer down basically. And it wasn't done to be heavy-handed. It was done to – again, to bring up the compliance and to improve safety at the time of course again. And when we ramped down that program in the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 24 to that point on resources, because I know it's key in terms of enforcement. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Yeah. MR. WADDEN: Q. First, let's just have a quick look at the 2018 to '21 corporate plan and can we go to page I believe it's 20? Great, thank you. So, this is the updated corporate plan and the issues with respect to improved road and highway safety are under goal seven. Help me flesh this out a little bit. Objective 7.1 working closely with community partners and stakeholders. So, is that what you were talking about when you said working with groups like MADD, working with city councils, things like that? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. That's exactly, yeah. MR. WADDEN: Q. Can you give me any sort of – so, this is where we are right now. This is the 2018

Page 25 INSPECTOR DIDHAM: 1 communities, town and groups are having with 1 2 Basically, we've continued on from 2014 to 2 society is the movement of traffic and the A. 3 3 safe movement of traffic. So, inevitably, 4 MR. WADDEN: 4 no matter where we go, and it's a common 5 5 theme. If I go to Petty Harbour, I was in Q. Right. 6 INSPECTOR DIDHAM: 6 Petty Harbour only a few months prior to 7 7 Those partnerships have strengthened. that and it was the same issues. So, again, A. 8 8 MR. WADDEN: strengthening and fostering those 9 relationships is what we're going to 9 Okav. O. 10 **INSPECTOR DIDHAM:** 10 continue to do. 11 And not saying that we didn't have good 11 MR. WADDEN: 12 partnerships with those groups prior to 2014 12 Okay. And just moving slightly down the 13 because we did, but we really focused on 13 list that references the educational 14 building and fostering those relationships 14 opportunities. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: 15 since then. We didn't work closely, even 15 16 with our own other provincial police agency, 16 Α. Yeah. the RCMP, when we're dealing with MR WADDEN: 17 17 enforcement and awareness initiatives. 18 18 Can you give me a current state of affairs when it comes to that objective? 19 We also have – one of the other 19 programs that we have in place as it relates 20 INSPECTOR DIDHAM: 20 21 to working with community partners, one of 21 Yeah. Same thing what I discussed 22 the – there's a firm in town actually that 22 previously and maybe I should have waited donated a distracted driving simulator to us 23 was the distracted driver simulator. So, I 23 24 to use that our Community Services Division 24 mean, that's a big part of our – we know 25 actually. So, as part of the distracted 25 that distracted driving is one of the Page 26 Page 28 1 driving issue and problem, we have police 1 highest problem areas as it relates to the 2 officers with our Community Services 2 motoring public today. I mean, let's face 3 Division that are actually out working with 3 it, we can go up and sit at any traffic 4 community partners, working with schools, 4 light and look around, you know, it's a 5 5 businesses and doing presentations. We problem and we know that and so we're trying 6 actually have – it's a computerized 6 to tackle that. 7 distracted driving simulator. So, somebody 7 One of the ways we're trying to tackle 8 can come in and actually see the effects of 8 that is having our officers engage community 9 driving while using a distracting device, 9 members and different groups within the 10 whether it be a cellphone – you know, when 10 community starting young. You know, we start with early drivers and new drivers and 11 we talk about distracted driving, generally 11 12 we're out and we can meet with them and we that's what we're referring to these days, 12 give them the opportunity to see, by using 13 you know. 13 14 14 this distracted driving simulator, and it's So, that's basically when we're talking about working closer with community 15 15 not treated as a video game or a joke. We 16 partners, that's what we're doing. We're 16 advise them when we're providing them with meeting with town councils. I mean, I was the – because we give another presentation 17 17 18 down in Petty Harbour just last week with 18 on the consequences of driving, you know, 19 one of our sergeants and we go down to meet 19 while distracted and we show sometimes 20 with groups on a variety of policing issues 20 scenes and graphic images, not something 21 or concerns that a group might have or a 21 that's going to be everlasting, but we give 22 council might have and inevitably, within 10 22 them an idea of what the consequences are of 23 minutes, 15 minutes, it resorts to traffic 23 driving like that. And we do that – we 24 because it's our biggest problem. It's the 24 primarily focus on younger drivers because biggest complaint or biggest issue that we want to build those good driving skills 25 25

Septer	nber 27, 2018		2017 Automobile Insurance Review
	Page 29		Page 31
1	at that age. However, it's available to any	1	volume, volume of traffic. Volume hasn't
2	group. We've gone to – we've went to Nalcor	2	changed – unless there are changes that
3	and we've been out with a number of	3	basically deter drivers from using those
4	different groups with these types of	4	streets, whether it be construction or
5	initiatives.	5	something else goes on in those areas that's
6	(2:00 p.m.)	6	going to lower the amount of volume of
7	MR. WADDEN:	7	traffic that's using those streets on a
8	Q. Okay. Now, I know the next point on that	8	daily basis, we're going to have numbers.
9	particular document speaks to enforcement.	9	And again, we're hoping that these numbers
10	We're going to come back around to	10	go down, but the biggest issue or the
11	enforcement because it's obviously – we can	11	biggest contributing factor will be volume.
12	tell already that it's the key to everything	12	MR. WADDEN:
13	and we'll get there in a moment.	13	Q. Right, okay. And if we look down that list,
14	But I just want to jump for a second to	14	and this list is fairly consistent
15	a couple of the RNC juristat reports and I	15	throughout the years, what we see there are
16	want to look at the 2016 and 2017 reports.	16	streets that everyone, I think, can
17	2016, we can bring up page 52 and 2017, we	17	understand have fairly heavy volumes
18	can bring up page 51. What I want to do	18	throughout the year, right>
19	here is just by way of example on those	19	INSPECTOR DIDHAM:
1	pages, just try and drill down and give	20	A. Yeah.
20 21		21	MR. WADDEN:
1	people an on the road, if you will, pardon		
22	the pun, idea of what's going on on the	22	Q. And you're telling me the only – one of the
23	streets of, in this case, St. John's.	23	main ways, aside from enforcement obviously,
24	So, that's the 2016 report and if I	24	to help this situation is reduce the volume
25	look at the top there, that first table, I	25	on those particular streets?
			<u> </u>
	Page 30		Page 32
1	see you're breaking down collisions by	1	Page 32 INSPECTOR DIDHAM:
2	see you're breaking down collisions by streets in metro obviously and Topsail Road,	1 2	Page 32 INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Either reduce the volume or look at – and
	see you're breaking down collisions by streets in metro obviously and Topsail Road, I see 297. Kenmount Road, I see 260. Can	1 2 3	Page 32 INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Either reduce the volume or look at – and again, I'm not an engineer, but I do work
2	see you're breaking down collisions by streets in metro obviously and Topsail Road, I see 297. Kenmount Road, I see 260. Can we bring up the 2017 version of that table	1 2 3 4	Page 32 INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Either reduce the volume or look at – and again, I'm not an engineer, but I do work closely with the City. You mentioned Mr.
2 3 4 5	see you're breaking down collisions by streets in metro obviously and Topsail Road, I see 297. Kenmount Road, I see 260. Can we bring up the 2017 version of that table which is on page 51 in the 2017 document?	1 2 3 4 5	Page 32 INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Either reduce the volume or look at – and again, I'm not an engineer, but I do work closely with the City. You mentioned Mr. Donaher. I'm quite familiar, we work
2 3 4 5 6	see you're breaking down collisions by streets in metro obviously and Topsail Road, I see 297. Kenmount Road, I see 260. Can we bring up the 2017 version of that table which is on page 51 in the 2017 document? Okay. Bang again. Topsail Road and	1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 32 INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Either reduce the volume or look at – and again, I'm not an engineer, but I do work closely with the City. You mentioned Mr. Donaher. I'm quite familiar, we work closely on a number of different
2 3 4 5 6 7	see you're breaking down collisions by streets in metro obviously and Topsail Road, I see 297. Kenmount Road, I see 260. Can we bring up the 2017 version of that table which is on page 51 in the 2017 document? Okay. Bang again. Topsail Road and Kenmount Road are the winners with 259 and	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 32 INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Either reduce the volume or look at – and again, I'm not an engineer, but I do work closely with the City. You mentioned Mr. Donaher. I'm quite familiar, we work closely on a number of different initiatives. And I know the City is doing a
2 3 4 5 6	see you're breaking down collisions by streets in metro obviously and Topsail Road, I see 297. Kenmount Road, I see 260. Can we bring up the 2017 version of that table which is on page 51 in the 2017 document? Okay. Bang again. Topsail Road and Kenmount Road are the winners with 259 and 227. So, we know that accidents are going	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 32 INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Either reduce the volume or look at – and again, I'm not an engineer, but I do work closely with the City. You mentioned Mr. Donaher. I'm quite familiar, we work closely on a number of different initiatives. And I know the City is doing a good job because they are constantly
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	see you're breaking down collisions by streets in metro obviously and Topsail Road, I see 297. Kenmount Road, I see 260. Can we bring up the 2017 version of that table which is on page 51 in the 2017 document? Okay. Bang again. Topsail Road and Kenmount Road are the winners with 259 and 227. So, we know that accidents are going down and I can tell you that without doing	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 32 INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Either reduce the volume or look at – and again, I'm not an engineer, but I do work closely with the City. You mentioned Mr. Donaher. I'm quite familiar, we work closely on a number of different initiatives. And I know the City is doing a good job because they are constantly reviewing different portions of streets to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	see you're breaking down collisions by streets in metro obviously and Topsail Road, I see 297. Kenmount Road, I see 260. Can we bring up the 2017 version of that table which is on page 51 in the 2017 document? Okay. Bang again. Topsail Road and Kenmount Road are the winners with 259 and 227. So, we know that accidents are going down and I can tell you that without doing it, if we went back and looked at the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 32 INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Either reduce the volume or look at – and again, I'm not an engineer, but I do work closely with the City. You mentioned Mr. Donaher. I'm quite familiar, we work closely on a number of different initiatives. And I know the City is doing a good job because they are constantly reviewing different portions of streets to see if there's changes can be made as it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	see you're breaking down collisions by streets in metro obviously and Topsail Road, I see 297. Kenmount Road, I see 260. Can we bring up the 2017 version of that table which is on page 51 in the 2017 document? Okay. Bang again. Topsail Road and Kenmount Road are the winners with 259 and 227. So, we know that accidents are going down and I can tell you that without doing it, if we went back and looked at the previous juristat reports, we'd see similar	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 32 INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Either reduce the volume or look at – and again, I'm not an engineer, but I do work closely with the City. You mentioned Mr. Donaher. I'm quite familiar, we work closely on a number of different initiatives. And I know the City is doing a good job because they are constantly reviewing different portions of streets to see if there's changes can be made as it relates to traffic calming and things like
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	see you're breaking down collisions by streets in metro obviously and Topsail Road, I see 297. Kenmount Road, I see 260. Can we bring up the 2017 version of that table which is on page 51 in the 2017 document? Okay. Bang again. Topsail Road and Kenmount Road are the winners with 259 and 227. So, we know that accidents are going down and I can tell you that without doing it, if we went back and looked at the previous juristat reports, we'd see similar things, right?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 32 INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Either reduce the volume or look at – and again, I'm not an engineer, but I do work closely with the City. You mentioned Mr. Donaher. I'm quite familiar, we work closely on a number of different initiatives. And I know the City is doing a good job because they are constantly reviewing different portions of streets to see if there's changes can be made as it relates to traffic calming and things like that. Whether it be reducing the volume or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	see you're breaking down collisions by streets in metro obviously and Topsail Road, I see 297. Kenmount Road, I see 260. Can we bring up the 2017 version of that table which is on page 51 in the 2017 document? Okay. Bang again. Topsail Road and Kenmount Road are the winners with 259 and 227. So, we know that accidents are going down and I can tell you that without doing it, if we went back and looked at the previous juristat reports, we'd see similar things, right? INSPECTOR DIDHAM:	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 32 INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Either reduce the volume or look at – and again, I'm not an engineer, but I do work closely with the City. You mentioned Mr. Donaher. I'm quite familiar, we work closely on a number of different initiatives. And I know the City is doing a good job because they are constantly reviewing different portions of streets to see if there's changes can be made as it relates to traffic calming and things like that. Whether it be reducing the volume or reducing – if there are specific issues,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	see you're breaking down collisions by streets in metro obviously and Topsail Road, I see 297. Kenmount Road, I see 260. Can we bring up the 2017 version of that table which is on page 51 in the 2017 document? Okay. Bang again. Topsail Road and Kenmount Road are the winners with 259 and 227. So, we know that accidents are going down and I can tell you that without doing it, if we went back and looked at the previous juristat reports, we'd see similar things, right? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Sure.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 32 INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Either reduce the volume or look at – and again, I'm not an engineer, but I do work closely with the City. You mentioned Mr. Donaher. I'm quite familiar, we work closely on a number of different initiatives. And I know the City is doing a good job because they are constantly reviewing different portions of streets to see if there's changes can be made as it relates to traffic calming and things like that. Whether it be reducing the volume or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	see you're breaking down collisions by streets in metro obviously and Topsail Road, I see 297. Kenmount Road, I see 260. Can we bring up the 2017 version of that table which is on page 51 in the 2017 document? Okay. Bang again. Topsail Road and Kenmount Road are the winners with 259 and 227. So, we know that accidents are going down and I can tell you that without doing it, if we went back and looked at the previous juristat reports, we'd see similar things, right? INSPECTOR DIDHAM:	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 32 INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Either reduce the volume or look at – and again, I'm not an engineer, but I do work closely with the City. You mentioned Mr. Donaher. I'm quite familiar, we work closely on a number of different initiatives. And I know the City is doing a good job because they are constantly reviewing different portions of streets to see if there's changes can be made as it relates to traffic calming and things like that. Whether it be reducing the volume or reducing – if there are specific issues,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	see you're breaking down collisions by streets in metro obviously and Topsail Road, I see 297. Kenmount Road, I see 260. Can we bring up the 2017 version of that table which is on page 51 in the 2017 document? Okay. Bang again. Topsail Road and Kenmount Road are the winners with 259 and 227. So, we know that accidents are going down and I can tell you that without doing it, if we went back and looked at the previous juristat reports, we'd see similar things, right? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Sure.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 32 INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Either reduce the volume or look at – and again, I'm not an engineer, but I do work closely with the City. You mentioned Mr. Donaher. I'm quite familiar, we work closely on a number of different initiatives. And I know the City is doing a good job because they are constantly reviewing different portions of streets to see if there's changes can be made as it relates to traffic calming and things like that. Whether it be reducing the volume or reducing – if there are specific issues, whether it be a left turn or if there's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	see you're breaking down collisions by streets in metro obviously and Topsail Road, I see 297. Kenmount Road, I see 260. Can we bring up the 2017 version of that table which is on page 51 in the 2017 document? Okay. Bang again. Topsail Road and Kenmount Road are the winners with 259 and 227. So, we know that accidents are going down and I can tell you that without doing it, if we went back and looked at the previous juristat reports, we'd see similar things, right? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Sure. MR. WADDEN:	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 32 INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Either reduce the volume or look at – and again, I'm not an engineer, but I do work closely with the City. You mentioned Mr. Donaher. I'm quite familiar, we work closely on a number of different initiatives. And I know the City is doing a good job because they are constantly reviewing different portions of streets to see if there's changes can be made as it relates to traffic calming and things like that. Whether it be reducing the volume or reducing – if there are specific issues, whether it be a left turn or if there's people pulling off of parking lots or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	see you're breaking down collisions by streets in metro obviously and Topsail Road, I see 297. Kenmount Road, I see 260. Can we bring up the 2017 version of that table which is on page 51 in the 2017 document? Okay. Bang again. Topsail Road and Kenmount Road are the winners with 259 and 227. So, we know that accidents are going down and I can tell you that without doing it, if we went back and looked at the previous juristat reports, we'd see similar things, right? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Sure. MR. WADDEN: Q. So, even though accidents are going down,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Either reduce the volume or look at – and again, I'm not an engineer, but I do work closely with the City. You mentioned Mr. Donaher. I'm quite familiar, we work closely on a number of different initiatives. And I know the City is doing a good job because they are constantly reviewing different portions of streets to see if there's changes can be made as it relates to traffic calming and things like that. Whether it be reducing the volume or reducing – if there are specific issues, whether it be a left turn or if there's people pulling off of parking lots or intersections trying to cross three lanes of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	see you're breaking down collisions by streets in metro obviously and Topsail Road, I see 297. Kenmount Road, I see 260. Can we bring up the 2017 version of that table which is on page 51 in the 2017 document? Okay. Bang again. Topsail Road and Kenmount Road are the winners with 259 and 227. So, we know that accidents are going down and I can tell you that without doing it, if we went back and looked at the previous juristat reports, we'd see similar things, right? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Sure. MR. WADDEN: Q. So, even though accidents are going down, there seems to be what we'll call hot spots,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 32 INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Either reduce the volume or look at – and again, I'm not an engineer, but I do work closely with the City. You mentioned Mr. Donaher. I'm quite familiar, we work closely on a number of different initiatives. And I know the City is doing a good job because they are constantly reviewing different portions of streets to see if there's changes can be made as it relates to traffic calming and things like that. Whether it be reducing the volume or reducing – if there are specific issues, whether it be a left turn or if there's people pulling off of parking lots or intersections trying to cross three lanes of highway.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	see you're breaking down collisions by streets in metro obviously and Topsail Road, I see 297. Kenmount Road, I see 260. Can we bring up the 2017 version of that table which is on page 51 in the 2017 document? Okay. Bang again. Topsail Road and Kenmount Road are the winners with 259 and 227. So, we know that accidents are going down and I can tell you that without doing it, if we went back and looked at the previous juristat reports, we'd see similar things, right? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Sure. MR. WADDEN: Q. So, even though accidents are going down, there seems to be what we'll call hot spots, right, and I'm only using a couple of examples in the City. What in the heck is	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 32 INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Either reduce the volume or look at – and again, I'm not an engineer, but I do work closely with the City. You mentioned Mr. Donaher. I'm quite familiar, we work closely on a number of different initiatives. And I know the City is doing a good job because they are constantly reviewing different portions of streets to see if there's changes can be made as it relates to traffic calming and things like that. Whether it be reducing the volume or reducing – if there are specific issues, whether it be a left turn or if there's people pulling off of parking lots or intersections trying to cross three lanes of highway. MR. WADDEN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	see you're breaking down collisions by streets in metro obviously and Topsail Road, I see 297. Kenmount Road, I see 260. Can we bring up the 2017 version of that table which is on page 51 in the 2017 document? Okay. Bang again. Topsail Road and Kenmount Road are the winners with 259 and 227. So, we know that accidents are going down and I can tell you that without doing it, if we went back and looked at the previous juristat reports, we'd see similar things, right? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Sure. MR. WADDEN: Q. So, even though accidents are going down, there seems to be what we'll call hot spots, right, and I'm only using a couple of examples in the City. What in the heck is going on on these particular roads that the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Either reduce the volume or look at – and again, I'm not an engineer, but I do work closely with the City. You mentioned Mr. Donaher. I'm quite familiar, we work closely on a number of different initiatives. And I know the City is doing a good job because they are constantly reviewing different portions of streets to see if there's changes can be made as it relates to traffic calming and things like that. Whether it be reducing the volume or reducing – if there are specific issues, whether it be a left turn or if there's people pulling off of parking lots or intersections trying to cross three lanes of highway. MR. WADDEN: Q. Right. INSPECTOR DIDHAM:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	see you're breaking down collisions by streets in metro obviously and Topsail Road, I see 297. Kenmount Road, I see 260. Can we bring up the 2017 version of that table which is on page 51 in the 2017 document? Okay. Bang again. Topsail Road and Kenmount Road are the winners with 259 and 227. So, we know that accidents are going down and I can tell you that without doing it, if we went back and looked at the previous juristat reports, we'd see similar things, right? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Sure. MR. WADDEN: Q. So, even though accidents are going down, there seems to be what we'll call hot spots, right, and I'm only using a couple of examples in the City. What in the heck is going on on these particular roads that the accidents are sort of standing at the same	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Either reduce the volume or look at – and again, I'm not an engineer, but I do work closely with the City. You mentioned Mr. Donaher. I'm quite familiar, we work closely on a number of different initiatives. And I know the City is doing a good job because they are constantly reviewing different portions of streets to see if there's changes can be made as it relates to traffic calming and things like that. Whether it be reducing the volume or reducing – if there are specific issues, whether it be a left turn or if there's people pulling off of parking lots or intersections trying to cross three lanes of highway. MR. WADDEN: Q. Right. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. If there's something that can be done, you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	see you're breaking down collisions by streets in metro obviously and Topsail Road, I see 297. Kenmount Road, I see 260. Can we bring up the 2017 version of that table which is on page 51 in the 2017 document? Okay. Bang again. Topsail Road and Kenmount Road are the winners with 259 and 227. So, we know that accidents are going down and I can tell you that without doing it, if we went back and looked at the previous juristat reports, we'd see similar things, right? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Sure. MR. WADDEN: Q. So, even though accidents are going down, there seems to be what we'll call hot spots, right, and I'm only using a couple of examples in the City. What in the heck is going on on these particular roads that the accidents are sort of standing at the same level, just consistent accidents every year?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Either reduce the volume or look at – and again, I'm not an engineer, but I do work closely with the City. You mentioned Mr. Donaher. I'm quite familiar, we work closely on a number of different initiatives. And I know the City is doing a good job because they are constantly reviewing different portions of streets to see if there's changes can be made as it relates to traffic calming and things like that. Whether it be reducing the volume or reducing – if there are specific issues, whether it be a left turn or if there's people pulling off of parking lots or intersections trying to cross three lanes of highway. MR. WADDEN: Q. Right. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. If there's something that can be done, you know, to deter that or to change something
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	see you're breaking down collisions by streets in metro obviously and Topsail Road, I see 297. Kenmount Road, I see 260. Can we bring up the 2017 version of that table which is on page 51 in the 2017 document? Okay. Bang again. Topsail Road and Kenmount Road are the winners with 259 and 227. So, we know that accidents are going down and I can tell you that without doing it, if we went back and looked at the previous juristat reports, we'd see similar things, right? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Sure. MR. WADDEN: Q. So, even though accidents are going down, there seems to be what we'll call hot spots, right, and I'm only using a couple of examples in the City. What in the heck is going on on these particular roads that the accidents are sort of standing at the same level, just consistent accidents every year? INSPECTOR DIDHAM:	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Either reduce the volume or look at – and again, I'm not an engineer, but I do work closely with the City. You mentioned Mr. Donaher. I'm quite familiar, we work closely on a number of different initiatives. And I know the City is doing a good job because they are constantly reviewing different portions of streets to see if there's changes can be made as it relates to traffic calming and things like that. Whether it be reducing the volume or reducing – if there are specific issues, whether it be a left turn or if there's people pulling off of parking lots or intersections trying to cross three lanes of highway. MR. WADDEN: Q. Right. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. If there's something that can be done, you know, to deter that or to change something like that in areas that there's problems –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	see you're breaking down collisions by streets in metro obviously and Topsail Road, I see 297. Kenmount Road, I see 260. Can we bring up the 2017 version of that table which is on page 51 in the 2017 document? Okay. Bang again. Topsail Road and Kenmount Road are the winners with 259 and 227. So, we know that accidents are going down and I can tell you that without doing it, if we went back and looked at the previous juristat reports, we'd see similar things, right? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Sure. MR. WADDEN: Q. So, even though accidents are going down, there seems to be what we'll call hot spots, right, and I'm only using a couple of examples in the City. What in the heck is going on on these particular roads that the accidents are sort of standing at the same level, just consistent accidents every year?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Either reduce the volume or look at – and again, I'm not an engineer, but I do work closely with the City. You mentioned Mr. Donaher. I'm quite familiar, we work closely on a number of different initiatives. And I know the City is doing a good job because they are constantly reviewing different portions of streets to see if there's changes can be made as it relates to traffic calming and things like that. Whether it be reducing the volume or reducing – if there are specific issues, whether it be a left turn or if there's people pulling off of parking lots or intersections trying to cross three lanes of highway. MR. WADDEN: Q. Right. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. If there's something that can be done, you know, to deter that or to change something

Septer	mber 27, 2018		2017 Automobile Insurance Review
	Page 33		Page 35
1	these areas. So, if that's something that	1	the 2017 table, I don't think it's even
2	comes up or if there's a spike in, you know,	2	there. So, why is it in some years we see
3	whether it be rear-end collisions or left-	3	intersections with a heavy load of accidents
4	turn collisions in specific areas, then you	4	and in some years we see those same
5	know, the City will generally look at it to	5	intersections with much lower or nil?
6	see if some changes to design can be made to	6	INSPECTOR DIDHAM:
7	help.	7	A. In this case here, I looked back on that
8	MR. WADDEN:	8	because it was – we were discussing it the
9	Q. Right. So, in some cases it might come down	9	other day. That particular area, Topsail
10	to a city engineering issue. If it's	10	Road and Commonwealth Avenue and the nearby
11	determined that a particular street like	11	area was undergoing significant construction
12	Kenmount Road that left turns are a	12	and that again brought down the volume of
13	significant problem as it relates to	13	traffic and the amount of traffic was being
1	• •	13	used there, and we see that it also brought
14	collisions, then okay, City, let's try and		,
15	look at a way to prevent having left turns	15	down the speeds of vehicles proceeding
16	on Kenmount Road at all?	16	through there because it was more
17	INSPECTOR DIDHAM:	17	controlled. There was a lot of traffic
18	A. Exactly.	18	controls in place, flag people, signage and
19	MR. WADDEN:	19	things like that. So, basically from – if
20	Q. Okay, got you. And of course, every street	20	we – what I use to the area from Blackmarsh
21	would have its own individual issues. We're	21	Road at Topsail Road west to the
22	only looking at one there, but what I'm	22	Commonwealth Avenue area, there was a lot of
23	hearing at the end of the day is if we want	23	construction going on at that time.
24	to reduce collisions in all these heavy	24	MR. WADDEN:
25	volume streets, there are things that a	25	Q. Right. So, just – so it happens essentially
	Page 34		Page 36
1	municipality can do and there are things	1	that the keys to preventing accidents, which
2	that the RNC can do to assist when it comes	2	is enforcement, getting people to slow down,
3	to enforcement for example?	3	happened by way of a result from a
4	INSPECTOR DIDHAM:	4	construction site?
5	A. Certainly, yeah.	5	INSPECTOR DIDHAM:
6	MR. WADDEN:	6	A. Exactly.
7	Q. Okay. Just moving down to the next table on	7	MR. WADDEN:
8	that page, if you will, you and I discussed	8	Q. Right, and that actually stopped it?
9	this the other day and I was somewhat	9	INSPECTOR DIDHAM:
10	perplexed because this table discusses	10	A. Yeah.
11	accident in metro that have the heaviest	11	MR. WADDEN:
12	volume of – the intersections rather that	12	Q. Okay. Can we talk a little bit about how
13	have the heaviest volume of collisions and	13	the RNC operates in tandem with the
14	that's the 2017 table and that has – say the	13	Registrar? I understand every couple of
15	•	15	-
1	first one there is Torbay and Stavanger.		weeks you folks send out reports. Is that
16	The next one is Allandale and Prince	16	right?
17	Phillip.	17	INSPECTOR DIDHAM:
18	Can be bring up the 2016 version of	18	A. That's right.
19	that table on page 52 of the 2016 report? I	19	MR. WADDEN:
	think there we see Topsail Road and	20	Q. Okay. So, how do – tell me the ways in
20		21	which the RNC and the Registrar work
21	Commonwealth Avenue. Now, we don't need to		_
21 22	bring it back up, but I noted to you the	22	together. What is your communication?
21 22 23	bring it back up, but I noted to you the other day when we were talking, Topsail Road	22 23	together. What is your communication? INSPECTOR DIDHAM:
21 22	bring it back up, but I noted to you the	22	together. What is your communication?

Septer	mber 27, 2018		2017 Automobile Insurance Review
	Page 37		Page 39
1	collect data or collect information. So,	1	you know, sort of giving people a heads up
2	any motor vehicle accident that's	2	on it now, I guess. We're going to be going
3	reportable, so it's either above \$2,000	3	out doing the same thing with passenger
4	damage or if there's an injury or an offence	4	vehicles. So, you know, we'll do spot
5	that has been committed, has to be reported	5	inspections on vehicles throughout our
6	to the police. So, any of those reports	6	jurisdiction, not just taxis, all vehicles
7	that we receive, once they are received and	7	to ensure that they're compliant with safety
8	concluded by our investigating officers, we	8	of their vehicles, tires, brakes, you know,
9	have a reader, a person actually that	9	basically getting people ready for the
10	approves the conclusion of the	10	winter to ensure that – you know, try to up
11	investigation. That data and that whole	11	safety, increase safety.
12	file is scanned. It's actually under the	12	MR. WADDEN:
13	vehicle collision reporting system. And we	13	Q. Okay. So, these are sort of – because
14	send that off, email that off to the	14	earlier we spoke about sort of operationally
15	statistics at the Motor Registration	15	focused things such as, you know, seatbelt
16	Division. That's the data portion of it.	16	stops and things like that the RNC would do,
17	MR. WADDEN:	17	impaired driving focus. This is slightly
18	Q. Right.	18	different in that you're doing sort of an
19	INSPECTOR DIDHAM:	19	operationally focused thing in tandem with
20	A. If you want me to get into it, we also work	20	another group, in this case NL service
21	with Motor Registration throughout the year	21	inspectors, I guess?
22	on initiatives as well.	22	INSPECTOR DIDHAM:
23	MR. WADDEN:	23	A. That's right, yeah.
24	Q. Right, yeah.	24	MR. WADDEN:
25	INSPECTOR DIDHAM:	25	Q. And that's going to continue through -
	Page 38		Page 40
1	A. So, that's something we do with them. Like	1	INSPECTOR DIDHAM:
2	just this spring you may have heard we did	2	A. Yeah, we can do that quite often. Again,
3	an initiative and we took some heat over it	3	it's a partnership that we've built over the
4	actually because there was some concerns	4	years and we're fostering and improving
5	over the taxi industry and the safety of the	5	things. We do joint training with them on
6	taxis that were on the road. So, we were	6	certain initiatives. We had just a couple
7	contacted by Motor Registration and Motor	7	of months ago two of the Service NL
8	Registration, of course, is a branch of	8	inspectors came in and provided training to
9	Service NL and under the Motor Registration	9	all of our frontline officers, our patrol
10	umbrella comes the Highway Enforcement	10	members, on how to inspect motorcycles for
11	branch. So, we worked with the Highway	11	compliance with the exhaust systems.
12	Enforcement officers and we went out and	12	Because again, you may have heard there was
13	teamed up with them, partnered with them,	13	a big issue with the noise of motorcycles.
14	and we did an initiative where we actually	14	Prior to May of this year, we didn't have
15	stopped and inspected all the taxis in the	15	the ability to inspect them ourselves
16	St. John's, Mount Pearl, Paradise area and	16	because we weren't trained to and that's
17	inspected them.	17	something we partnered with Service NL. So,
18	MR. WADDEN:	18	they came in and provided us with that
19	Q. Right.	19	training.
20	INSPECTOR DIDHAM:	20	MR. WADDEN:
21	A. And it was a safety inspection. It was also	21	Q. Okay. Can we bring up the 2017 Juristat
22	to do with to see if proper licensing and	22	Report again at page 49? Just pan down.
23	things were in place for those companies.	23	Yeah, we're good there. Thank you. I'm
	things were in place for those companies. This winter – and we do it again as we near the winter for safety again, most likely,	23 24 25	bringing this up while I was talking about the Registrar because we had a conversation

Page 41 Page 43 with Mr. Doody, the Registrar, and discussed 1 MR. WADDEN: 1 2 with him one of the big problems that we've 2 O. Sure. seen throughout these hearings is that 3 INSPECTOR DIDHAM: 3 4 there's a high number of uninsured drivers 4 But, I mean, if there was something that 5 and I think everyone – every party here 5 could be done with the insurance companies 6 would like to figure out a way to try and 6 and the Registrar, whether it be sharing of 7 reduce those numbers, and I think the number 7 information or I'm not sure how the ATIPP 8 we see there in 2017 is 1,190 insurance 8 legislation would deal with that, but you 9 9 know, if there's some way for the insurance coverage violations. 10 And just to be clear, step back for a 10 to notify the Registrar who can then notify moment, when we look at all these numbers in the police that a person is operating 11 11 12 the Juristat reports, these aren't be all 12 without insurance, you know. If we're 13 end all numbers. The reality is there's a 13 provided with that information that could lot more than that out there uninsured. 14 14 assist. 15 isn't there? 15 MR WADDEN. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: 16 16 Q. And in recent – I think recent changes to 17 There are. I mean, these are just the ones the Highway Traffic Act in June, there's now 17 an onus on a driver to prove that they're 18 we're catching. 18 MR. WADDEN: 19 insured when they're stopped by an officer, 19 20 20 Those are the ones you're catching within I guess, right? your jurisdiction? 21 21 **INSPECTOR DIDHAM:** 22 22 INSPECTOR DIDHAM: That's right. 23 Right. 23 MR. WADDEN: A. 24 MR. WADDEN: 24 Q. But practically, if you stop me, that means 25 Right, exactly. So, I mean, that's high 25 I produce my card of insurance from the Page 42 Page 44 enough as it is, but we know there's more. 1 1 broker, from the insurer. But I suppose, 2 INSPECTOR DIDHAM: 2 even if I produced that for you, unless you 3 Well, it is. I mean, these are numbers just 3 call them, it's possible that that's – that 4 relating to insurance. I mean, another 4 insurance is not active, isn't it? 5 5 number that we haven't captured, and I'm not INSPECTOR DIDHAM: 6 sure if you're aware of or not, is the 6 Α. It is 7 number of vehicles we're impounding each 7 MR. WADDEN: 8 year, you know. Unsafe or uninsured or 8 Does that happen? Q. 9 9 suspended drivers, we're impounding about INSPECTOR DIDHAM: 10 750 vehicles per year just in the northeast 10 It happens. It happens, and not only is – Avalon and of those, about 65 percent are another problem that comes, and I briefly 11 11 crushed, are gone for scrap metal. 12 touched on it, is that when we contact 12 insurance, sometimes they're not allowed to 13 MR. WADDEN: 13 14 If we look at this uninsured number, do you provide us with that information. 14 Q. 15 have any suggestions as to how we can get MR. WADDEN: 15 16 this number down? Does it come down to 16 Right. O. 17 enforcement again or is there operationally INSPECTOR DIDHAM: 17 18 a way we can do it, you know, somehow as 18 A. So, if I call looking for is your insurance 19 between the RNC and the Registrar or 19 active, I have your card and I call and say 20 involvement of insurers? What can we do? 20 I'm with the RNC, I need to know if your 21 INSPECTOR DIDHAM: 21 insurance is active, they're prohibited from 22 A. It'll be just a suggestion because it's 22 providing me with that information and I can't blame them, because really I can call 23 something that's outside the parameter of 23 the police to do, other than the enforcement and say I'm a police officer but really, 24 24 they don't know who they're talking to, 25 side 25

	11001 27, 2018		2017 Automobile insurance Review
١.	Page 45		Page 47
	number one.	1	Traffic Act was antiquated and outdated and
2	MR. WADDEN:	2	needed to be updated, revised and increased.
3	Q. Right, sure.	3	I mean, when we're talking about driving
4	INSPECTOR DIDHAM:	4	without due care and attention which can be
5	A. And so, you know, the connection is not	5	a very serious matter and which would have
6	officially made. You know, so I can see	6	huge consequences, devastating consequences,
7	that being an issue. And again, so that's	7	carried a fine back then of \$100. So,
8	something that really should be addressed.	8	really when we look at for it being a
9	MR. WADDEN:	9	deterrent, so if somebody was going to be
10	Q. That's a problem that's going to require at	10	stopped and given that ticket, a fine of
11	least three or four parties working together	11	\$100 was not – we decided that that was not
12	to try and get it fixed.	12	much of a deterrent, especially, you know,
13	INSPECTOR DIDHAM:	13	what it could cause.
14	A. Exactly.	14	Likewise with the speeding issue.
15	MR. WADDEN:	15	There was an issue with, you know, our
16	Q. Okay. I know you had some involvement,	16	previous Highway Traffic Act only addressed
17	actually a fair amount of involvement with	17	speeds of up to 31 kilometres an hour and
18	the Highway Traffic Act changes, now that	18	above. So, if you were caught doing 60 over
19	we're talking about them, back in June. In	19 20	or you were caught doing 32 over, the fine
20 21	fact, I believe you were part of a committee. You mentioned that committee		and the points were the same. And we all
$\begin{vmatrix} 21\\22\end{vmatrix}$	earlier.	21 22	know, just using common sense, that that's a problem. And so that's where – again, we
$\begin{vmatrix} 22 \\ 23 \end{vmatrix}$	INSPECTOR DIDHAM:	23	looked at it and we asked – and again, I'll
$\begin{vmatrix} 23 \\ 24 \end{vmatrix}$	A. That's correct.	23	give kudos to the Provincial Government on
25	MR. WADDEN:	25	that. All of the recommendations that we
23		23	that. All of the recommendations that we
			P 40
1	Page 46	1	Page 48
1	Q. What was the name of that committee?	1	made when we looked at improving the Highway
2	Q. What was the name of that committee? INSPECTOR DIDHAM:	1 2	made when we looked at improving the Highway Traffic Act, which of course is a living
2 3	Q. What was the name of that committee?INSPECTOR DIDHAM:A. It's the Provincial – Province of	3	made when we looked at improving the Highway Traffic Act, which of course is a living document because things change as needed to
2 3 4	 Q. What was the name of that committee? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. It's the Provincial – Province of Newfoundland Highway Traffic Act Committee. 	3 4	made when we looked at improving the Highway Traffic Act, which of course is a living document because things change as needed to happen, all of the recommendations that we
2 3 4 5	 Q. What was the name of that committee? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. It's the Provincial – Province of Newfoundland Highway Traffic Act Committee. MR. WADDEN: 	3 4 5	made when we looked at improving the Highway Traffic Act, which of course is a living document because things change as needed to happen, all of the recommendations that we put in place or we submitted were accepted.
2 3 4 5 6	 Q. What was the name of that committee? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. It's the Provincial – Province of Newfoundland Highway Traffic Act Committee. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. So, some of the changes that came 	3 4	made when we looked at improving the Highway Traffic Act, which of course is a living document because things change as needed to happen, all of the recommendations that we put in place or we submitted were accepted. (2:15 p.m.)
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. What was the name of that committee? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. It's the Provincial – Province of Newfoundland Highway Traffic Act Committee. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. So, some of the changes that came about as a result of the work of that 	3 4 5 6 7	made when we looked at improving the Highway Traffic Act, which of course is a living document because things change as needed to happen, all of the recommendations that we put in place or we submitted were accepted. (2:15 p.m.) MR. WADDEN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. What was the name of that committee? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. It's the Provincial – Province of Newfoundland Highway Traffic Act Committee. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. So, some of the changes that came about as a result of the work of that committee, and there were a number, and 	3 4 5 6 7 8	made when we looked at improving the Highway Traffic Act, which of course is a living document because things change as needed to happen, all of the recommendations that we put in place or we submitted were accepted. (2:15 p.m.) MR. WADDEN: Q. Right, okay. So, this might be a good time
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. What was the name of that committee? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. It's the Provincial – Province of Newfoundland Highway Traffic Act Committee. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. So, some of the changes that came about as a result of the work of that committee, and there were a number, and we'll just talk about a couple. One of them 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	made when we looked at improving the Highway Traffic Act, which of course is a living document because things change as needed to happen, all of the recommendations that we put in place or we submitted were accepted. (2:15 p.m.) MR. WADDEN: Q. Right, okay. So, this might be a good time to get into sort of what is obviously the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. What was the name of that committee? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. It's the Provincial – Province of Newfoundland Highway Traffic Act Committee. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. So, some of the changes that came about as a result of the work of that committee, and there were a number, and we'll just talk about a couple. One of them was, you know, higher fine for driving 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	made when we looked at improving the Highway Traffic Act, which of course is a living document because things change as needed to happen, all of the recommendations that we put in place or we submitted were accepted. (2:15 p.m.) MR. WADDEN: Q. Right, okay. So, this might be a good time to get into sort of what is obviously the real meat of the problem and that's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. What was the name of that committee? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. It's the Provincial – Province of Newfoundland Highway Traffic Act Committee. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. So, some of the changes that came about as a result of the work of that committee, and there were a number, and we'll just talk about a couple. One of them was, you know, higher fine for driving without due care. I think it's a minimum 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	made when we looked at improving the Highway Traffic Act, which of course is a living document because things change as needed to happen, all of the recommendations that we put in place or we submitted were accepted. (2:15 p.m.) MR. WADDEN: Q. Right, okay. So, this might be a good time to get into sort of what is obviously the real meat of the problem and that's enforcement. Talking about these changes to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. What was the name of that committee? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. It's the Provincial – Province of Newfoundland Highway Traffic Act Committee. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. So, some of the changes that came about as a result of the work of that committee, and there were a number, and we'll just talk about a couple. One of them was, you know, higher fine for driving without due care. I think it's a minimum now of \$2,000. Much bigger fines, as I 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	made when we looked at improving the Highway Traffic Act, which of course is a living document because things change as needed to happen, all of the recommendations that we put in place or we submitted were accepted. (2:15 p.m.) MR. WADDEN: Q. Right, okay. So, this might be a good time to get into sort of what is obviously the real meat of the problem and that's enforcement. Talking about these changes to the Highway Traffic Act and of course, we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. What was the name of that committee? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. It's the Provincial – Province of Newfoundland Highway Traffic Act Committee. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. So, some of the changes that came about as a result of the work of that committee, and there were a number, and we'll just talk about a couple. One of them was, you know, higher fine for driving without due care. I think it's a minimum now of \$2,000. Much bigger fines, as I understand it, for excessive speeding, if 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	made when we looked at improving the Highway Traffic Act, which of course is a living document because things change as needed to happen, all of the recommendations that we put in place or we submitted were accepted. (2:15 p.m.) MR. WADDEN: Q. Right, okay. So, this might be a good time to get into sort of what is obviously the real meat of the problem and that's enforcement. Talking about these changes to the Highway Traffic Act and of course, we can up a fine and one might say that's a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. What was the name of that committee? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. It's the Provincial – Province of Newfoundland Highway Traffic Act Committee. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. So, some of the changes that came about as a result of the work of that committee, and there were a number, and we'll just talk about a couple. One of them was, you know, higher fine for driving without due care. I think it's a minimum now of \$2,000. Much bigger fines, as I understand it, for excessive speeding, if you're doing more than 50K over the posted 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	made when we looked at improving the Highway Traffic Act, which of course is a living document because things change as needed to happen, all of the recommendations that we put in place or we submitted were accepted. (2:15 p.m.) MR. WADDEN: Q. Right, okay. So, this might be a good time to get into sort of what is obviously the real meat of the problem and that's enforcement. Talking about these changes to the Highway Traffic Act and of course, we can up a fine and one might say that's a deterrent, but unless I actually get caught
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. What was the name of that committee? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. It's the Provincial – Province of Newfoundland Highway Traffic Act Committee. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. So, some of the changes that came about as a result of the work of that committee, and there were a number, and we'll just talk about a couple. One of them was, you know, higher fine for driving without due care. I think it's a minimum now of \$2,000. Much bigger fines, as I understand it, for excessive speeding, if you're doing more than 50K over the posted limit. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	made when we looked at improving the Highway Traffic Act, which of course is a living document because things change as needed to happen, all of the recommendations that we put in place or we submitted were accepted. (2:15 p.m.) MR. WADDEN: Q. Right, okay. So, this might be a good time to get into sort of what is obviously the real meat of the problem and that's enforcement. Talking about these changes to the Highway Traffic Act and of course, we can up a fine and one might say that's a deterrent, but unless I actually get caught doing it, maybe I'm not all that deterred.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. What was the name of that committee? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. It's the Provincial – Province of Newfoundland Highway Traffic Act Committee. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. So, some of the changes that came about as a result of the work of that committee, and there were a number, and we'll just talk about a couple. One of them was, you know, higher fine for driving without due care. I think it's a minimum now of \$2,000. Much bigger fines, as I understand it, for excessive speeding, if you're doing more than 50K over the posted limit. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	made when we looked at improving the Highway Traffic Act, which of course is a living document because things change as needed to happen, all of the recommendations that we put in place or we submitted were accepted. (2:15 p.m.) MR. WADDEN: Q. Right, okay. So, this might be a good time to get into sort of what is obviously the real meat of the problem and that's enforcement. Talking about these changes to the Highway Traffic Act and of course, we can up a fine and one might say that's a deterrent, but unless I actually get caught doing it, maybe I'm not all that deterred. INSPECTOR DIDHAM:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. What was the name of that committee? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. It's the Provincial – Province of Newfoundland Highway Traffic Act Committee. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. So, some of the changes that came about as a result of the work of that committee, and there were a number, and we'll just talk about a couple. One of them was, you know, higher fine for driving without due care. I think it's a minimum now of \$2,000. Much bigger fines, as I understand it, for excessive speeding, if you're doing more than 50K over the posted limit. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Yeah. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	made when we looked at improving the Highway Traffic Act, which of course is a living document because things change as needed to happen, all of the recommendations that we put in place or we submitted were accepted. (2:15 p.m.) MR. WADDEN: Q. Right, okay. So, this might be a good time to get into sort of what is obviously the real meat of the problem and that's enforcement. Talking about these changes to the Highway Traffic Act and of course, we can up a fine and one might say that's a deterrent, but unless I actually get caught doing it, maybe I'm not all that deterred. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. What was the name of that committee? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. It's the Provincial – Province of Newfoundland Highway Traffic Act Committee. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. So, some of the changes that came about as a result of the work of that committee, and there were a number, and we'll just talk about a couple. One of them was, you know, higher fine for driving without due care. I think it's a minimum now of \$2,000. Much bigger fines, as I understand it, for excessive speeding, if you're doing more than 50K over the posted limit. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Yeah. MR. WADDEN: 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	made when we looked at improving the Highway Traffic Act, which of course is a living document because things change as needed to happen, all of the recommendations that we put in place or we submitted were accepted. (2:15 p.m.) MR. WADDEN: Q. Right, okay. So, this might be a good time to get into sort of what is obviously the real meat of the problem and that's enforcement. Talking about these changes to the Highway Traffic Act and of course, we can up a fine and one might say that's a deterrent, but unless I actually get caught doing it, maybe I'm not all that deterred. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Right. MR. WADDEN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. What was the name of that committee? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. It's the Provincial – Province of Newfoundland Highway Traffic Act Committee. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. So, some of the changes that came about as a result of the work of that committee, and there were a number, and we'll just talk about a couple. One of them was, you know, higher fine for driving without due care. I think it's a minimum now of \$2,000. Much bigger fines, as I understand it, for excessive speeding, if you're doing more than 50K over the posted limit. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Yeah. MR. WADDEN: Q. Talk to us a little bit about how these 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	made when we looked at improving the Highway Traffic Act, which of course is a living document because things change as needed to happen, all of the recommendations that we put in place or we submitted were accepted. (2:15 p.m.) MR. WADDEN: Q. Right, okay. So, this might be a good time to get into sort of what is obviously the real meat of the problem and that's enforcement. Talking about these changes to the Highway Traffic Act and of course, we can up a fine and one might say that's a deterrent, but unless I actually get caught doing it, maybe I'm not all that deterred. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Right. MR. WADDEN: Q. You need to catch me on the phone. You need
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. What was the name of that committee? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. It's the Provincial – Province of Newfoundland Highway Traffic Act Committee. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. So, some of the changes that came about as a result of the work of that committee, and there were a number, and we'll just talk about a couple. One of them was, you know, higher fine for driving without due care. I think it's a minimum now of \$2,000. Much bigger fines, as I understand it, for excessive speeding, if you're doing more than 50K over the posted limit. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Yeah. MR. WADDEN: Q. Talk to us a little bit about how these changes came about. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	made when we looked at improving the Highway Traffic Act, which of course is a living document because things change as needed to happen, all of the recommendations that we put in place or we submitted were accepted. (2:15 p.m.) MR. WADDEN: Q. Right, okay. So, this might be a good time to get into sort of what is obviously the real meat of the problem and that's enforcement. Talking about these changes to the Highway Traffic Act and of course, we can up a fine and one might say that's a deterrent, but unless I actually get caught doing it, maybe I'm not all that deterred. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Right. MR. WADDEN: Q. You need to catch me on the phone. You need to catch me doing 160 on the highway.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. What was the name of that committee? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. It's the Provincial – Province of Newfoundland Highway Traffic Act Committee. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. So, some of the changes that came about as a result of the work of that committee, and there were a number, and we'll just talk about a couple. One of them was, you know, higher fine for driving without due care. I think it's a minimum now of \$2,000. Much bigger fines, as I understand it, for excessive speeding, if you're doing more than 50K over the posted limit. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Yeah. MR. WADDEN: Q. Talk to us a little bit about how these changes came about. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	made when we looked at improving the Highway Traffic Act, which of course is a living document because things change as needed to happen, all of the recommendations that we put in place or we submitted were accepted. (2:15 p.m.) MR. WADDEN: Q. Right, okay. So, this might be a good time to get into sort of what is obviously the real meat of the problem and that's enforcement. Talking about these changes to the Highway Traffic Act and of course, we can up a fine and one might say that's a deterrent, but unless I actually get caught doing it, maybe I'm not all that deterred. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Right. MR. WADDEN: Q. You need to catch me on the phone. You need to catch me doing 160 on the highway. INSPECTOR DIDHAM:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. What was the name of that committee? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. It's the Provincial – Province of Newfoundland Highway Traffic Act Committee. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. So, some of the changes that came about as a result of the work of that committee, and there were a number, and we'll just talk about a couple. One of them was, you know, higher fine for driving without due care. I think it's a minimum now of \$2,000. Much bigger fines, as I understand it, for excessive speeding, if you're doing more than 50K over the posted limit. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Yeah. MR. WADDEN: Q. Talk to us a little bit about how these changes came about. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Again, in discussions with that committee, 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	made when we looked at improving the Highway Traffic Act, which of course is a living document because things change as needed to happen, all of the recommendations that we put in place or we submitted were accepted. (2:15 p.m.) MR. WADDEN: Q. Right, okay. So, this might be a good time to get into sort of what is obviously the real meat of the problem and that's enforcement. Talking about these changes to the Highway Traffic Act and of course, we can up a fine and one might say that's a deterrent, but unless I actually get caught doing it, maybe I'm not all that deterred. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Right. MR. WADDEN: Q. You need to catch me on the phone. You need to catch me doing 160 on the highway. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. That's right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. What was the name of that committee? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. It's the Provincial – Province of Newfoundland Highway Traffic Act Committee. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. So, some of the changes that came about as a result of the work of that committee, and there were a number, and we'll just talk about a couple. One of them was, you know, higher fine for driving without due care. I think it's a minimum now of \$2,000. Much bigger fines, as I understand it, for excessive speeding, if you're doing more than 50K over the posted limit. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Yeah. MR. WADDEN: Q. Talk to us a little bit about how these changes came about. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Again, in discussions with that committee, one of the things we realized was that some 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	made when we looked at improving the Highway Traffic Act, which of course is a living document because things change as needed to happen, all of the recommendations that we put in place or we submitted were accepted. (2:15 p.m.) MR. WADDEN: Q. Right, okay. So, this might be a good time to get into sort of what is obviously the real meat of the problem and that's enforcement. Talking about these changes to the Highway Traffic Act and of course, we can up a fine and one might say that's a deterrent, but unless I actually get caught doing it, maybe I'm not all that deterred. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Right. MR. WADDEN: Q. You need to catch me on the phone. You need to catch me doing 160 on the highway. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. That's right. MR. WADDEN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. What was the name of that committee? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. It's the Provincial – Province of Newfoundland Highway Traffic Act Committee. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. So, some of the changes that came about as a result of the work of that committee, and there were a number, and we'll just talk about a couple. One of them was, you know, higher fine for driving without due care. I think it's a minimum now of \$2,000. Much bigger fines, as I understand it, for excessive speeding, if you're doing more than 50K over the posted limit. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Yeah. MR. WADDEN: Q. Talk to us a little bit about how these changes came about. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Again, in discussions with that committee, 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	made when we looked at improving the Highway Traffic Act, which of course is a living document because things change as needed to happen, all of the recommendations that we put in place or we submitted were accepted. (2:15 p.m.) MR. WADDEN: Q. Right, okay. So, this might be a good time to get into sort of what is obviously the real meat of the problem and that's enforcement. Talking about these changes to the Highway Traffic Act and of course, we can up a fine and one might say that's a deterrent, but unless I actually get caught doing it, maybe I'm not all that deterred. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Right. MR. WADDEN: Q. You need to catch me on the phone. You need to catch me doing 160 on the highway. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. That's right.

Septer	mber 27, 2018		2017 Automobile Insurance Review
	Page 49		Page 51
1	say, to increase the ability of the police	1	roads?
2	to enforce. Can you talk to me a little bit	2	INSPECTOR DIDHAM:
3	about that?	3	A. Well -
4	INSPECTOR DIDHAM:	4	MR. WADDEN:
5	A. I can, and actually there was a media story	5	Q. You know, only say what you can.
6	out this morning about it.	6	INSPECTOR DIDHAM:
1 7	MR. WADDEN:	7	A. I don't want to get into the numbers, but it
8	Q. Okay.	8	is a significant improvement.
9	INSPECTOR DIDHAM:	9	MR. WADDEN:
10	A. Because it was passed on to a media outlet	10	Q. Yeah, okay.
11	within the Province. So, the RNC executive		INSPECTOR DIDHAM:
12	has – and I was a part of that, not that I'm	12	A. Because I can't – I don't want to say it's a
13	a part of the executive, but I was a part of	13	certain number and then it comes back, no,
14	the proposal that we put together for the	14	we're not going to go with that number;
15	Provincial Government to look at bolstering	15	we're going to go with either more or less
16	and improving and increasing our Traffic	16	and then the Chief is calling me and saying
17	Services members, our traffic enforcement	17	"what'd you say that for?"
18	officers within our jurisdiction to tackle	18	MR. WADDEN:
19	and to work on the enforcement side of	19	Q. I guess the bottom line is, fair enough, the
20	things. As part of that initiative and that	20	RNC has made significant efforts as of now
21	objective, the chief, Chief Joe Boland has	21	to try and get more men and women on the
22	approached all the municipalities, currently	22	roads dealing with these particular issues?
23	we're looking at the northeast Avalon as a	23	INSPECTOR DIDHAM:
24	pilot for this, but has approached all of	24	A. Oh, it is. Look, it's been a high priority
25	the municipalities to look at assisting us	25	for us for a number of years, and in
	<u> </u>		
1	Page 50		Page 52
1	Page 50 with resources as it relates to increasing	1	Page 52 particular for the last five years for sure
1 2	with resources as it relates to increasing	1 2	particular for the last five years for sure,
2	with resources as it relates to increasing our numbers for our traffic enforcement, our	2	particular for the last five years for sure, and again, this is another way of showing
	with resources as it relates to increasing our numbers for our traffic enforcement, our actual human resources and our vehicles and	2 3	particular for the last five years for sure, and again, this is another way of showing and, you know, partnering with everybody to
2 3 4	with resources as it relates to increasing our numbers for our traffic enforcement, our actual human resources and our vehicles and equipment. And we've had extremely positive	2 3 4	particular for the last five years for sure, and again, this is another way of showing and, you know, partnering with everybody to show that this is a high priority and we're
2 3 4 5	with resources as it relates to increasing our numbers for our traffic enforcement, our actual human resources and our vehicles and equipment. And we've had extremely positive feedback from those groups so far. I know	2 3 4 5	particular for the last five years for sure, and again, this is another way of showing and, you know, partnering with everybody to show that this is a high priority and we're not going to stop until we get this under
2 3 4	with resources as it relates to increasing our numbers for our traffic enforcement, our actual human resources and our vehicles and equipment. And we've had extremely positive feedback from those groups so far. I know the Chief is in discussions with the	2 3 4	particular for the last five years for sure, and again, this is another way of showing and, you know, partnering with everybody to show that this is a high priority and we're not going to stop until we get this under control.
2 3 4 5 6 7	with resources as it relates to increasing our numbers for our traffic enforcement, our actual human resources and our vehicles and equipment. And we've had extremely positive feedback from those groups so far. I know the Chief is in discussions with the Provincial Government on that right now and	2 3 4 5 6 7	particular for the last five years for sure, and again, this is another way of showing and, you know, partnering with everybody to show that this is a high priority and we're not going to stop until we get this under control. MR. WADDEN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	with resources as it relates to increasing our numbers for our traffic enforcement, our actual human resources and our vehicles and equipment. And we've had extremely positive feedback from those groups so far. I know the Chief is in discussions with the Provincial Government on that right now and we're moving forward with that with the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	particular for the last five years for sure, and again, this is another way of showing and, you know, partnering with everybody to show that this is a high priority and we're not going to stop until we get this under control. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	with resources as it relates to increasing our numbers for our traffic enforcement, our actual human resources and our vehicles and equipment. And we've had extremely positive feedback from those groups so far. I know the Chief is in discussions with the Provincial Government on that right now and we're moving forward with that with the anticipation that this will come to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	particular for the last five years for sure, and again, this is another way of showing and, you know, partnering with everybody to show that this is a high priority and we're not going to stop until we get this under control. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. INSPECTOR DIDHAM:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	with resources as it relates to increasing our numbers for our traffic enforcement, our actual human resources and our vehicles and equipment. And we've had extremely positive feedback from those groups so far. I know the Chief is in discussions with the Provincial Government on that right now and we're moving forward with that with the anticipation that this will come to fruition. But again, it has to come, final	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	particular for the last five years for sure, and again, this is another way of showing and, you know, partnering with everybody to show that this is a high priority and we're not going to stop until we get this under control. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Or at least do our part.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	with resources as it relates to increasing our numbers for our traffic enforcement, our actual human resources and our vehicles and equipment. And we've had extremely positive feedback from those groups so far. I know the Chief is in discussions with the Provincial Government on that right now and we're moving forward with that with the anticipation that this will come to fruition. But again, it has to come, final approval, through the Provincial Government	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	particular for the last five years for sure, and again, this is another way of showing and, you know, partnering with everybody to show that this is a high priority and we're not going to stop until we get this under control. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Or at least do our part. MR. WADDEN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	with resources as it relates to increasing our numbers for our traffic enforcement, our actual human resources and our vehicles and equipment. And we've had extremely positive feedback from those groups so far. I know the Chief is in discussions with the Provincial Government on that right now and we're moving forward with that with the anticipation that this will come to fruition. But again, it has to come, final approval, through the Provincial Government process.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	particular for the last five years for sure, and again, this is another way of showing and, you know, partnering with everybody to show that this is a high priority and we're not going to stop until we get this under control. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Or at least do our part. MR. WADDEN: Q. Now, deterrents can come, as you and I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	with resources as it relates to increasing our numbers for our traffic enforcement, our actual human resources and our vehicles and equipment. And we've had extremely positive feedback from those groups so far. I know the Chief is in discussions with the Provincial Government on that right now and we're moving forward with that with the anticipation that this will come to fruition. But again, it has to come, final approval, through the Provincial Government process. MR. WADDEN:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	particular for the last five years for sure, and again, this is another way of showing and, you know, partnering with everybody to show that this is a high priority and we're not going to stop until we get this under control. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Or at least do our part. MR. WADDEN: Q. Now, deterrents can come, as you and I discussed, in other formats. One of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	with resources as it relates to increasing our numbers for our traffic enforcement, our actual human resources and our vehicles and equipment. And we've had extremely positive feedback from those groups so far. I know the Chief is in discussions with the Provincial Government on that right now and we're moving forward with that with the anticipation that this will come to fruition. But again, it has to come, final approval, through the Provincial Government process. MR. WADDEN: Q. Right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	particular for the last five years for sure, and again, this is another way of showing and, you know, partnering with everybody to show that this is a high priority and we're not going to stop until we get this under control. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Or at least do our part. MR. WADDEN: Q. Now, deterrents can come, as you and I discussed, in other formats. One of the things I mentioned to Mr. Donaher and I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	with resources as it relates to increasing our numbers for our traffic enforcement, our actual human resources and our vehicles and equipment. And we've had extremely positive feedback from those groups so far. I know the Chief is in discussions with the Provincial Government on that right now and we're moving forward with that with the anticipation that this will come to fruition. But again, it has to come, final approval, through the Provincial Government process. MR. WADDEN: Q. Right. INSPECTOR DIDHAM:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	particular for the last five years for sure, and again, this is another way of showing and, you know, partnering with everybody to show that this is a high priority and we're not going to stop until we get this under control. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Or at least do our part. MR. WADDEN: Q. Now, deterrents can come, as you and I discussed, in other formats. One of the things I mentioned to Mr. Donaher and I discussed with you that in other
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	with resources as it relates to increasing our numbers for our traffic enforcement, our actual human resources and our vehicles and equipment. And we've had extremely positive feedback from those groups so far. I know the Chief is in discussions with the Provincial Government on that right now and we're moving forward with that with the anticipation that this will come to fruition. But again, it has to come, final approval, through the Provincial Government process. MR. WADDEN: Q. Right. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. But it is moving along and you know, I know	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	particular for the last five years for sure, and again, this is another way of showing and, you know, partnering with everybody to show that this is a high priority and we're not going to stop until we get this under control. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Or at least do our part. MR. WADDEN: Q. Now, deterrents can come, as you and I discussed, in other formats. One of the things I mentioned to Mr. Donaher and I discussed with you that in other jurisdictions we often see photo
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	with resources as it relates to increasing our numbers for our traffic enforcement, our actual human resources and our vehicles and equipment. And we've had extremely positive feedback from those groups so far. I know the Chief is in discussions with the Provincial Government on that right now and we're moving forward with that with the anticipation that this will come to fruition. But again, it has to come, final approval, through the Provincial Government process. MR. WADDEN: Q. Right. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. But it is moving along and you know, I know that there's a lot of positive feedback	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	particular for the last five years for sure, and again, this is another way of showing and, you know, partnering with everybody to show that this is a high priority and we're not going to stop until we get this under control. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Or at least do our part. MR. WADDEN: Q. Now, deterrents can come, as you and I discussed, in other formats. One of the things I mentioned to Mr. Donaher and I discussed with you that in other jurisdictions we often see photo enforcement. Notwithstanding the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	with resources as it relates to increasing our numbers for our traffic enforcement, our actual human resources and our vehicles and equipment. And we've had extremely positive feedback from those groups so far. I know the Chief is in discussions with the Provincial Government on that right now and we're moving forward with that with the anticipation that this will come to fruition. But again, it has to come, final approval, through the Provincial Government process. MR. WADDEN: Q. Right. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. But it is moving along and you know, I know that there's a lot of positive feedback coming from the Province and our other	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	particular for the last five years for sure, and again, this is another way of showing and, you know, partnering with everybody to show that this is a high priority and we're not going to stop until we get this under control. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Or at least do our part. MR. WADDEN: Q. Now, deterrents can come, as you and I discussed, in other formats. One of the things I mentioned to Mr. Donaher and I discussed with you that in other jurisdictions we often see photo enforcement. Notwithstanding the legislative requirements that have to come
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	with resources as it relates to increasing our numbers for our traffic enforcement, our actual human resources and our vehicles and equipment. And we've had extremely positive feedback from those groups so far. I know the Chief is in discussions with the Provincial Government on that right now and we're moving forward with that with the anticipation that this will come to fruition. But again, it has to come, final approval, through the Provincial Government process. MR. WADDEN: Q. Right. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. But it is moving along and you know, I know that there's a lot of positive feedback	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	particular for the last five years for sure, and again, this is another way of showing and, you know, partnering with everybody to show that this is a high priority and we're not going to stop until we get this under control. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Or at least do our part. MR. WADDEN: Q. Now, deterrents can come, as you and I discussed, in other formats. One of the things I mentioned to Mr. Donaher and I discussed with you that in other jurisdictions we often see photo enforcement. Notwithstanding the legislative requirements that have to come along with that to allow police to issue
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	with resources as it relates to increasing our numbers for our traffic enforcement, our actual human resources and our vehicles and equipment. And we've had extremely positive feedback from those groups so far. I know the Chief is in discussions with the Provincial Government on that right now and we're moving forward with that with the anticipation that this will come to fruition. But again, it has to come, final approval, through the Provincial Government process. MR. WADDEN: Q. Right. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. But it is moving along and you know, I know that there's a lot of positive feedback coming from the Province and our other partners as it relates to that. MR. WADDEN:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	particular for the last five years for sure, and again, this is another way of showing and, you know, partnering with everybody to show that this is a high priority and we're not going to stop until we get this under control. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Or at least do our part. MR. WADDEN: Q. Now, deterrents can come, as you and I discussed, in other formats. One of the things I mentioned to Mr. Donaher and I discussed with you that in other jurisdictions we often see photo enforcement. Notwithstanding the legislative requirements that have to come along with that to allow police to issue tickets based on photos at intersection by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	with resources as it relates to increasing our numbers for our traffic enforcement, our actual human resources and our vehicles and equipment. And we've had extremely positive feedback from those groups so far. I know the Chief is in discussions with the Provincial Government on that right now and we're moving forward with that with the anticipation that this will come to fruition. But again, it has to come, final approval, through the Provincial Government process. MR. WADDEN: Q. Right. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. But it is moving along and you know, I know that there's a lot of positive feedback coming from the Province and our other partners as it relates to that. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. And Inspector Didham, I don't know if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	particular for the last five years for sure, and again, this is another way of showing and, you know, partnering with everybody to show that this is a high priority and we're not going to stop until we get this under control. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Or at least do our part. MR. WADDEN: Q. Now, deterrents can come, as you and I discussed, in other formats. One of the things I mentioned to Mr. Donaher and I discussed with you that in other jurisdictions we often see photo enforcement. Notwithstanding the legislative requirements that have to come along with that to allow police to issue tickets based on photos at intersection by cameras and the cost, of course, associated
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	with resources as it relates to increasing our numbers for our traffic enforcement, our actual human resources and our vehicles and equipment. And we've had extremely positive feedback from those groups so far. I know the Chief is in discussions with the Provincial Government on that right now and we're moving forward with that with the anticipation that this will come to fruition. But again, it has to come, final approval, through the Provincial Government process. MR. WADDEN: Q. Right. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. But it is moving along and you know, I know that there's a lot of positive feedback coming from the Province and our other partners as it relates to that. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. And Inspector Didham, I don't know if you mentioned it, and you may not be at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	particular for the last five years for sure, and again, this is another way of showing and, you know, partnering with everybody to show that this is a high priority and we're not going to stop until we get this under control. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Or at least do our part. MR. WADDEN: Q. Now, deterrents can come, as you and I discussed, in other formats. One of the things I mentioned to Mr. Donaher and I discussed with you that in other jurisdictions we often see photo enforcement. Notwithstanding the legislative requirements that have to come along with that to allow police to issue tickets based on photos at intersection by cameras and the cost, of course, associated with it, in your view is that something
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	with resources as it relates to increasing our numbers for our traffic enforcement, our actual human resources and our vehicles and equipment. And we've had extremely positive feedback from those groups so far. I know the Chief is in discussions with the Provincial Government on that right now and we're moving forward with that with the anticipation that this will come to fruition. But again, it has to come, final approval, through the Provincial Government process. MR. WADDEN: Q. Right. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. But it is moving along and you know, I know that there's a lot of positive feedback coming from the Province and our other partners as it relates to that. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. And Inspector Didham, I don't know if you mentioned it, and you may not be at liberty to, so just tell me if that's the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	particular for the last five years for sure, and again, this is another way of showing and, you know, partnering with everybody to show that this is a high priority and we're not going to stop until we get this under control. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Or at least do our part. MR. WADDEN: Q. Now, deterrents can come, as you and I discussed, in other formats. One of the things I mentioned to Mr. Donaher and I discussed with you that in other jurisdictions we often see photo enforcement. Notwithstanding the legislative requirements that have to come along with that to allow police to issue tickets based on photos at intersection by cameras and the cost, of course, associated
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	with resources as it relates to increasing our numbers for our traffic enforcement, our actual human resources and our vehicles and equipment. And we've had extremely positive feedback from those groups so far. I know the Chief is in discussions with the Provincial Government on that right now and we're moving forward with that with the anticipation that this will come to fruition. But again, it has to come, final approval, through the Provincial Government process. MR. WADDEN: Q. Right. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. But it is moving along and you know, I know that there's a lot of positive feedback coming from the Province and our other partners as it relates to that. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. And Inspector Didham, I don't know if you mentioned it, and you may not be at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	particular for the last five years for sure, and again, this is another way of showing and, you know, partnering with everybody to show that this is a high priority and we're not going to stop until we get this under control. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Or at least do our part. MR. WADDEN: Q. Now, deterrents can come, as you and I discussed, in other formats. One of the things I mentioned to Mr. Donaher and I discussed with you that in other jurisdictions we often see photo enforcement. Notwithstanding the legislative requirements that have to come along with that to allow police to issue tickets based on photos at intersection by cameras and the cost, of course, associated with it, in your view is that something effective that we could do here in the City?

1			
1	Page 53		Page 55
1 ^	MR. WADDEN:	1	in the collision.
	Q. Okay.	2	So, we can use it investigatively for
Ī.	INSPECTOR DIDHAM:	3	our files to determine any contributing
4	A. Certainly it is, and I know the Province is	4	factors or if there was any offences that
5	 they've either moved significantly forward 	5	were committed. However, for policing
6	with that process or were nearing actually	6	purposes, we need either judicial
7	moving into that concept in certain	7	authorization to obtain that information or
8	capacities, construction zones, school zones	8	consent. So, a lot of cases when you look
9	and things like that.	9	at our numbers and you see that we have –
10	MR. WADDEN:	10	2017 we have 4,700 collisions. Why didn't
	Q. Right. Start with say the most important	11	we have 4,700 downloads or more if there was
12	spots, which would be school zones, of	12	two vehicles involved. A lot of cases, we
13	course, and construction zones and move	13	didn't have the authority to get that. So,
14	outward from there?	14	you know, if we didn't believe an offence
	INSPECTOR DIDHAM:	15	occurred that would satisfy a judge to sign
16	A. Exactly, yeah.	16	a warrant or someone wouldn't give us
17	MR. WADDEN:	17	consent.
18	Q. Okay. You and I also discussed a concept I	18	So, that information, when we download
19	found very interesting, something called	19	it – and I'm just going to use a number, and
20	event data recorders. Can you tell the	20	this is an approximate number, I would say
21	Board and everybody in the room exactly what	21	in 2017, we may have downloaded 100-120
22	that is and what their usefulness can be?	22	cars, vehicles that were involved in a
1	INSPECTOR DIDHAM:	23	collision. So, really it's not a very large
1	A. Sure. So, basically an event data recorder,	24	number when you look at the amount of
25	and just to give you a snapshot of it, you	25	collisions that our people investigated.
l .	Page 54		Page 56
1	know, an airplane has a black box in an	1	However, that data, once it's stored or
2	airplane. Very similar, vehicles especially		
		2	downloaded by us and analysed we have
3	newer vehicles – and when I say newer	3	four officers that are trained in Traffic
4	vehicles I'm probably going to date us, but	3 4	four officers that are trained in Traffic Services to download and analyse those
4 5	vehicles I'm probably going to date us, but from say 2004 and up, all vehicles have a	3 4 5	four officers that are trained in Traffic Services to download and analyse those reports and they can testify to those
4 5 6	vehicles I'm probably going to date us, but from say 2004 and up, all vehicles have a form of an event data recorder or crash data	3 4 5 6	four officers that are trained in Traffic Services to download and analyse those reports and they can testify to those reports as to what the data means. Now,
4 5 6 7	vehicles I'm probably going to date us, but from say 2004 and up, all vehicles have a form of an event data recorder or crash data recorder, both are synonymous. Some	3 4 5 6 7	four officers that are trained in Traffic Services to download and analyse those reports and they can testify to those reports as to what the data means. Now, it's very clear data because it actually
4 5 6 7 8	vehicles I'm probably going to date us, but from say 2004 and up, all vehicles have a form of an event data recorder or crash data recorder, both are synonymous. Some companies call it a crash data recorder.	3 4 5 6 7 8	four officers that are trained in Traffic Services to download and analyse those reports and they can testify to those reports as to what the data means. Now, it's very clear data because it actually says speed at five seconds and it's stored,
4 5 6 7 8 9	vehicles I'm probably going to date us, but from say 2004 and up, all vehicles have a form of an event data recorder or crash data recorder, both are synonymous. Some companies call it a crash data recorder. Some call it event data recorder. But in	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	four officers that are trained in Traffic Services to download and analyse those reports and they can testify to those reports as to what the data means. Now, it's very clear data because it actually says speed at five seconds and it's stored, in a lot of cases, every quarter of a
4 5 6 7 8 9	vehicles I'm probably going to date us, but from say 2004 and up, all vehicles have a form of an event data recorder or crash data recorder, both are synonymous. Some companies call it a crash data recorder. Some call it event data recorder. But in any case, they capture very similar	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	four officers that are trained in Traffic Services to download and analyse those reports and they can testify to those reports as to what the data means. Now, it's very clear data because it actually says speed at five seconds and it's stored, in a lot of cases, every quarter of a second. It's captured for every quarter of
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	vehicles I'm probably going to date us, but from say 2004 and up, all vehicles have a form of an event data recorder or crash data recorder, both are synonymous. Some companies call it a crash data recorder. Some call it event data recorder. But in any case, they capture very similar information and generally – and when I say	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	four officers that are trained in Traffic Services to download and analyse those reports and they can testify to those reports as to what the data means. Now, it's very clear data because it actually says speed at five seconds and it's stored, in a lot of cases, every quarter of a second. It's captured for every quarter of a second.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	vehicles I'm probably going to date us, but from say 2004 and up, all vehicles have a form of an event data recorder or crash data recorder, both are synonymous. Some companies call it a crash data recorder. Some call it event data recorder. But in any case, they capture very similar information and generally – and when I say generally, it's pretty much the same with	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	four officers that are trained in Traffic Services to download and analyse those reports and they can testify to those reports as to what the data means. Now, it's very clear data because it actually says speed at five seconds and it's stored, in a lot of cases, every quarter of a second. It's captured for every quarter of a second. MR. WADDEN:
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	vehicles I'm probably going to date us, but from say 2004 and up, all vehicles have a form of an event data recorder or crash data recorder, both are synonymous. Some companies call it a crash data recorder. Some call it event data recorder. But in any case, they capture very similar information and generally – and when I say generally, it's pretty much the same with all of these pieces of equipment.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	four officers that are trained in Traffic Services to download and analyse those reports and they can testify to those reports as to what the data means. Now, it's very clear data because it actually says speed at five seconds and it's stored, in a lot of cases, every quarter of a second. It's captured for every quarter of a second. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	vehicles I'm probably going to date us, but from say 2004 and up, all vehicles have a form of an event data recorder or crash data recorder, both are synonymous. Some companies call it a crash data recorder. Some call it event data recorder. But in any case, they capture very similar information and generally – and when I say generally, it's pretty much the same with all of these pieces of equipment. What happens is that when a vehicle is	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	four officers that are trained in Traffic Services to download and analyse those reports and they can testify to those reports as to what the data means. Now, it's very clear data because it actually says speed at five seconds and it's stored, in a lot of cases, every quarter of a second. It's captured for every quarter of a second. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. INSPECTOR DIDHAM:
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	vehicles I'm probably going to date us, but from say 2004 and up, all vehicles have a form of an event data recorder or crash data recorder, both are synonymous. Some companies call it a crash data recorder. Some call it event data recorder. But in any case, they capture very similar information and generally – and when I say generally, it's pretty much the same with all of these pieces of equipment. What happens is that when a vehicle is involved in a collision, any of the data	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	four officers that are trained in Traffic Services to download and analyse those reports and they can testify to those reports as to what the data means. Now, it's very clear data because it actually says speed at five seconds and it's stored, in a lot of cases, every quarter of a second. It's captured for every quarter of a second. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. So, it's a very easy form to read. However,
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	vehicles I'm probably going to date us, but from say 2004 and up, all vehicles have a form of an event data recorder or crash data recorder, both are synonymous. Some companies call it a crash data recorder. Some call it event data recorder. But in any case, they capture very similar information and generally – and when I say generally, it's pretty much the same with all of these pieces of equipment. What happens is that when a vehicle is involved in a collision, any of the data that happened and it's usually within the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	four officers that are trained in Traffic Services to download and analyse those reports and they can testify to those reports as to what the data means. Now, it's very clear data because it actually says speed at five seconds and it's stored, in a lot of cases, every quarter of a second. It's captured for every quarter of a second. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. So, it's a very easy form to read. However, we have trained people that can actually
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	vehicles I'm probably going to date us, but from say 2004 and up, all vehicles have a form of an event data recorder or crash data recorder, both are synonymous. Some companies call it a crash data recorder. Some call it event data recorder. But in any case, they capture very similar information and generally – and when I say generally, it's pretty much the same with all of these pieces of equipment. What happens is that when a vehicle is involved in a collision, any of the data that happened and it's usually within the previous five seconds up to the point of	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	four officers that are trained in Traffic Services to download and analyse those reports and they can testify to those reports as to what the data means. Now, it's very clear data because it actually says speed at five seconds and it's stored, in a lot of cases, every quarter of a second. It's captured for every quarter of a second. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. So, it's a very easy form to read. However, we have trained people that can actually come into Court and testify to that. That
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	vehicles I'm probably going to date us, but from say 2004 and up, all vehicles have a form of an event data recorder or crash data recorder, both are synonymous. Some companies call it a crash data recorder. Some call it event data recorder. But in any case, they capture very similar information and generally – and when I say generally, it's pretty much the same with all of these pieces of equipment. What happens is that when a vehicle is involved in a collision, any of the data that happened and it's usually within the previous five seconds up to the point of collision, so you know, driving down the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	four officers that are trained in Traffic Services to download and analyse those reports and they can testify to those reports as to what the data means. Now, it's very clear data because it actually says speed at five seconds and it's stored, in a lot of cases, every quarter of a second. It's captured for every quarter of a second. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. So, it's a very easy form to read. However, we have trained people that can actually come into Court and testify to that. That data is available to anybody outside of the
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	vehicles I'm probably going to date us, but from say 2004 and up, all vehicles have a form of an event data recorder or crash data recorder, both are synonymous. Some companies call it a crash data recorder. Some call it event data recorder. But in any case, they capture very similar information and generally – and when I say generally, it's pretty much the same with all of these pieces of equipment. What happens is that when a vehicle is involved in a collision, any of the data that happened and it's usually within the previous five seconds up to the point of collision, so you know, driving down the road doing your 100, five seconds after that	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	four officers that are trained in Traffic Services to download and analyse those reports and they can testify to those reports as to what the data means. Now, it's very clear data because it actually says speed at five seconds and it's stored, in a lot of cases, every quarter of a second. It's captured for every quarter of a second. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. So, it's a very easy form to read. However, we have trained people that can actually come into Court and testify to that. That data is available to anybody outside of the police if you come into possession of the
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	vehicles I'm probably going to date us, but from say 2004 and up, all vehicles have a form of an event data recorder or crash data recorder, both are synonymous. Some companies call it a crash data recorder. Some call it event data recorder. But in any case, they capture very similar information and generally – and when I say generally, it's pretty much the same with all of these pieces of equipment. What happens is that when a vehicle is involved in a collision, any of the data that happened and it's usually within the previous five seconds up to the point of collision, so you know, driving down the road doing your 100, five seconds after that you're involved in a collision, all the data	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	four officers that are trained in Traffic Services to download and analyse those reports and they can testify to those reports as to what the data means. Now, it's very clear data because it actually says speed at five seconds and it's stored, in a lot of cases, every quarter of a second. It's captured for every quarter of a second. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. So, it's a very easy form to read. However, we have trained people that can actually come into Court and testify to that. That data is available to anybody outside of the police if you come into possession of the vehicle afterwards. So, if we release a
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	vehicles I'm probably going to date us, but from say 2004 and up, all vehicles have a form of an event data recorder or crash data recorder, both are synonymous. Some companies call it a crash data recorder. Some call it event data recorder. But in any case, they capture very similar information and generally – and when I say generally, it's pretty much the same with all of these pieces of equipment. What happens is that when a vehicle is involved in a collision, any of the data that happened and it's usually within the previous five seconds up to the point of collision, so you know, driving down the road doing your 100, five seconds after that you're involved in a collision, all the data that was available in that computer is	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	four officers that are trained in Traffic Services to download and analyse those reports and they can testify to those reports as to what the data means. Now, it's very clear data because it actually says speed at five seconds and it's stored, in a lot of cases, every quarter of a second. It's captured for every quarter of a second. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. So, it's a very easy form to read. However, we have trained people that can actually come into Court and testify to that. That data is available to anybody outside of the police if you come into possession of the vehicle afterwards. So, if we release a vehicle after a collision, after the
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	vehicles I'm probably going to date us, but from say 2004 and up, all vehicles have a form of an event data recorder or crash data recorder, both are synonymous. Some companies call it a crash data recorder. Some call it event data recorder. But in any case, they capture very similar information and generally – and when I say generally, it's pretty much the same with all of these pieces of equipment. What happens is that when a vehicle is involved in a collision, any of the data that happened and it's usually within the previous five seconds up to the point of collision, so you know, driving down the road doing your 100, five seconds after that you're involved in a collision, all the data that was available in that computer is stored and that data can include your speed,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	four officers that are trained in Traffic Services to download and analyse those reports and they can testify to those reports as to what the data means. Now, it's very clear data because it actually says speed at five seconds and it's stored, in a lot of cases, every quarter of a second. It's captured for every quarter of a second. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. So, it's a very easy form to read. However, we have trained people that can actually come into Court and testify to that. That data is available to anybody outside of the police if you come into possession of the vehicle afterwards. So, if we release a vehicle after a collision, after the investigation is done, we release it to the
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	vehicles I'm probably going to date us, but from say 2004 and up, all vehicles have a form of an event data recorder or crash data recorder, both are synonymous. Some companies call it a crash data recorder. Some call it event data recorder. But in any case, they capture very similar information and generally – and when I say generally, it's pretty much the same with all of these pieces of equipment. What happens is that when a vehicle is involved in a collision, any of the data that happened and it's usually within the previous five seconds up to the point of collision, so you know, driving down the road doing your 100, five seconds after that you're involved in a collision, all the data that was available in that computer is stored and that data can include your speed, your seatbelt use, heavy braking, steering.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	four officers that are trained in Traffic Services to download and analyse those reports and they can testify to those reports as to what the data means. Now, it's very clear data because it actually says speed at five seconds and it's stored, in a lot of cases, every quarter of a second. It's captured for every quarter of a second. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. So, it's a very easy form to read. However, we have trained people that can actually come into Court and testify to that. That data is available to anybody outside of the police if you come into possession of the vehicle afterwards. So, if we release a vehicle after a collision, after the investigation is done, we release it to the insurance or to the private owner and they
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	vehicles I'm probably going to date us, but from say 2004 and up, all vehicles have a form of an event data recorder or crash data recorder, both are synonymous. Some companies call it a crash data recorder. Some call it event data recorder. But in any case, they capture very similar information and generally – and when I say generally, it's pretty much the same with all of these pieces of equipment. What happens is that when a vehicle is involved in a collision, any of the data that happened and it's usually within the previous five seconds up to the point of collision, so you know, driving down the road doing your 100, five seconds after that you're involved in a collision, all the data that was available in that computer is stored and that data can include your speed,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	four officers that are trained in Traffic Services to download and analyse those reports and they can testify to those reports as to what the data means. Now, it's very clear data because it actually says speed at five seconds and it's stored, in a lot of cases, every quarter of a second. It's captured for every quarter of a second. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. So, it's a very easy form to read. However, we have trained people that can actually come into Court and testify to that. That data is available to anybody outside of the police if you come into possession of the vehicle afterwards. So, if we release a vehicle after a collision, after the investigation is done, we release it to the

	mber 27, 2018		201 / Automobile Insurance Review
	Page 57		Page 59
1	MR. WADDEN:	1	increase as advancements in technology
2	Q. Inspector Didham, you mentioned what this	2	increase.
3	particular apparatus records. You mentioned	3	MR. WADDEN:
4	speeding, braking, steering. We could tell	4	Q. Aside from the obvious key of enforcement,
5	as well if someone at the time of the	5	are there other ways you can suggest to
6	collision had their seatbelt on?	6	curtail speeding? We've talked about
7	INSPECTOR DIDHAM:	7	cameras. We've talked about these
8	A. Yes.	8	apparatuses in vehicles. The City uses
9	MR. WADDEN:	9	things like speed humps, medians and these
10	Q. Okay. And I appreciate what you're saying	10	have been proven effective. To your
11	it's only used in certain types of	11	knowledge, are those things helpful as well
12	collisions, but this data is available in	12	in reducing speeding?
13	most vehicles, assuming they're 2004 onward.	13	INSPECTOR DIDHAM:
14	You have four people on the RNC who are	14	A. They are. I mean, they identify – I mean,
15	trained to extract this data from a vehicle.	15	we have identified areas of concern and the
16	Any reason why someone outside of the RNC	16	City is very fortunate and it's good on them
17	couldn't be trained to do that?	17	to have it, that we have traffic engineers
18	INSPECTOR DIDHAM:	18	and traffic specialists within the City that
19	A. No reason at all.	19	they can utilize and it's a great resource
20	MR. WADDEN:	20	to have. They can get out and do the
21	Q. Okay. So, is it fair to say that an	21	studies and collect the data and put in
22	insurance company, if they wished to, if	22	place things like speed bumps or medians or
23	they wanted to take something like this on,	23	roundabouts or four-way stop signs and
$\begin{vmatrix} 23 \\ 24 \end{vmatrix}$	with the consent of their customers, their	24	whatnot to curb traffic and to calm some
25	consumers, they could do this too? They	25	
23		23	traffic issues. Other municipalities are
.	Page 58		Page 60
	could get that training?	1	not so fortunate because I mean, a cost
2	INSPECTOR DIDHAM:	2	comes with that.
3	A. Presumably, yeah. I'm not an insurance	3	A number of occasions, I've gone out
4	company, but -	4	
5		_	and worked with municipalities on a form of
1 /	MR. WADDEN:	5	highway design or intersection design to
6	Q. No, no, I understand.	6	highway design or intersection design to assist them with if there was some sight
7	Q. No, no, I understand. INSPECTOR DIDHAM:	6 7	highway design or intersection design to assist them with if there was some sight line obstructions or if there was some
7 8	Q. No, no, I understand.INSPECTOR DIDHAM:A but I don't see any issue with that, no.	6 7 8	highway design or intersection design to assist them with if there was some sight line obstructions or if there was some issues that they were having with speeding
7 8 9	Q. No, no, I understand.INSPECTOR DIDHAM:A but I don't see any issue with that, no.MR. WADDEN:	6 7 8 9	highway design or intersection design to assist them with if there was some sight line obstructions or if there was some issues that they were having with speeding in particular. One case, there was a
7 8 9 10	 Q. No, no, I understand. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A but I don't see any issue with that, no. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. But it's a tool that can be used is 	6 7 8 9 10	highway design or intersection design to assist them with if there was some sight line obstructions or if there was some issues that they were having with speeding in particular. One case, there was a problem down in Pouch Cove that they were
7 8 9 10 11	 Q. No, no, I understand. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A but I don't see any issue with that, no. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. But it's a tool that can be used is the point? 	6 7 8 9 10 11	highway design or intersection design to assist them with if there was some sight line obstructions or if there was some issues that they were having with speeding in particular. One case, there was a problem down in Pouch Cove that they were having speeding on the main road going
7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. No, no, I understand. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A but I don't see any issue with that, no. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. But it's a tool that can be used is the point? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	highway design or intersection design to assist them with if there was some sight line obstructions or if there was some issues that they were having with speeding in particular. One case, there was a problem down in Pouch Cove that they were having speeding on the main road going through Pouch Cove and being that it's a
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. No, no, I understand. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A but I don't see any issue with that, no. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. But it's a tool that can be used is the point? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Oh yes, certainly. 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	highway design or intersection design to assist them with if there was some sight line obstructions or if there was some issues that they were having with speeding in particular. One case, there was a problem down in Pouch Cove that they were having speeding on the main road going through Pouch Cove and being that it's a very narrow piece of roadway and it's a
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. No, no, I understand. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A but I don't see any issue with that, no. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. But it's a tool that can be used is the point? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Oh yes, certainly. MR. WADDEN: 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	highway design or intersection design to assist them with if there was some sight line obstructions or if there was some issues that they were having with speeding in particular. One case, there was a problem down in Pouch Cove that they were having speeding on the main road going through Pouch Cove and being that it's a very narrow piece of roadway and it's a heavily congested area with pedestrians and
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. No, no, I understand. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A but I don't see any issue with that, no. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. But it's a tool that can be used is the point? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Oh yes, certainly. MR. WADDEN: Q. Right. 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	highway design or intersection design to assist them with if there was some sight line obstructions or if there was some issues that they were having with speeding in particular. One case, there was a problem down in Pouch Cove that they were having speeding on the main road going through Pouch Cove and being that it's a very narrow piece of roadway and it's a heavily congested area with pedestrians and houses down there, they were having an
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. No, no, I understand. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A but I don't see any issue with that, no. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. But it's a tool that can be used is the point? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Oh yes, certainly. MR. WADDEN: Q. Right. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	highway design or intersection design to assist them with if there was some sight line obstructions or if there was some issues that they were having with speeding in particular. One case, there was a problem down in Pouch Cove that they were having speeding on the main road going through Pouch Cove and being that it's a very narrow piece of roadway and it's a heavily congested area with pedestrians and houses down there, they were having an issue. They wanted to try to slow traffic
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. No, no, I understand. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A but I don't see any issue with that, no. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. But it's a tool that can be used is the point? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Oh yes, certainly. MR. WADDEN: Q. Right. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. And it should be noted that this equipment 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	highway design or intersection design to assist them with if there was some sight line obstructions or if there was some issues that they were having with speeding in particular. One case, there was a problem down in Pouch Cove that they were having speeding on the main road going through Pouch Cove and being that it's a very narrow piece of roadway and it's a heavily congested area with pedestrians and houses down there, they were having an issue. They wanted to try to slow traffic down. And we went down and as a suggestion
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. No, no, I understand. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A but I don't see any issue with that, no. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. But it's a tool that can be used is the point? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Oh yes, certainly. MR. WADDEN: Q. Right. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. And it should be noted that this equipment and this type of data is always improving. 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	highway design or intersection design to assist them with if there was some sight line obstructions or if there was some issues that they were having with speeding in particular. One case, there was a problem down in Pouch Cove that they were having speeding on the main road going through Pouch Cove and being that it's a very narrow piece of roadway and it's a heavily congested area with pedestrians and houses down there, they were having an issue. They wanted to try to slow traffic down. And we went down and as a suggestion – of course, it's got to come from them to
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. No, no, I understand. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A but I don't see any issue with that, no. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. But it's a tool that can be used is the point? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Oh yes, certainly. MR. WADDEN: Q. Right. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. And it should be noted that this equipment 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	highway design or intersection design to assist them with if there was some sight line obstructions or if there was some issues that they were having with speeding in particular. One case, there was a problem down in Pouch Cove that they were having speeding on the main road going through Pouch Cove and being that it's a very narrow piece of roadway and it's a heavily congested area with pedestrians and houses down there, they were having an issue. They wanted to try to slow traffic down. And we went down and as a suggestion
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. No, no, I understand. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A but I don't see any issue with that, no. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. But it's a tool that can be used is the point? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Oh yes, certainly. MR. WADDEN: Q. Right. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. And it should be noted that this equipment and this type of data is always improving. 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	highway design or intersection design to assist them with if there was some sight line obstructions or if there was some issues that they were having with speeding in particular. One case, there was a problem down in Pouch Cove that they were having speeding on the main road going through Pouch Cove and being that it's a very narrow piece of roadway and it's a heavily congested area with pedestrians and houses down there, they were having an issue. They wanted to try to slow traffic down. And we went down and as a suggestion – of course, it's got to come from them to
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. No, no, I understand. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A but I don't see any issue with that, no. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. But it's a tool that can be used is the point? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Oh yes, certainly. MR. WADDEN: Q. Right. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. And it should be noted that this equipment and this type of data is always improving. So, the parameters that are in place now of 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	highway design or intersection design to assist them with if there was some sight line obstructions or if there was some issues that they were having with speeding in particular. One case, there was a problem down in Pouch Cove that they were having speeding on the main road going through Pouch Cove and being that it's a very narrow piece of roadway and it's a heavily congested area with pedestrians and houses down there, they were having an issue. They wanted to try to slow traffic down. And we went down and as a suggestion – of course, it's got to come from them to be implemented, and as a suggestion to them,
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. No, no, I understand. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A but I don't see any issue with that, no. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. But it's a tool that can be used is the point? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Oh yes, certainly. MR. WADDEN: Q. Right. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. And it should be noted that this equipment and this type of data is always improving. So, the parameters that are in place now of what we can download and analyse, when we 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	highway design or intersection design to assist them with if there was some sight line obstructions or if there was some issues that they were having with speeding in particular. One case, there was a problem down in Pouch Cove that they were having speeding on the main road going through Pouch Cove and being that it's a very narrow piece of roadway and it's a heavily congested area with pedestrians and houses down there, they were having an issue. They wanted to try to slow traffic down. And we went down and as a suggestion – of course, it's got to come from them to be implemented, and as a suggestion to them, we looked at putting in a four-way stop in
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. No, no, I understand. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A but I don't see any issue with that, no. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. But it's a tool that can be used is the point? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Oh yes, certainly. MR. WADDEN: Q. Right. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. And it should be noted that this equipment and this type of data is always improving. So, the parameters that are in place now of what we can download and analyse, when we started this in, you know, 2004 or 2005 the 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	highway design or intersection design to assist them with if there was some sight line obstructions or if there was some issues that they were having with speeding in particular. One case, there was a problem down in Pouch Cove that they were having speeding on the main road going through Pouch Cove and being that it's a very narrow piece of roadway and it's a heavily congested area with pedestrians and houses down there, they were having an issue. They wanted to try to slow traffic down. And we went down and as a suggestion – of course, it's got to come from them to be implemented, and as a suggestion to them, we looked at putting in a four-way stop in the area that they were having a problem
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. No, no, I understand. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A but I don't see any issue with that, no. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. But it's a tool that can be used is the point? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Oh yes, certainly. MR. WADDEN: Q. Right. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. And it should be noted that this equipment and this type of data is always improving. So, the parameters that are in place now of what we can download and analyse, when we started this in, you know, 2004 or 2005 the parameters were smaller. We could get speed 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	highway design or intersection design to assist them with if there was some sight line obstructions or if there was some issues that they were having with speeding in particular. One case, there was a problem down in Pouch Cove that they were having speeding on the main road going through Pouch Cove and being that it's a very narrow piece of roadway and it's a heavily congested area with pedestrians and houses down there, they were having an issue. They wanted to try to slow traffic down. And we went down and as a suggestion – of course, it's got to come from them to be implemented, and as a suggestion to them, we looked at putting in a four-way stop in the area that they were having a problem with and that's what they enacted and within
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. No, no, I understand. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A but I don't see any issue with that, no. MR. WADDEN: Q. Okay. But it's a tool that can be used is the point? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Oh yes, certainly. MR. WADDEN: Q. Right. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. And it should be noted that this equipment and this type of data is always improving. So, the parameters that are in place now of what we can download and analyse, when we started this in, you know, 2004 or 2005 the parameters were smaller. We could get speed and a couple of more things. Now it's we're 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	highway design or intersection design to assist them with if there was some sight line obstructions or if there was some issues that they were having with speeding in particular. One case, there was a problem down in Pouch Cove that they were having speeding on the main road going through Pouch Cove and being that it's a very narrow piece of roadway and it's a heavily congested area with pedestrians and houses down there, they were having an issue. They wanted to try to slow traffic down. And we went down and as a suggestion – of course, it's got to come from them to be implemented, and as a suggestion to them, we looked at putting in a four-way stop in the area that they were having a problem with and that's what they enacted and within two months, there was not one more complaint

Septer	nber 27, 2018		2017 Automobile Insurance Review
	Page 61		Page 63
1	that can be put in place to deal with	1	expectations and biggest piece of
2	speeding and issues.	2	information that we're going to put to our
3	MR. WADDEN:	3	officers if and when this initiative, this
4	Q. Okay. I think I know the answer to this	4	larger traffic group comes together that
5	given everything you've already said to me,	5	we're going to be tackling, that we're going
6	but I mean, we know distracted driving to be	6	to be working on.
7	a problem. We know that more measures have	7	So again, for our side of things, we'll
8	come in now under the Highway Traffic Act.	8	continue on with the education and awareness
9	Anecdotally, everybody in the room I'm sure	9	piece. I believe the Provincial Government
10	can speak to seeing people on their phones	10	has done a great job as it relates to the
11	daily in their vehicles. No doubt it is a	11	legislation because we're in the top group
12	cause of accidents.	12	within the country as it relates to the
13	INSPECTOR DIDHAM:	13	penalty and the points that come with
14	A. Certainly.	14	distracted driving legislation, breaches of
15	MR. WADDEN:	15	that Act. And now we just need to connect
16	Q. Even though when we look at the numbers in	16	the enforcement side of it for our purposes.
17	the Juristat reports they might reflect say,	17	MR. WADDEN:
18	you know, very low numbers in terms of	18	Q. Okay. We're almost into October and so it's
19	people, that's because it's not reported by	19	obvious what's coming on October 17th, Bill
$\frac{1}{20}$	the person doing it and the person in the	20	C45 will come into effect and if retailers
21	other vehicle probably didn't see it. But	21	are available to operate here, they will be
22	- · ·	22	able to sell cannabis. Now we're not going
$\begin{vmatrix} 22 \\ 23 \end{vmatrix}$	it's happening. So, how do we stop it? INSPECTOR DIDHAM:	23	
23		23 24	to get into those laws or the reasons behind
	O , 1		them or anything, but I just want to get a
		75	general understanding of what the RNC's I
25	inaccurate number that you're going to see	25	general understanding of what the RNC's
	Page 62		Page 64
1	Page 62 as it relates to the contributing factor to	1	Page 64 concerns are in relation to cannabis. In
1 2	Page 62 as it relates to the contributing factor to a collision.	1 2	Page 64 concerns are in relation to cannabis. In other words, do they fear an increase in
1 2 3	Page 62 as it relates to the contributing factor to a collision. MR. WADDEN:	1 2 3	Page 64 concerns are in relation to cannabis. In other words, do they fear an increase in collisions? And if that concern is there,
1 2 3 4	Page 62 as it relates to the contributing factor to a collision. MR. WADDEN: Q. Right.	1 2 3 4	Page 64 concerns are in relation to cannabis. In other words, do they fear an increase in collisions? And if that concern is there, what will the response be?
1 2 3 4 5	Page 62 as it relates to the contributing factor to a collision. MR. WADDEN: Q. Right. INSPECTOR DIDHAM:	1 2 3 4 5	Page 64 concerns are in relation to cannabis. In other words, do they fear an increase in collisions? And if that concern is there, what will the response be? INSPECTOR DIDHAM:
1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 62 as it relates to the contributing factor to a collision. MR. WADDEN: Q. Right. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Because outside of somebody witnessing a	1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 64 concerns are in relation to cannabis. In other words, do they fear an increase in collisions? And if that concern is there, what will the response be? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Quite frankly and openly, I hope that our
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 62 as it relates to the contributing factor to a collision. MR. WADDEN: Q. Right. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Because outside of somebody witnessing a collision happening and then they report it	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 64 concerns are in relation to cannabis. In other words, do they fear an increase in collisions? And if that concern is there, what will the response be? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Quite frankly and openly, I hope that our numbers don't increase. I think I'm being
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 62 as it relates to the contributing factor to a collision. MR. WADDEN: Q. Right. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Because outside of somebody witnessing a collision happening and then they report it to the police saying "I saw that person on	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 64 concerns are in relation to cannabis. In other words, do they fear an increase in collisions? And if that concern is there, what will the response be? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Quite frankly and openly, I hope that our numbers don't increase. I think I'm being over optimistic in saying that. Looking at
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	as it relates to the contributing factor to a collision. MR. WADDEN: Q. Right. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Because outside of somebody witnessing a collision happening and then they report it to the police saying "I saw that person on their phone or texting" that we can take	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 64 concerns are in relation to cannabis. In other words, do they fear an increase in collisions? And if that concern is there, what will the response be? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Quite frankly and openly, I hope that our numbers don't increase. I think I'm being over optimistic in saying that. Looking at the statistics from states in the United
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	as it relates to the contributing factor to a collision. MR. WADDEN: Q. Right. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Because outside of somebody witnessing a collision happening and then they report it to the police saying "I saw that person on their phone or texting" that we can take enforcement action on, we don't have the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 64 concerns are in relation to cannabis. In other words, do they fear an increase in collisions? And if that concern is there, what will the response be? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Quite frankly and openly, I hope that our numbers don't increase. I think I'm being over optimistic in saying that. Looking at the statistics from states in the United States that have – you know, in 2012, when
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	as it relates to the contributing factor to a collision. MR. WADDEN: Q. Right. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Because outside of somebody witnessing a collision happening and then they report it to the police saying "I saw that person on their phone or texting" that we can take enforcement action on, we don't have the authority – currently we don't have the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 64 concerns are in relation to cannabis. In other words, do they fear an increase in collisions? And if that concern is there, what will the response be? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Quite frankly and openly, I hope that our numbers don't increase. I think I'm being over optimistic in saying that. Looking at the statistics from states in the United States that have – you know, in 2012, when we got Colorado and Washington state that
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	as it relates to the contributing factor to a collision. MR. WADDEN: Q. Right. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Because outside of somebody witnessing a collision happening and then they report it to the police saying "I saw that person on their phone or texting" that we can take enforcement action on, we don't have the authority – currently we don't have the authority to ask for or demand or get	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 64 concerns are in relation to cannabis. In other words, do they fear an increase in collisions? And if that concern is there, what will the response be? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Quite frankly and openly, I hope that our numbers don't increase. I think I'm being over optimistic in saying that. Looking at the statistics from states in the United States that have – you know, in 2012, when we got Colorado and Washington state that brought in legalization of marijuana and
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	as it relates to the contributing factor to a collision. MR. WADDEN: Q. Right. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Because outside of somebody witnessing a collision happening and then they report it to the police saying "I saw that person on their phone or texting" that we can take enforcement action on, we don't have the authority – currently we don't have the authority to ask for or demand or get judicial authorization for someone's phone	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 64 concerns are in relation to cannabis. In other words, do they fear an increase in collisions? And if that concern is there, what will the response be? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Quite frankly and openly, I hope that our numbers don't increase. I think I'm being over optimistic in saying that. Looking at the statistics from states in the United States that have – you know, in 2012, when we got Colorado and Washington state that brought in legalization of marijuana and cannabis, their injury and fatality rate
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	as it relates to the contributing factor to a collision. MR. WADDEN: Q. Right. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Because outside of somebody witnessing a collision happening and then they report it to the police saying "I saw that person on their phone or texting" that we can take enforcement action on, we don't have the authority – currently we don't have the authority to ask for or demand or get judicial authorization for someone's phone records to determine if they were on their	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 64 concerns are in relation to cannabis. In other words, do they fear an increase in collisions? And if that concern is there, what will the response be? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Quite frankly and openly, I hope that our numbers don't increase. I think I'm being over optimistic in saying that. Looking at the statistics from states in the United States that have – you know, in 2012, when we got Colorado and Washington state that brought in legalization of marijuana and cannabis, their injury and fatality rate went through the roof afterwards. Like, you
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	as it relates to the contributing factor to a collision. MR. WADDEN: Q. Right. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Because outside of somebody witnessing a collision happening and then they report it to the police saying "I saw that person on their phone or texting" that we can take enforcement action on, we don't have the authority – currently we don't have the authority to ask for or demand or get judicial authorization for someone's phone records to determine if they were on their phone. It can't be a fishing trip for us.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 64 concerns are in relation to cannabis. In other words, do they fear an increase in collisions? And if that concern is there, what will the response be? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Quite frankly and openly, I hope that our numbers don't increase. I think I'm being over optimistic in saying that. Looking at the statistics from states in the United States that have – you know, in 2012, when we got Colorado and Washington state that brought in legalization of marijuana and cannabis, their injury and fatality rate went through the roof afterwards. Like, you know, basically doubled. And to say it's
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	as it relates to the contributing factor to a collision. MR. WADDEN: Q. Right. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Because outside of somebody witnessing a collision happening and then they report it to the police saying "I saw that person on their phone or texting" that we can take enforcement action on, we don't have the authority – currently we don't have the authority to ask for or demand or get judicial authorization for someone's phone records to determine if they were on their phone. It can't be a fishing trip for us. We have to have some form of evidence to	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 64 concerns are in relation to cannabis. In other words, do they fear an increase in collisions? And if that concern is there, what will the response be? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Quite frankly and openly, I hope that our numbers don't increase. I think I'm being over optimistic in saying that. Looking at the statistics from states in the United States that have – you know, in 2012, when we got Colorado and Washington state that brought in legalization of marijuana and cannabis, their injury and fatality rate went through the roof afterwards. Like, you know, basically doubled. And to say it's concerning for us is an understatement.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	as it relates to the contributing factor to a collision. MR. WADDEN: Q. Right. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Because outside of somebody witnessing a collision happening and then they report it to the police saying "I saw that person on their phone or texting" that we can take enforcement action on, we don't have the authority – currently we don't have the authority to ask for or demand or get judicial authorization for someone's phone records to determine if they were on their phone. It can't be a fishing trip for us.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 64 concerns are in relation to cannabis. In other words, do they fear an increase in collisions? And if that concern is there, what will the response be? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Quite frankly and openly, I hope that our numbers don't increase. I think I'm being over optimistic in saying that. Looking at the statistics from states in the United States that have – you know, in 2012, when we got Colorado and Washington state that brought in legalization of marijuana and cannabis, their injury and fatality rate went through the roof afterwards. Like, you know, basically doubled. And to say it's concerning for us is an understatement. That being said, over the last year we
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	as it relates to the contributing factor to a collision. MR. WADDEN: Q. Right. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Because outside of somebody witnessing a collision happening and then they report it to the police saying "I saw that person on their phone or texting" that we can take enforcement action on, we don't have the authority – currently we don't have the authority to ask for or demand or get judicial authorization for someone's phone records to determine if they were on their phone. It can't be a fishing trip for us. We have to have some form of evidence to either go get a search warrant or some other form of judicial authorization to obtain	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 64 concerns are in relation to cannabis. In other words, do they fear an increase in collisions? And if that concern is there, what will the response be? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Quite frankly and openly, I hope that our numbers don't increase. I think I'm being over optimistic in saying that. Looking at the statistics from states in the United States that have – you know, in 2012, when we got Colorado and Washington state that brought in legalization of marijuana and cannabis, their injury and fatality rate went through the roof afterwards. Like, you know, basically doubled. And to say it's concerning for us is an understatement. That being said, over the last year we have two officers, myself and another
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	as it relates to the contributing factor to a collision. MR. WADDEN: Q. Right. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Because outside of somebody witnessing a collision happening and then they report it to the police saying "I saw that person on their phone or texting" that we can take enforcement action on, we don't have the authority – currently we don't have the authority to ask for or demand or get judicial authorization for someone's phone records to determine if they were on their phone. It can't be a fishing trip for us. We have to have some form of evidence to either go get a search warrant or some other	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 64 concerns are in relation to cannabis. In other words, do they fear an increase in collisions? And if that concern is there, what will the response be? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Quite frankly and openly, I hope that our numbers don't increase. I think I'm being over optimistic in saying that. Looking at the statistics from states in the United States that have – you know, in 2012, when we got Colorado and Washington state that brought in legalization of marijuana and cannabis, their injury and fatality rate went through the roof afterwards. Like, you know, basically doubled. And to say it's concerning for us is an understatement. That being said, over the last year we
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	as it relates to the contributing factor to a collision. MR. WADDEN: Q. Right. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Because outside of somebody witnessing a collision happening and then they report it to the police saying "I saw that person on their phone or texting" that we can take enforcement action on, we don't have the authority – currently we don't have the authority to ask for or demand or get judicial authorization for someone's phone records to determine if they were on their phone. It can't be a fishing trip for us. We have to have some form of evidence to either go get a search warrant or some other form of judicial authorization to obtain	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 64 concerns are in relation to cannabis. In other words, do they fear an increase in collisions? And if that concern is there, what will the response be? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Quite frankly and openly, I hope that our numbers don't increase. I think I'm being over optimistic in saying that. Looking at the statistics from states in the United States that have – you know, in 2012, when we got Colorado and Washington state that brought in legalization of marijuana and cannabis, their injury and fatality rate went through the roof afterwards. Like, you know, basically doubled. And to say it's concerning for us is an understatement. That being said, over the last year we have two officers, myself and another
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	as it relates to the contributing factor to a collision. MR. WADDEN: Q. Right. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Because outside of somebody witnessing a collision happening and then they report it to the police saying "I saw that person on their phone or texting" that we can take enforcement action on, we don't have the authority – currently we don't have the authority to ask for or demand or get judicial authorization for someone's phone records to determine if they were on their phone. It can't be a fishing trip for us. We have to have some form of evidence to either go get a search warrant or some other form of judicial authorization to obtain that data to determine it. So, it is a huge	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 64 concerns are in relation to cannabis. In other words, do they fear an increase in collisions? And if that concern is there, what will the response be? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Quite frankly and openly, I hope that our numbers don't increase. I think I'm being over optimistic in saying that. Looking at the statistics from states in the United States that have – you know, in 2012, when we got Colorado and Washington state that brought in legalization of marijuana and cannabis, their injury and fatality rate went through the roof afterwards. Like, you know, basically doubled. And to say it's concerning for us is an understatement. That being said, over the last year we have two officers, myself and another officer, who are on a national committee
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	as it relates to the contributing factor to a collision. MR. WADDEN: Q. Right. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Because outside of somebody witnessing a collision happening and then they report it to the police saying "I saw that person on their phone or texting" that we can take enforcement action on, we don't have the authority – currently we don't have the authority to ask for or demand or get judicial authorization for someone's phone records to determine if they were on their phone. It can't be a fishing trip for us. We have to have some form of evidence to either go get a search warrant or some other form of judicial authorization to obtain that data to determine it. So, it is a huge issue. How do we do it? Again, it comes	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 64 concerns are in relation to cannabis. In other words, do they fear an increase in collisions? And if that concern is there, what will the response be? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Quite frankly and openly, I hope that our numbers don't increase. I think I'm being over optimistic in saying that. Looking at the statistics from states in the United States that have – you know, in 2012, when we got Colorado and Washington state that brought in legalization of marijuana and cannabis, their injury and fatality rate went through the roof afterwards. Like, you know, basically doubled. And to say it's concerning for us is an understatement. That being said, over the last year we have two officers, myself and another officer, who are on a national committee dealing with the legislation that's coming
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	as it relates to the contributing factor to a collision. MR. WADDEN: Q. Right. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Because outside of somebody witnessing a collision happening and then they report it to the police saying "I saw that person on their phone or texting" that we can take enforcement action on, we don't have the authority – currently we don't have the authority to ask for or demand or get judicial authorization for someone's phone records to determine if they were on their phone. It can't be a fishing trip for us. We have to have some form of evidence to either go get a search warrant or some other form of judicial authorization to obtain that data to determine it. So, it is a huge issue. How do we do it? Again, it comes down to the enforcement side.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 64 concerns are in relation to cannabis. In other words, do they fear an increase in collisions? And if that concern is there, what will the response be? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Quite frankly and openly, I hope that our numbers don't increase. I think I'm being over optimistic in saying that. Looking at the statistics from states in the United States that have – you know, in 2012, when we got Colorado and Washington state that brought in legalization of marijuana and cannabis, their injury and fatality rate went through the roof afterwards. Like, you know, basically doubled. And to say it's concerning for us is an understatement. That being said, over the last year we have two officers, myself and another officer, who are on a national committee dealing with the legislation that's coming in, that's provincial and federal
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	as it relates to the contributing factor to a collision. MR. WADDEN: Q. Right. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Because outside of somebody witnessing a collision happening and then they report it to the police saying "I saw that person on their phone or texting" that we can take enforcement action on, we don't have the authority – currently we don't have the authority to ask for or demand or get judicial authorization for someone's phone records to determine if they were on their phone. It can't be a fishing trip for us. We have to have some form of evidence to either go get a search warrant or some other form of judicial authorization to obtain that data to determine it. So, it is a huge issue. How do we do it? Again, it comes down to the enforcement side. MR. WADDEN:	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 64 concerns are in relation to cannabis. In other words, do they fear an increase in collisions? And if that concern is there, what will the response be? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Quite frankly and openly, I hope that our numbers don't increase. I think I'm being over optimistic in saying that. Looking at the statistics from states in the United States that have – you know, in 2012, when we got Colorado and Washington state that brought in legalization of marijuana and cannabis, their injury and fatality rate went through the roof afterwards. Like, you know, basically doubled. And to say it's concerning for us is an understatement. That being said, over the last year we have two officers, myself and another officer, who are on a national committee dealing with the legislation that's coming in, that's provincial and federal legislation that's coming in. We're
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	as it relates to the contributing factor to a collision. MR. WADDEN: Q. Right. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Because outside of somebody witnessing a collision happening and then they report it to the police saying "I saw that person on their phone or texting" that we can take enforcement action on, we don't have the authority – currently we don't have the authority to ask for or demand or get judicial authorization for someone's phone records to determine if they were on their phone. It can't be a fishing trip for us. We have to have some form of evidence to either go get a search warrant or some other form of judicial authorization to obtain that data to determine it. So, it is a huge issue. How do we do it? Again, it comes down to the enforcement side. MR. WADDEN: Q. Yeah.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Page 64 concerns are in relation to cannabis. In other words, do they fear an increase in collisions? And if that concern is there, what will the response be? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Quite frankly and openly, I hope that our numbers don't increase. I think I'm being over optimistic in saying that. Looking at the statistics from states in the United States that have – you know, in 2012, when we got Colorado and Washington state that brought in legalization of marijuana and cannabis, their injury and fatality rate went through the roof afterwards. Like, you know, basically doubled. And to say it's concerning for us is an understatement. That being said, over the last year we have two officers, myself and another officer, who are on a national committee dealing with the legislation that's coming in, that's provincial and federal legislation that's coming in. We're training officers. We're running short in a

Page 65 Page 67 We're preparing as best we can to deal with INSPECTOR DIDHAM: 1 1 2 the issue of cannabis that's coming in. 2 A. Yeah. 3 And I'm not talking about people, you 3 MR. WADDEN: 4 know, consuming in their own private life 4 There are people who will drive impaired in 5 5 and all that kind of stuff. Our biggest terms of marijuana. How does an officer deal with that now? Do we see a lot of 6 concern is we got to try to keep it out of 6 cars and out of vehicles. The provincial 7 7 that? 8 **INSPECTOR DIDHAM:** legislation that's coming in, I know that's 8 9 going to address that. Again, that's a 9 We do. Look, you know, you may remember 10 living document that may require tweaking as 10 last year that the numbers came out and it time goes by, as things start to unfold and was out in a lot of local media outlets that 11 11 12 as other issues arise or maybe it needs even 12 the province, and in particular the 13 to be softened. Who knows as we move along? 13 northeast Avalon area, had the highest But again, it's something that the Province concentration, the highest per capita rate 14 14 is fully aware of. of impaired driving in the country. That's 15 15 Both police forces, both us and the true. We're seeing it. We're arresting and 16 16 17 RCMP, we're jointly training all the time as dealing with approximately 400 impaired 17 it relates to this concept and this problem. drivers every year and that number has not 18 18 19 It's the biggest issue that we're going to decreased. It's always been 380, 420 every 19 20 be dealing with federally as it relates to – 20 year. So, the number has been consistent 21 and provincially, as it relates to new 21 each vear. 22 legislation that's coming in. You know, and 22 We have officers that are trained to 23 again, it's coming down to properly putting deal specifically with drug recognition 23 24 out our resources to deal with it. 24 evaluation. So, we have 12 officers now 25 So, again, I'm hoping that things don't 25 that are trained, eight of which are here in Page 66 Page 68 1 go the other way, go downhill. I'm not 1 the northeast Avalon that are trained to do 2 overly optimistic that it won't for a period 2 psychophysical testing on a suspected 3 of time. But again, we're working on things 3 impaired driver by drug. 4 to be prepared for it as best as we can. 4 MR. WADDEN: 5 5 Okay. Again, nobody can really have a looking Q. glass to look through now to be able to see INSPECTOR DIDHAM: 6 6 7 how bad it's going to be or how the effect 7 And we also have a number of officers who 8 is going to be. But again, the messaging is 8 are trained to deal with the alcohol side of 9 out there. The Province has started 9 things. So, when it comes to breath 10 messaging just recently about this and our 10 testing, you know, conventional old-school messages are going to be again about the what we refer to as breathalyser testing. 11 11 12 education and awareness. We're going to be So, I'm one of those as well. And so, 12 heavy on that over the next period of time that's – it's a high priority for us to 13 13 14 and as it unfolds after October 17th, we're 14 ensure that our officers are trained and 15 going to be heavy on the enforcement side of 15 ready for this. 16 it as well. 16 Almost all of our frontline uniformed (2:30 p.m.) 17 officers are trained in standardized field 17 18 MR. WADDEN: 18 sobriety testing. So, it's basically the 19 first level of drug recognition evaluation And just staying with that for a moment, I 19 O. 20 mean, I get that after this comes into play, 20 testing. The second step, of course, is to 21 I think Bill C46 will give you extended 21 be a full DRE trained officer, but almost 22 powers in terms of enforcement when it comes 22 all of our frontline officers are trained to to cannabis. Can you just give me an idea 23 23 be SFST compatible and able to do the though how are you dealing with it now? I roadside testing. It's our objective and 24 24 mean, obviously it's happening now anyway. our priority to have everybody trained and 25 25

	nber 27, 2018		2017 Automobile Insurance Review
	Page 73		Page 75
1	government and the municipal partners that	1	drifting into the next lane, things of that
2	we have. It's looking very positive that	2	sort. Is there any study or have you guys
3	that's going to come to fruition, but until	3	kept track of whether or not these new
4	it does, it's not there yet, but it's	4	vehicles are better or safer in terms of the
5	looking very likely that that's what's going	5	number of accidents that have been
6	to happen.	6	occurring?
7	MR. GITTENS:	7	INSPECTOR DIDHAM:
8		8	
	Q. Okay. And I thought – you sounded a little		A. Well, generally, I agree with you. More
9	bit pleased with the fact that the resources	9	vehicles, especially you know, within the
10	were going to be there on 6 September	10	last three or four years, the improvements
11	(phonetic), promised in any event, at a high	11	to technology within the vehicles now, with
12	level. Is that the impression I got from	12	side curtain airbags, with retractors on
13	your testimony?	13	seatbelts, with lane departure warning
14	INSPECTOR DIDHAM:	14	devices, and a lot of these additions are
15	A. Yeah. It's at a high level of discussions	15	coming as standard equipment on cars now.
16	right now and my optimism is high because	16	You know, at one time a lot of it was
17	all the feedback that I've been receiving is	17	options that you could buy for extra money,
18	very positive and that it's – you know,	18	you know. But generally now, a lot of these
19	unless something comes up that stumbles or	19	vehicles, because they're safety oriented,
20	trips us up on this initiative, it's moving	20	are coming as standard equipment which is
21	along very positively.	21	fantastic and you're correct, I do believe
22	MR. GITTENS:	22	that, you know, generally in society we're
23	Q. I'll just caution you to be mindful because	23	seeing the effects of that because the
24	politicians promise a lot before they	24	seriousness of at least what we're seeing
25	actually deliver. But in any event, the	25	for our collisions, personal injury and
	Page 74		Page 76
1	fact of the matter is you're saying there's	1	fatalities have gone down as well. And I
2	been a downward trend. You're anticipating	2	would like to believe that that has been a
3	some initiatives that will assist in the	3	contributing factor to it.
4	enforcement and so on?	4	MR. GITTENS:
l .	INSPECTOR DIDHAM:	5	
5			Q. Okay. So, as the years go by, when we have
6	A. Yes.	6	these things that tell us it's going to stop
/	MR. GITTENS:	/	whether we like it or not because you're too
8	Q. So therefore one can only say if you put	8	close to the car in front of us, the reality
9	those two together, we're looking overall at	9	is over the next several years, we can still
10	a continued downward trend as long as we	10	anticipate that adding to the downward trend
11	don't factor in the marijuana issue or the	11	in the number of motor vehicles?
12	distracted driving issue?	12	INSPECTOR DIDHAM:
13	INSPECTOR DIDHAM:	13	A. Hopefully, yeah. That's certainly a good
14	A. Yeah, and even factoring those in, we're	14	concept and I agree it should.
15	hopeful that those numbers will continue to	15	MR. GITTENS:
16	go down because again we're hoping to have	16	Q. Okay. Thank you very much. Those are all
17	those resources or the issues surrounding	17	the questions I have, Madam Chair.
18	resources worked on and resolved basically.	18	CHAIR:
19	MR. GITTENS:	19	Q. Thank you, Mr. Gittens. Mr. Fraize?
20	Q. Okay. Coming at it from a consumers'	20	FRAIZE, Q.C.:
21	perspective, I'm aware that the newer	21	Q. We have no questions.
	vehicles tend to have a lot more what I	22	CHAIR:
		23	
22	would call safe driving accessories or	2.3	O. Inank you. Mr. Gillingnam?
22 23	would call safe driving accessories or options. They seem to be able to park		Q. Thank you. Mr. Gillingham? MR. GILLINGHAM:
22	would call safe driving accessories or options. They seem to be able to park themselves and to tell you every time you're	24 25	MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Yes, thank you. I guess I should introduce

Septer	nber 27, 2018		2017 Automobile Insurance Review
	Page 77		Page 79
1	myself. I'm here for Kevin Stamp today and	1	Brokers Association of Newfoundland and
2	the IBC. My name is Michael Gillingham.	2	Labrador gratefully they provided the
3	So, Inspector Didham, I'll just have a few	3	police, the RNC with two big message signs
4	brief questions, I guess, or I'll try to	4	and radar feedback signs. So, if you see
5	keep them brief anyway so you don't have to	5	signs that construction companies use with
6	hear me talking all afternoon.	6	messages on there, you know, slow down and
7	Earlier you mentioned that the RNC	7	things like that, we have two very similar
8	partners with various interest groups and	8	signs with that, but they also have radars
9	community groups and city councils and so on	9	on them. So, if we want to put those out
10	for safety initiatives. Is that right?	10	and collect data from what we believe are
11	INSPECTOR DIDHAM:	11	problem areas or high complaint areas, we'll
12	A. That's correct.	12	put that out in areas and collect the data
13	MR. GILLINGHAM:	13	to determine whether or not a complaint is
14	Q. And my understanding is they've also	14	viable or if it's not really.
15	partnered before with the Insurance Bureau	15	MR. GILLINGHAM:
16	of Canada?	16	Q. Sure.
17	INSPECTOR DIDHAM:	17	INSPECTOR DIDHAM:
18	A. Yeah.	18	A. And those are offered to towns and
19	MR. GILLINGHAM:	19	municipalities as well to use for their
20	Q. And insurance companies and so on, right?	20	purposes as well, for the same – basically
21	INSPECTOR DIDHAM:	21	the same issues.
22	A. That's right.	22	MR. GILLINGHAM:
23	MR. GILLINGHAM:	23	Q. Sure. And Inspector Didham, I believe we
24	Q. So, what sorts of initiatives could you tell	24	discussed briefly the issue of uninsured
25	us about that the RNC has worked with the	25	motorists on the road. I appreciate how
		20	indicitions of the road. I appreciate now
	Page 78		Page 80
1	Page 78 insurance companies on?	1	Page 80 these drivers – the answers may seem
1 2	Page 78 insurance companies on? INSPECTOR DIDHAM:	1 2	Page 80 these drivers – the answers may seem somewhat self-serving, but in your time as a
1 2 3	Page 78 insurance companies on? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. We've worked with the IBC on a number of	1 2 3	Page 80 these drivers – the answers may seem somewhat self-serving, but in your time as a police officer, as a constable and sergeant
1 2 3 4	Page 78 insurance companies on? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. We've worked with the IBC on a number of initiatives. One in particular I can	1 2 3 4	Page 80 these drivers – the answers may seem somewhat self-serving, but in your time as a police officer, as a constable and sergeant and so on, do these drivers give a reason
1 2 3 4 5	Page 78 insurance companies on? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. We've worked with the IBC on a number of initiatives. One in particular I can remember is the Child in Safe Seats program.	1 2 3 4 5	Page 80 these drivers – the answers may seem somewhat self-serving, but in your time as a police officer, as a constable and sergeant and so on, do these drivers give a reason for why they're not carrying insurance?
1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 78 insurance companies on? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. We've worked with the IBC on a number of initiatives. One in particular I can remember is the Child in Safe Seats program. So, inspecting and training our officers to	1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 80 these drivers – the answers may seem somewhat self-serving, but in your time as a police officer, as a constable and sergeant and so on, do these drivers give a reason for why they're not carrying insurance? INSPECTOR DIDHAM:
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	insurance companies on? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. We've worked with the IBC on a number of initiatives. One in particular I can remember is the Child in Safe Seats program. So, inspecting and training our officers to inspect safety, you know, for children's	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 80 these drivers – the answers may seem somewhat self-serving, but in your time as a police officer, as a constable and sergeant and so on, do these drivers give a reason for why they're not carrying insurance? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Most of them will – and again, this is just
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	insurance companies on? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. We've worked with the IBC on a number of initiatives. One in particular I can remember is the Child in Safe Seats program. So, inspecting and training our officers to inspect safety, you know, for children's seats, infant seating, how it's positioned	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 80 these drivers – the answers may seem somewhat self-serving, but in your time as a police officer, as a constable and sergeant and so on, do these drivers give a reason for why they're not carrying insurance? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Most of them will – and again, this is just speaking from stopping a lot of the people -
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	insurance companies on? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. We've worked with the IBC on a number of initiatives. One in particular I can remember is the Child in Safe Seats program. So, inspecting and training our officers to inspect safety, you know, for children's seats, infant seating, how it's positioned in the vehicle, how it's installed in the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 80 these drivers – the answers may seem somewhat self-serving, but in your time as a police officer, as a constable and sergeant and so on, do these drivers give a reason for why they're not carrying insurance? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Most of them will – and again, this is just speaking from stopping a lot of the people - MR. GILLINGHAM:
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	insurance companies on? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. We've worked with the IBC on a number of initiatives. One in particular I can remember is the Child in Safe Seats program. So, inspecting and training our officers to inspect safety, you know, for children's seats, infant seating, how it's positioned in the vehicle, how it's installed in the vehicle. That's one of the programs that	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 80 these drivers – the answers may seem somewhat self-serving, but in your time as a police officer, as a constable and sergeant and so on, do these drivers give a reason for why they're not carrying insurance? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Most of them will – and again, this is just speaking from stopping a lot of the people - MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Right.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	insurance companies on? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. We've worked with the IBC on a number of initiatives. One in particular I can remember is the Child in Safe Seats program. So, inspecting and training our officers to inspect safety, you know, for children's seats, infant seating, how it's positioned in the vehicle, how it's installed in the vehicle. That's one of the programs that we've worked with the IBC on.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 80 these drivers – the answers may seem somewhat self-serving, but in your time as a police officer, as a constable and sergeant and so on, do these drivers give a reason for why they're not carrying insurance? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Most of them will – and again, this is just speaking from stopping a lot of the people - MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Right. INSPECTOR DIDHAM:
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	insurance companies on? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. We've worked with the IBC on a number of initiatives. One in particular I can remember is the Child in Safe Seats program. So, inspecting and training our officers to inspect safety, you know, for children's seats, infant seating, how it's positioned in the vehicle, how it's installed in the vehicle. That's one of the programs that we've worked with the IBC on. There are other training initiatives	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 80 these drivers – the answers may seem somewhat self-serving, but in your time as a police officer, as a constable and sergeant and so on, do these drivers give a reason for why they're not carrying insurance? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Most of them will – and again, this is just speaking from stopping a lot of the people - MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Right. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A can't afford it. That's what a lot of
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	insurance companies on? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. We've worked with the IBC on a number of initiatives. One in particular I can remember is the Child in Safe Seats program. So, inspecting and training our officers to inspect safety, you know, for children's seats, infant seating, how it's positioned in the vehicle, how it's installed in the vehicle. That's one of the programs that we've worked with the IBC on. There are other training initiatives regarding identifying stolen vehicles and	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	these drivers – the answers may seem somewhat self-serving, but in your time as a police officer, as a constable and sergeant and so on, do these drivers give a reason for why they're not carrying insurance? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Most of them will – and again, this is just speaking from stopping a lot of the people - MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Right. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A can't afford it. That's what a lot of people – that's the usual response for those
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	insurance companies on? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. We've worked with the IBC on a number of initiatives. One in particular I can remember is the Child in Safe Seats program. So, inspecting and training our officers to inspect safety, you know, for children's seats, infant seating, how it's positioned in the vehicle, how it's installed in the vehicle. That's one of the programs that we've worked with the IBC on. There are other training initiatives regarding identifying stolen vehicles and basically – I haven't – perhaps you can	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 80 these drivers – the answers may seem somewhat self-serving, but in your time as a police officer, as a constable and sergeant and so on, do these drivers give a reason for why they're not carrying insurance? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Most of them will – and again, this is just speaking from stopping a lot of the people - MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Right. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A can't afford it. That's what a lot of people – that's the usual response for those who actually admit to it, because a lot of
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	insurance companies on? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. We've worked with the IBC on a number of initiatives. One in particular I can remember is the Child in Safe Seats program. So, inspecting and training our officers to inspect safety, you know, for children's seats, infant seating, how it's positioned in the vehicle, how it's installed in the vehicle. That's one of the programs that we've worked with the IBC on. There are other training initiatives regarding identifying stolen vehicles and basically – I haven't – perhaps you can correct me because I haven't partaken in	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	these drivers – the answers may seem somewhat self-serving, but in your time as a police officer, as a constable and sergeant and so on, do these drivers give a reason for why they're not carrying insurance? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Most of them will – and again, this is just speaking from stopping a lot of the people - MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Right. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A can't afford it. That's what a lot of people – that's the usual response for those who actually admit to it, because a lot of the times, the high majority of the people
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	insurance companies on? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. We've worked with the IBC on a number of initiatives. One in particular I can remember is the Child in Safe Seats program. So, inspecting and training our officers to inspect safety, you know, for children's seats, infant seating, how it's positioned in the vehicle, how it's installed in the vehicle. That's one of the programs that we've worked with the IBC on. There are other training initiatives regarding identifying stolen vehicles and basically – I haven't – perhaps you can correct me because I haven't partaken in this training part because it was more	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 80 these drivers – the answers may seem somewhat self-serving, but in your time as a police officer, as a constable and sergeant and so on, do these drivers give a reason for why they're not carrying insurance? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Most of them will – and again, this is just speaking from stopping a lot of the people - MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Right. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A can't afford it. That's what a lot of people – that's the usual response for those who actually admit to it, because a lot of the times, the high majority of the people that are stopped are not actually admitting
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	insurance companies on? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. We've worked with the IBC on a number of initiatives. One in particular I can remember is the Child in Safe Seats program. So, inspecting and training our officers to inspect safety, you know, for children's seats, infant seating, how it's positioned in the vehicle, how it's installed in the vehicle. That's one of the programs that we've worked with the IBC on. There are other training initiatives regarding identifying stolen vehicles and basically – I haven't – perhaps you can correct me because I haven't partaken in this training part because it was more designed for our stolen vehicle officers	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	these drivers – the answers may seem somewhat self-serving, but in your time as a police officer, as a constable and sergeant and so on, do these drivers give a reason for why they're not carrying insurance? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Most of them will – and again, this is just speaking from stopping a lot of the people - MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Right. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A can't afford it. That's what a lot of people – that's the usual response for those who actually admit to it, because a lot of the times, the high majority of the people that are stopped are not actually admitting to it.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	insurance companies on? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. We've worked with the IBC on a number of initiatives. One in particular I can remember is the Child in Safe Seats program. So, inspecting and training our officers to inspect safety, you know, for children's seats, infant seating, how it's positioned in the vehicle, how it's installed in the vehicle. That's one of the programs that we've worked with the IBC on. There are other training initiatives regarding identifying stolen vehicles and basically – I haven't – perhaps you can correct me because I haven't partaken in this training part because it was more designed for our stolen vehicles, but I know	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	these drivers – the answers may seem somewhat self-serving, but in your time as a police officer, as a constable and sergeant and so on, do these drivers give a reason for why they're not carrying insurance? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Most of them will – and again, this is just speaking from stopping a lot of the people - MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Right. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A can't afford it. That's what a lot of people – that's the usual response for those who actually admit to it, because a lot of the times, the high majority of the people that are stopped are not actually admitting to it. MR. GILLINGHAM:
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	insurance companies on? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. We've worked with the IBC on a number of initiatives. One in particular I can remember is the Child in Safe Seats program. So, inspecting and training our officers to inspect safety, you know, for children's seats, infant seating, how it's positioned in the vehicle, how it's installed in the vehicle. That's one of the programs that we've worked with the IBC on. There are other training initiatives regarding identifying stolen vehicles and basically – I haven't – perhaps you can correct me because I haven't partaken in this training part because it was more designed for our stolen vehicle officers that deal with stolen vehicles, but I know the IBC has assisted us and provided	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	these drivers – the answers may seem somewhat self-serving, but in your time as a police officer, as a constable and sergeant and so on, do these drivers give a reason for why they're not carrying insurance? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Most of them will – and again, this is just speaking from stopping a lot of the people - MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Right. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A can't afford it. That's what a lot of people – that's the usual response for those who actually admit to it, because a lot of the times, the high majority of the people that are stopped are not actually admitting to it. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Sure.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	insurance companies on? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. We've worked with the IBC on a number of initiatives. One in particular I can remember is the Child in Safe Seats program. So, inspecting and training our officers to inspect safety, you know, for children's seats, infant seating, how it's positioned in the vehicle, how it's installed in the vehicle. That's one of the programs that we've worked with the IBC on. There are other training initiatives regarding identifying stolen vehicles and basically – I haven't – perhaps you can correct me because I haven't partaken in this training part because it was more designed for our stolen vehicle officers that deal with stolen vehicles, but I know the IBC has assisted us and provided training to our members to deal with	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	these drivers – the answers may seem somewhat self-serving, but in your time as a police officer, as a constable and sergeant and so on, do these drivers give a reason for why they're not carrying insurance? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Most of them will – and again, this is just speaking from stopping a lot of the people - MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Right. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A can't afford it. That's what a lot of people – that's the usual response for those who actually admit to it, because a lot of the times, the high majority of the people that are stopped are not actually admitting to it. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Sure. INSPECTOR DIDHAM:
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	insurance companies on? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. We've worked with the IBC on a number of initiatives. One in particular I can remember is the Child in Safe Seats program. So, inspecting and training our officers to inspect safety, you know, for children's seats, infant seating, how it's positioned in the vehicle, how it's installed in the vehicle. That's one of the programs that we've worked with the IBC on. There are other training initiatives regarding identifying stolen vehicles and basically – I haven't – perhaps you can correct me because I haven't partaken in this training part because it was more designed for our stolen vehicle officers that deal with stolen vehicles, but I know the IBC has assisted us and provided training to our members to deal with identifying vehicles that have been involved	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	these drivers – the answers may seem somewhat self-serving, but in your time as a police officer, as a constable and sergeant and so on, do these drivers give a reason for why they're not carrying insurance? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Most of them will – and again, this is just speaking from stopping a lot of the people - MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Right. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A can't afford it. That's what a lot of people – that's the usual response for those who actually admit to it, because a lot of the times, the high majority of the people that are stopped are not actually admitting to it. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Sure. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Especially with the new legislation that the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	insurance companies on? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. We've worked with the IBC on a number of initiatives. One in particular I can remember is the Child in Safe Seats program. So, inspecting and training our officers to inspect safety, you know, for children's seats, infant seating, how it's positioned in the vehicle, how it's installed in the vehicle. That's one of the programs that we've worked with the IBC on. There are other training initiatives regarding identifying stolen vehicles and basically – I haven't – perhaps you can correct me because I haven't partaken in this training part because it was more designed for our stolen vehicles, but I know the IBC has assisted us and provided training to our members to deal with identifying vehicles that have been involved in stolen – that are stolen and have been	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	these drivers – the answers may seem somewhat self-serving, but in your time as a police officer, as a constable and sergeant and so on, do these drivers give a reason for why they're not carrying insurance? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Most of them will – and again, this is just speaking from stopping a lot of the people - MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Right. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A can't afford it. That's what a lot of people – that's the usual response for those who actually admit to it, because a lot of the times, the high majority of the people that are stopped are not actually admitting to it. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Sure. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Especially with the new legislation that the onus comes back to them to advise us, but
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	insurance companies on? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. We've worked with the IBC on a number of initiatives. One in particular I can remember is the Child in Safe Seats program. So, inspecting and training our officers to inspect safety, you know, for children's seats, infant seating, how it's positioned in the vehicle, how it's installed in the vehicle. That's one of the programs that we've worked with the IBC on. There are other training initiatives regarding identifying stolen vehicles and basically – I haven't – perhaps you can correct me because I haven't partaken in this training part because it was more designed for our stolen vehicle officers that deal with stolen vehicles, but I know the IBC has assisted us and provided training to our members to deal with identifying vehicles that have been involved in stolen – that are stolen and have been involved in stage collisions and things like	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	these drivers – the answers may seem somewhat self-serving, but in your time as a police officer, as a constable and sergeant and so on, do these drivers give a reason for why they're not carrying insurance? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Most of them will – and again, this is just speaking from stopping a lot of the people - MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Right. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A can't afford it. That's what a lot of people – that's the usual response for those who actually admit to it, because a lot of the times, the high majority of the people that are stopped are not actually admitting to it. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Sure. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Especially with the new legislation that the onus comes back to them to advise us, but generally, either at roadside or during the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	insurance companies on? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. We've worked with the IBC on a number of initiatives. One in particular I can remember is the Child in Safe Seats program. So, inspecting and training our officers to inspect safety, you know, for children's seats, infant seating, how it's positioned in the vehicle, how it's installed in the vehicle. That's one of the programs that we've worked with the IBC on. There are other training initiatives regarding identifying stolen vehicles and basically – I haven't – perhaps you can correct me because I haven't partaken in this training part because it was more designed for our stolen vehicles, but I know the IBC has assisted us and provided training to our members to deal with identifying vehicles that have been involved in stolen – that are stolen and have been	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	these drivers – the answers may seem somewhat self-serving, but in your time as a police officer, as a constable and sergeant and so on, do these drivers give a reason for why they're not carrying insurance? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Most of them will – and again, this is just speaking from stopping a lot of the people - MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Right. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A can't afford it. That's what a lot of people – that's the usual response for those who actually admit to it, because a lot of the times, the high majority of the people that are stopped are not actually admitting to it. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Sure. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Especially with the new legislation that the onus comes back to them to advise us, but

Page 81 Page 83 information comes out it was cost 1 for those steps to occur, could you? 1 2 prohibitive. 2 **INSPECTOR DIDHAM:** 3 3 MR. GILLINGHAM: Not really, no. I mean, that's something A. 4 So, costs of insurance for these people are 4 that I think you could work with either the O. 5 one reason they cite, I guess, for not 5 deputy ministers of the Department of 6 having insurance on the rig? 6 Justice and Public Safety on any requirements there. One thing I would like 7 **INSPECTOR DIDHAM:** 7 to add, because it's good you brought up 8 Yeah. 8 9 9 there because you triggered my mind on it, MR. GILLINGHAM: 10 Q. And I think you mentioned that it can wind 10 is that as a police agency, I can tell you up in Court, so I guess from the enforcement that it's great to work with groups such as 11 11 12 standpoint, I guess they could be issued 12 the IBC and IBAN and different groups and 13 ticket? What are the consequences of not 13 we're always open to that. So, if there's 14 carrying insurance on your vehicle? 14 any initiatives that you can see or anything that – any suggestions that you can see that 15 (2:45 p.m.)15 INSPECTOR DIDHAM: we can be a part of, then by all means, we 16 16 Well, apart from just a ticket, which is are open to that. 17 17 \$2300 and six points and that increases, of MR. GILLINGHAM: 18 18 course, with subsequent offences, a lot of Sure. Thank you, Inspector Didham. I just 19 19 Q. 20 times it results in some people will – have another, I guess, just sort of a brief 20 they'll have a suspended driver's license 21 21 question on – I'd like to refer, if I could, 22 because of it because they ran out of points 22 to the Corporate Plan for 2014 to 2017. basically. So again, that becomes more of a INSPECTOR DIDHAM: 23 23 24 problem and you know, it's just a snowball 24 Yeah. A. 25 effect because when people have a suspended 25 MR. GILLINGHAM: Page 82 Page 84 Q. 1 driver's license, there are provisions under 1 And I'm just looking for your assistance, 2 the Highway Traffic Act that allow for 2 Inspector, I guess, to understand a little 3 imprisonment for having a suspended driver's 3 bit about where this data comes from. So. 4 license. So, I mean, something that could 4 what I'd like to do is refer to the first 5 5 seem fairly insignificant to a layperson sentence there, I guess, under improve saying "well, I got stopped for not having a highway safety. So, it says here just to -6 6 I'll just read it out. "A review of the RNC 7 driver's license" well, really it was a 7 8 suspended driver's license and now you're 8 police reported incidents by classification 9 commanded or directed to go to Court, not 9 in 2013 indicated over 60 percent of 10 just a ticket that we would issue. We would 10 incidents in RNC jurisdictions related to provincial traffic violations, motor vehicle 11 actually give them an appearance notice to 11 12 appear in Court and then lo and behold, when 12 accidents and Criminal Code of Canada traffic impaired violations." So, they talk you go to Court, they got two-three months 13 13 14 in prison because of it. So, again, it has 14 about the term there at the start of that 15 a snowball effect. And we see that – you sentence as RNC police reported incidents. 15 16 know, that's not uncommon to see. 16 So, an incident would be reported to the 17 MR. GILLINGHAM: 17 RNC, I believe you mentioned if the 18 Q. Right. And I believe that earlier there was 18 vehicular damage exceeds a certain amount 19 some discussion as well about, I guess, 19 potentially? 20 potential measures that insurance companies 20 **INSPECTOR DIDHAM:** 21 could take, and I believe the sharing of 21 Yes. A. 22 information with Motor Vehicles and I think 22 MR. GILLINGHAM: 23 data recorder potentially being installed in 23 Q. And if there's an injury I believe was cars. I suppose you can't really speak to another circumstance you indicated a 24 24 what changes in regulation would be required collision be reported to the RNC? 25 25

	11001 27, 2018		2017 Automobile insurance Review
	Page 85		Page 87
1	INSPECTOR DIDHAM:	1	participation of the public, I guess, the
2	A. Yeah.	2	motoring public?
3	MR. GILLINGHAM:	3	INSPECTOR DIDHAM:
4	Q. But there's a recognition here, it would	4	A. Yeah.
5	appear to me, are there certain incidents	5	MR. GILLINGHAM:
6	which may not be reported to the RNC?	6	Q. So, the data that is reflected in this
7	INSPECTOR DIDHAM:	7	corporate plan and in the various Juristat
8	A. That's right.	8	reports, a lot of that is based on reporting
9	MR. GILLINGHAM:	9	by people involved in accidents, I suppose
10	Q. Sure.	10	potentially witnesses, hospitals and so on,
11	INSPECTOR DIDHAM:	11	right?
12	A. The best – if I can – maybe I could give you	12	INSPECTOR DIDHAM:
13	some clarity on all that there, those	13	A. Right.
14	numbers.	14	MR. GILLINGHAM:
15	MR. GILLINGHAM:	15	Q. Okay. Sorry, one moment. So, I just want
16		16	to refer to another line. I guess the next
17	Q. Absolutely, sure. INSPECTOR DIDHAM:	17	
			sentence in that opening section there where
18	A. Basically what it's referring to is that	18	it says "in 2013". So that clause just says
19	goal number one back in this previous	19	in 2013, they say there's 6,284 motor
20	corporate plan and of course, goal number	20	vehicle collisions in the RNC jurisdictions
21	seven, which is not to diminish the	21	overall. I believe you talked about that.
22	importance that we put on improving highway	22	Then it says "this represents five percent –
23	safety, it's basically put in place because	23	an increase of five percent from 2012". It
24	we deal with such a high volume of traffic-	24	says the number of motor vehicle accidents
25	related incidents, and what I refer to	25	resulting in personal injury in RNC
	Page 86		Page 88
1	traffic-related incidents, if we have	1	jurisdictions decreased by an overall of
2	impaired driving calls, motor vehicle	2	2.43 percent and there's some more numbers
3	collisions, general traffic complaints,	3	there from 1,278 in 2012 to 1,247 in 2013.
4	someone calls in because someone got cut off	4	So, to my observation at least, this is the
5	or things like that, traffic stops. So	_	1 1
6		5	only document of the Juristat reports and
	anytime we stop a vehicle and issue a ticket	6	only document of the Juristat reports and the corporate plans which reference personal
7	anytime we stop a vehicle and issue a ticket or have a contact with a person, things like		
7 8	•	6	the corporate plans which reference personal
1 '	or have a contact with a person, things like	6 7	the corporate plans which reference personal injuries. So, how does the RNC, I guess,
8	or have a contact with a person, things like that. Last year in 2017, just to give you	6 7 8	the corporate plans which reference personal injuries. So, how does the RNC, I guess, regard or what would it regard as a personal
8 9	or have a contact with a person, things like that. Last year in 2017, just to give you an idea, we had 46,500 of those types of	6 7 8 9	the corporate plans which reference personal injuries. So, how does the RNC, I guess, regard or what would it regard as a personal injury?
8 9 10	or have a contact with a person, things like that. Last year in 2017, just to give you an idea, we had 46,500 of those types of incidents, just in the RNC's jurisdiction.	6 7 8 9 10	the corporate plans which reference personal injuries. So, how does the RNC, I guess, regard or what would it regard as a personal injury? INSPECTOR DIDHAM:
8 9 10 11	or have a contact with a person, things like that. Last year in 2017, just to give you an idea, we had 46,500 of those types of incidents, just in the RNC's jurisdiction. We answer about approximately, and this is a	6 7 8 9 10 11	the corporate plans which reference personal injuries. So, how does the RNC, I guess, regard or what would it regard as a personal injury? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. There is a report here that deals with non-
8 9 10 11 12	or have a contact with a person, things like that. Last year in 2017, just to give you an idea, we had 46,500 of those types of incidents, just in the RNC's jurisdiction. We answer about approximately, and this is a good approximate so it's not a wide number, about 80,000 calls per year, call for	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the corporate plans which reference personal injuries. So, how does the RNC, I guess, regard or what would it regard as a personal injury? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. There is a report here that deals with nonfatal. MR. GILLINGHAM:
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	or have a contact with a person, things like that. Last year in 2017, just to give you an idea, we had 46,500 of those types of incidents, just in the RNC's jurisdiction. We answer about approximately, and this is a good approximate so it's not a wide number, about 80,000 calls per year, call for service in the Royal Newfoundland	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the corporate plans which reference personal injuries. So, how does the RNC, I guess, regard or what would it regard as a personal injury? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. There is a report here that deals with nonfatal. MR. GILLINGHAM:
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	or have a contact with a person, things like that. Last year in 2017, just to give you an idea, we had 46,500 of those types of incidents, just in the RNC's jurisdiction. We answer about approximately, and this is a good approximate so it's not a wide number, about 80,000 calls per year, call for service in the Royal Newfoundland Constabulary area. So, when you look at the	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the corporate plans which reference personal injuries. So, how does the RNC, I guess, regard or what would it regard as a personal injury? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. There is a report here that deals with nonfatal. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Non-fatal? INSPECTOR DIDHAM:
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	or have a contact with a person, things like that. Last year in 2017, just to give you an idea, we had 46,500 of those types of incidents, just in the RNC's jurisdiction. We answer about approximately, and this is a good approximate so it's not a wide number, about 80,000 calls per year, call for service in the Royal Newfoundland Constabulary area. So, when you look at the number of complaints and personal contacts	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the corporate plans which reference personal injuries. So, how does the RNC, I guess, regard or what would it regard as a personal injury? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. There is a report here that deals with nonfatal. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Non-fatal? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Can we look in the Juristat reports?
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	or have a contact with a person, things like that. Last year in 2017, just to give you an idea, we had 46,500 of those types of incidents, just in the RNC's jurisdiction. We answer about approximately, and this is a good approximate so it's not a wide number, about 80,000 calls per year, call for service in the Royal Newfoundland Constabulary area. So, when you look at the number of complaints and personal contacts or, you know, interactions that we have with	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the corporate plans which reference personal injuries. So, how does the RNC, I guess, regard or what would it regard as a personal injury? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. There is a report here that deals with nonfatal. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Non-fatal? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Can we look in the Juristat reports? MR. GILLINGHAM:
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	or have a contact with a person, things like that. Last year in 2017, just to give you an idea, we had 46,500 of those types of incidents, just in the RNC's jurisdiction. We answer about approximately, and this is a good approximate so it's not a wide number, about 80,000 calls per year, call for service in the Royal Newfoundland Constabulary area. So, when you look at the number of complaints and personal contacts or, you know, interactions that we have with either a caller, a traffic stop, a motor	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the corporate plans which reference personal injuries. So, how does the RNC, I guess, regard or what would it regard as a personal injury? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. There is a report here that deals with nonfatal. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Non-fatal? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Can we look in the Juristat reports? MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Sure. You'd be referring, for example,
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	or have a contact with a person, things like that. Last year in 2017, just to give you an idea, we had 46,500 of those types of incidents, just in the RNC's jurisdiction. We answer about approximately, and this is a good approximate so it's not a wide number, about 80,000 calls per year, call for service in the Royal Newfoundland Constabulary area. So, when you look at the number of complaints and personal contacts or, you know, interactions that we have with either a caller, a traffic stop, a motor vehicle collision, it's a high percentage as	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the corporate plans which reference personal injuries. So, how does the RNC, I guess, regard or what would it regard as a personal injury? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. There is a report here that deals with nonfatal. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Non-fatal? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Can we look in the Juristat reports? MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Sure. You'd be referring, for example, and we can probably take any of the Juristat
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	or have a contact with a person, things like that. Last year in 2017, just to give you an idea, we had 46,500 of those types of incidents, just in the RNC's jurisdiction. We answer about approximately, and this is a good approximate so it's not a wide number, about 80,000 calls per year, call for service in the Royal Newfoundland Constabulary area. So, when you look at the number of complaints and personal contacts or, you know, interactions that we have with either a caller, a traffic stop, a motor vehicle collision, it's a high percentage as it relates to the number of incidents that	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the corporate plans which reference personal injuries. So, how does the RNC, I guess, regard or what would it regard as a personal injury? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. There is a report here that deals with nonfatal. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Non-fatal? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Can we look in the Juristat reports? MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Sure. You'd be referring, for example, and we can probably take any of the Juristat reports, in the 2015 report or -
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	or have a contact with a person, things like that. Last year in 2017, just to give you an idea, we had 46,500 of those types of incidents, just in the RNC's jurisdiction. We answer about approximately, and this is a good approximate so it's not a wide number, about 80,000 calls per year, call for service in the Royal Newfoundland Constabulary area. So, when you look at the number of complaints and personal contacts or, you know, interactions that we have with either a caller, a traffic stop, a motor vehicle collision, it's a high percentage as it relates to the number of incidents that we deal with relate to traffic-related	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the corporate plans which reference personal injuries. So, how does the RNC, I guess, regard or what would it regard as a personal injury? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. There is a report here that deals with nonfatal. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Non-fatal? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Can we look in the Juristat reports? MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Sure. You'd be referring, for example, and we can probably take any of the Juristat reports, in the 2015 report or - MR. WADDEN:
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	or have a contact with a person, things like that. Last year in 2017, just to give you an idea, we had 46,500 of those types of incidents, just in the RNC's jurisdiction. We answer about approximately, and this is a good approximate so it's not a wide number, about 80,000 calls per year, call for service in the Royal Newfoundland Constabulary area. So, when you look at the number of complaints and personal contacts or, you know, interactions that we have with either a caller, a traffic stop, a motor vehicle collision, it's a high percentage as it relates to the number of incidents that we deal with relate to traffic-related matters.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the corporate plans which reference personal injuries. So, how does the RNC, I guess, regard or what would it regard as a personal injury? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. There is a report here that deals with nonfatal. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Non-fatal? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Can we look in the Juristat reports? MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Sure. You'd be referring, for example, and we can probably take any of the Juristat reports, in the 2015 report or - MR. WADDEN: Q. Which one are you looking at, Inspector?
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	or have a contact with a person, things like that. Last year in 2017, just to give you an idea, we had 46,500 of those types of incidents, just in the RNC's jurisdiction. We answer about approximately, and this is a good approximate so it's not a wide number, about 80,000 calls per year, call for service in the Royal Newfoundland Constabulary area. So, when you look at the number of complaints and personal contacts or, you know, interactions that we have with either a caller, a traffic stop, a motor vehicle collision, it's a high percentage as it relates to the number of incidents that we deal with relate to traffic-related matters. MR. GILLINGHAM:	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the corporate plans which reference personal injuries. So, how does the RNC, I guess, regard or what would it regard as a personal injury? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. There is a report here that deals with nonfatal. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Non-fatal? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Can we look in the Juristat reports? MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Sure. You'd be referring, for example, and we can probably take any of the Juristat reports, in the 2015 report or - MR. WADDEN: Q. Which one are you looking at, Inspector? INSPECTOR DIDHAM:
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	or have a contact with a person, things like that. Last year in 2017, just to give you an idea, we had 46,500 of those types of incidents, just in the RNC's jurisdiction. We answer about approximately, and this is a good approximate so it's not a wide number, about 80,000 calls per year, call for service in the Royal Newfoundland Constabulary area. So, when you look at the number of complaints and personal contacts or, you know, interactions that we have with either a caller, a traffic stop, a motor vehicle collision, it's a high percentage as it relates to the number of incidents that we deal with relate to traffic-related matters.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the corporate plans which reference personal injuries. So, how does the RNC, I guess, regard or what would it regard as a personal injury? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. There is a report here that deals with nonfatal. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Non-fatal? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Can we look in the Juristat reports? MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Sure. You'd be referring, for example, and we can probably take any of the Juristat reports, in the 2015 report or - MR. WADDEN: Q. Which one are you looking at, Inspector?

Septer	nber 27, 2018		2017 Automobile Insurance Review
	Page 89		Page 91
1	Q. You're looking at the statistical report	1	involved in this collision. We would not
2	that we submitted to the Board yesterday.	2	have even any documentation. It's
3	MR. GILLINGHAM:	3	problematic for us because a lot of times
4	Q. Sure, the statistical report. That works as	4	the other party may not be known. So, if
5	well. So, the statistical report and are	5	there were two parties involved and the
6	you referring to the non-fatal injury column	6	damage was relatively minor, they don't have
1 7	in the -	7	the information but they still want to make
8	INSPECTOR DIDHAM:	8	a report to us about the injury. So, we
9	A. That's correct.	9	will take that report then. Even though
10	MR. GILLINGHAM:	10	there's a requirement under the Highway
11	Q. Or I should say the column doesn't use the	11	Traffic Act to report it within a period of
12	word "injury"	12	time, we will take the report from them.
13	INSPECTOR DIDHAM:	13	MR. GILLINGHAM:
14	A. Right.	14	Q. Right. But I think as you said that was
15	MR. GILLINGHAM:	15	relatively uncommon, is it?
16	Q. But are you saying that column, those are in		INSPECTOR DIDHAM:
17	fact injuries or just collisions?	17	A. It is, yeah.
18	INSPECTOR DIDHAM:	18	MR. GILLINGHAM:
19	A. They're injuries and they're not fatal.	19	
20	MR. GILLINGHAM:	20	
		21	tracking – if nobody reports a potential
21	Q. So, there is no column for -		injury, there's no way for the RNC to track
22	INSPECTOR DIDHAM:	22	those numbers of unreported accidents?
23	A. Personal injury.	23	INSPECTOR DIDHAM:
24	MR. GILLINGHAM:	24	A. No. And in addition to that, there are
25	Q. Right. And when someone is injured in a	25	times, I'm sure, that people actually are
	Page 90		Page 92
1	motor vehicle accident, there's a	1	involved in matters where no one is injured
2	motor vehicle accident, there's a requirement that they report that to the	2	involved in matters where no one is injured but the property damage exceeds \$2,000 and
	motor vehicle accident, there's a requirement that they report that to the RNC?	2 3	involved in matters where no one is injured but the property damage exceeds \$2,000 and for whatever reason they decide not to
2 3 4	motor vehicle accident, there's a requirement that they report that to the RNC? INSPECTOR DIDHAM:	2 3 4	involved in matters where no one is injured but the property damage exceeds \$2,000 and for whatever reason they decide not to report it to us, even though, yes, there's a
2 3	motor vehicle accident, there's a requirement that they report that to the RNC? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. That's correct.	2 3 4 5	involved in matters where no one is injured but the property damage exceeds \$2,000 and for whatever reason they decide not to report it to us, even though, yes, there's a requirement under the Highway Traffic Act
2 3 4	motor vehicle accident, there's a requirement that they report that to the RNC? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. That's correct. MR. GILLINGHAM:	2 3 4	involved in matters where no one is injured but the property damage exceeds \$2,000 and for whatever reason they decide not to report it to us, even though, yes, there's a requirement under the Highway Traffic Act legislation to do it. Some people just –
2 3 4 5	motor vehicle accident, there's a requirement that they report that to the RNC? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. That's correct.	2 3 4 5	involved in matters where no one is injured but the property damage exceeds \$2,000 and for whatever reason they decide not to report it to us, even though, yes, there's a requirement under the Highway Traffic Act legislation to do it. Some people just – whether, you know, they don't want it on
2 3 4 5	motor vehicle accident, there's a requirement that they report that to the RNC? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. That's correct. MR. GILLINGHAM:	2 3 4 5	involved in matters where no one is injured but the property damage exceeds \$2,000 and for whatever reason they decide not to report it to us, even though, yes, there's a requirement under the Highway Traffic Act legislation to do it. Some people just — whether, you know, they don't want it on their driving abstract or they don't want to
2 3 4 5 6 7	motor vehicle accident, there's a requirement that they report that to the RNC? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. That's correct. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Inspector, what about the situation where	2 3 4 5 6 7	involved in matters where no one is injured but the property damage exceeds \$2,000 and for whatever reason they decide not to report it to us, even though, yes, there's a requirement under the Highway Traffic Act legislation to do it. Some people just – whether, you know, they don't want it on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	motor vehicle accident, there's a requirement that they report that to the RNC? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. That's correct. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Inspector, what about the situation where someone may be involved in a motor vehicle	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	involved in matters where no one is injured but the property damage exceeds \$2,000 and for whatever reason they decide not to report it to us, even though, yes, there's a requirement under the Highway Traffic Act legislation to do it. Some people just — whether, you know, they don't want it on their driving abstract or they don't want to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	motor vehicle accident, there's a requirement that they report that to the RNC? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. That's correct. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Inspector, what about the situation where someone may be involved in a motor vehicle accident; there may be very minor damage to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	involved in matters where no one is injured but the property damage exceeds \$2,000 and for whatever reason they decide not to report it to us, even though, yes, there's a requirement under the Highway Traffic Act legislation to do it. Some people just — whether, you know, they don't want it on their driving abstract or they don't want to have their insurance involved, things like
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	motor vehicle accident, there's a requirement that they report that to the RNC? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. That's correct. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Inspector, what about the situation where someone may be involved in a motor vehicle accident; there may be very minor damage to the vehicle, under \$2000 let's say, and it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	involved in matters where no one is injured but the property damage exceeds \$2,000 and for whatever reason they decide not to report it to us, even though, yes, there's a requirement under the Highway Traffic Act legislation to do it. Some people just – whether, you know, they don't want it on their driving abstract or they don't want to have their insurance involved, things like that. Some people just decide not to report
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	motor vehicle accident, there's a requirement that they report that to the RNC? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. That's correct. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Inspector, what about the situation where someone may be involved in a motor vehicle accident; there may be very minor damage to the vehicle, under \$2000 let's say, and it's perhaps weeks or maybe even months later	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	involved in matters where no one is injured but the property damage exceeds \$2,000 and for whatever reason they decide not to report it to us, even though, yes, there's a requirement under the Highway Traffic Act legislation to do it. Some people just — whether, you know, they don't want it on their driving abstract or they don't want to have their insurance involved, things like that. Some people just decide not to report it to us.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	motor vehicle accident, there's a requirement that they report that to the RNC? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. That's correct. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Inspector, what about the situation where someone may be involved in a motor vehicle accident; there may be very minor damage to the vehicle, under \$2000 let's say, and it's perhaps weeks or maybe even months later that the individual in the accident starts	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	involved in matters where no one is injured but the property damage exceeds \$2,000 and for whatever reason they decide not to report it to us, even though, yes, there's a requirement under the Highway Traffic Act legislation to do it. Some people just — whether, you know, they don't want it on their driving abstract or they don't want to have their insurance involved, things like that. Some people just decide not to report it to us. MR. GILLINGHAM:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	motor vehicle accident, there's a requirement that they report that to the RNC? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. That's correct. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Inspector, what about the situation where someone may be involved in a motor vehicle accident; there may be very minor damage to the vehicle, under \$2000 let's say, and it's perhaps weeks or maybe even months later that the individual in the accident starts to develop a stiff neck, a pain in their	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	involved in matters where no one is injured but the property damage exceeds \$2,000 and for whatever reason they decide not to report it to us, even though, yes, there's a requirement under the Highway Traffic Act legislation to do it. Some people just — whether, you know, they don't want it on their driving abstract or they don't want to have their insurance involved, things like that. Some people just decide not to report it to us. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. So, this data, I guess that sort of brings
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	motor vehicle accident, there's a requirement that they report that to the RNC? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. That's correct. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Inspector, what about the situation where someone may be involved in a motor vehicle accident; there may be very minor damage to the vehicle, under \$2000 let's say, and it's perhaps weeks or maybe even months later that the individual in the accident starts to develop a stiff neck, a pain in their back or something else which is attributable	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	involved in matters where no one is injured but the property damage exceeds \$2,000 and for whatever reason they decide not to report it to us, even though, yes, there's a requirement under the Highway Traffic Act legislation to do it. Some people just – whether, you know, they don't want it on their driving abstract or they don't want to have their insurance involved, things like that. Some people just decide not to report it to us. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. So, this data, I guess that sort of brings us back to what I believe you mentioned
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	motor vehicle accident, there's a requirement that they report that to the RNC? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. That's correct. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Inspector, what about the situation where someone may be involved in a motor vehicle accident; there may be very minor damage to the vehicle, under \$2000 let's say, and it's perhaps weeks or maybe even months later that the individual in the accident starts to develop a stiff neck, a pain in their back or something else which is attributable to the accident in the view of their medical	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	involved in matters where no one is injured but the property damage exceeds \$2,000 and for whatever reason they decide not to report it to us, even though, yes, there's a requirement under the Highway Traffic Act legislation to do it. Some people just — whether, you know, they don't want it on their driving abstract or they don't want to have their insurance involved, things like that. Some people just decide not to report it to us. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. So, this data, I guess that sort of brings us back to what I believe you mentioned earlier. It depends on the public, people
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	motor vehicle accident, there's a requirement that they report that to the RNC? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. That's correct. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Inspector, what about the situation where someone may be involved in a motor vehicle accident; there may be very minor damage to the vehicle, under \$2000 let's say, and it's perhaps weeks or maybe even months later that the individual in the accident starts to develop a stiff neck, a pain in their back or something else which is attributable to the accident in the view of their medical professionals and the doctors and so on, are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	involved in matters where no one is injured but the property damage exceeds \$2,000 and for whatever reason they decide not to report it to us, even though, yes, there's a requirement under the Highway Traffic Act legislation to do it. Some people just — whether, you know, they don't want it on their driving abstract or they don't want to have their insurance involved, things like that. Some people just decide not to report it to us. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. So, this data, I guess that sort of brings us back to what I believe you mentioned earlier. It depends on the public, people involved in the accidents, to report this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	motor vehicle accident, there's a requirement that they report that to the RNC? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. That's correct. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Inspector, what about the situation where someone may be involved in a motor vehicle accident; there may be very minor damage to the vehicle, under \$2000 let's say, and it's perhaps weeks or maybe even months later that the individual in the accident starts to develop a stiff neck, a pain in their back or something else which is attributable to the accident in the view of their medical professionals and the doctors and so on, are they expected to report that collision then	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	involved in matters where no one is injured but the property damage exceeds \$2,000 and for whatever reason they decide not to report it to us, even though, yes, there's a requirement under the Highway Traffic Act legislation to do it. Some people just — whether, you know, they don't want it on their driving abstract or they don't want to have their insurance involved, things like that. Some people just decide not to report it to us. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. So, this data, I guess that sort of brings us back to what I believe you mentioned earlier. It depends on the public, people involved in the accidents, to report this information to the RNC?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	motor vehicle accident, there's a requirement that they report that to the RNC? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. That's correct. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Inspector, what about the situation where someone may be involved in a motor vehicle accident; there may be very minor damage to the vehicle, under \$2000 let's say, and it's perhaps weeks or maybe even months later that the individual in the accident starts to develop a stiff neck, a pain in their back or something else which is attributable to the accident in the view of their medical professionals and the doctors and so on, are they expected to report that collision then to the RNC? INSPECTOR DIDHAM:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	involved in matters where no one is injured but the property damage exceeds \$2,000 and for whatever reason they decide not to report it to us, even though, yes, there's a requirement under the Highway Traffic Act legislation to do it. Some people just — whether, you know, they don't want it on their driving abstract or they don't want to have their insurance involved, things like that. Some people just decide not to report it to us. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. So, this data, I guess that sort of brings us back to what I believe you mentioned earlier. It depends on the public, people involved in the accidents, to report this information to the RNC? INSPECTOR DIDHAM:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	motor vehicle accident, there's a requirement that they report that to the RNC? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. That's correct. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Inspector, what about the situation where someone may be involved in a motor vehicle accident; there may be very minor damage to the vehicle, under \$2000 let's say, and it's perhaps weeks or maybe even months later that the individual in the accident starts to develop a stiff neck, a pain in their back or something else which is attributable to the accident in the view of their medical professionals and the doctors and so on, are they expected to report that collision then to the RNC? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. They are. You know, again, it's not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	involved in matters where no one is injured but the property damage exceeds \$2,000 and for whatever reason they decide not to report it to us, even though, yes, there's a requirement under the Highway Traffic Act legislation to do it. Some people just — whether, you know, they don't want it on their driving abstract or they don't want to have their insurance involved, things like that. Some people just decide not to report it to us. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. So, this data, I guess that sort of brings us back to what I believe you mentioned earlier. It depends on the public, people involved in the accidents, to report this information to the RNC? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Sure it is, yeah. MR. GILLINGHAM:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	motor vehicle accident, there's a requirement that they report that to the RNC? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. That's correct. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Inspector, what about the situation where someone may be involved in a motor vehicle accident; there may be very minor damage to the vehicle, under \$2000 let's say, and it's perhaps weeks or maybe even months later that the individual in the accident starts to develop a stiff neck, a pain in their back or something else which is attributable to the accident in the view of their medical professionals and the doctors and so on, are they expected to report that collision then to the RNC? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. They are. You know, again, it's not something that happens a lot, but it has	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	involved in matters where no one is injured but the property damage exceeds \$2,000 and for whatever reason they decide not to report it to us, even though, yes, there's a requirement under the Highway Traffic Act legislation to do it. Some people just — whether, you know, they don't want it on their driving abstract or they don't want to have their insurance involved, things like that. Some people just decide not to report it to us. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. So, this data, I guess that sort of brings us back to what I believe you mentioned earlier. It depends on the public, people involved in the accidents, to report this information to the RNC? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Sure it is, yeah. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. So, even if collisions are down, Inspector,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	motor vehicle accident, there's a requirement that they report that to the RNC? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. That's correct. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Inspector, what about the situation where someone may be involved in a motor vehicle accident; there may be very minor damage to the vehicle, under \$2000 let's say, and it's perhaps weeks or maybe even months later that the individual in the accident starts to develop a stiff neck, a pain in their back or something else which is attributable to the accident in the view of their medical professionals and the doctors and so on, are they expected to report that collision then to the RNC? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. They are. You know, again, it's not something that happens a lot, but it has happened in the past. I do recall some	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	involved in matters where no one is injured but the property damage exceeds \$2,000 and for whatever reason they decide not to report it to us, even though, yes, there's a requirement under the Highway Traffic Act legislation to do it. Some people just — whether, you know, they don't want it on their driving abstract or they don't want to have their insurance involved, things like that. Some people just decide not to report it to us. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. So, this data, I guess that sort of brings us back to what I believe you mentioned earlier. It depends on the public, people involved in the accidents, to report this information to the RNC? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Sure it is, yeah. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. So, even if collisions are down, Inspector, I guess we look at — we'll stay on this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	motor vehicle accident, there's a requirement that they report that to the RNC? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. That's correct. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Inspector, what about the situation where someone may be involved in a motor vehicle accident; there may be very minor damage to the vehicle, under \$2000 let's say, and it's perhaps weeks or maybe even months later that the individual in the accident starts to develop a stiff neck, a pain in their back or something else which is attributable to the accident in the view of their medical professionals and the doctors and so on, are they expected to report that collision then to the RNC? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. They are. You know, again, it's not something that happens a lot, but it has happened in the past. I do recall some incidents similar to that where a person	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	involved in matters where no one is injured but the property damage exceeds \$2,000 and for whatever reason they decide not to report it to us, even though, yes, there's a requirement under the Highway Traffic Act legislation to do it. Some people just — whether, you know, they don't want it on their driving abstract or they don't want to have their insurance involved, things like that. Some people just decide not to report it to us. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. So, this data, I guess that sort of brings us back to what I believe you mentioned earlier. It depends on the public, people involved in the accidents, to report this information to the RNC? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Sure it is, yeah. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. So, even if collisions are down, Inspector, I guess we look at — we'll stay on this document, the Statistical Report. Even if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	motor vehicle accident, there's a requirement that they report that to the RNC? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. That's correct. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Inspector, what about the situation where someone may be involved in a motor vehicle accident; there may be very minor damage to the vehicle, under \$2000 let's say, and it's perhaps weeks or maybe even months later that the individual in the accident starts to develop a stiff neck, a pain in their back or something else which is attributable to the accident in the view of their medical professionals and the doctors and so on, are they expected to report that collision then to the RNC? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. They are. You know, again, it's not something that happens a lot, but it has happened in the past. I do recall some	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	involved in matters where no one is injured but the property damage exceeds \$2,000 and for whatever reason they decide not to report it to us, even though, yes, there's a requirement under the Highway Traffic Act legislation to do it. Some people just — whether, you know, they don't want it on their driving abstract or they don't want to have their insurance involved, things like that. Some people just decide not to report it to us. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. So, this data, I guess that sort of brings us back to what I believe you mentioned earlier. It depends on the public, people involved in the accidents, to report this information to the RNC? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Sure it is, yeah. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. So, even if collisions are down, Inspector, I guess we look at — we'll stay on this

Septer	mber 27, 2018		2017 Automobile Insurance Review
	Page 93		Page 95
1	INSPECTOR DIDHAM:	1	INSPECTOR DIDHAM:
2	A. Sorry?	2	A. I'd like to be able to respond to you on
3	MR. GILLINGHAM:	3	that. Again, I have counterparts within the
4	Q. We have, I guess, in the chart here in the	4	RCMP that I work closely with, but I think
5	total column we can see how the number of		it would be unfair for me to comment on how
6	collisions have decreased, but I guess the	6	they deploy their resources as it relates to
7	number of injuries, are you saying would	7	these types of initiatives.
8	that be reflected – that would be reflected	8	MR. FELTHAM:
9	in the non-fatal column?	9	Q. Right. So, you don't know whether they have
10	INSPECTOR DIDHAM:	10	distracted driving simulators that they put
11	A. That's correct.	11	in schools or things of that nature?
12	MR. GILLINGHAM:	12	INSPECTOR DIDHAM:
13	Q. Right. And of course, there's no way for	13	A. There are times that we send ours out to
14	the RNC to track the number of claims for	14	them. So, we do share resources like that.
		15	· ·
15	bodily injuries in the civil process that		I mean, there's been times when members in
16	involves all us lawyers?	16	Gander wanted to use it or Grand Falls with
17	INSPECTOR DIDHAM:	17	the RCMP. So, we do – we have very close
18	A. No.	18	working relationships with the RCMP. So,
19	MR. GILLINGHAM:	19	when it comes to resources or specialized
20	Q. There's no tracking of that information?	20	resources, we share those a lot of times.
21	INSPECTOR DIDHAM:	21	MR. FELTHAM:
22	A. No idea about that.	22	Q. And I wanted to ask you about taxi cabs.
23	MR. GILLINGHAM:	23	So, some of the data that's come before the
24	Q. Those are all my questions.	24	Board has indicated that there's a much
25	CHAIR:	25	higher frequency of accidents involving
-			
	Page 94		Page 96
1	Page 94 Q. Thank you, Mr. Gillingham. Mr. Feltham?	1	Page 96 taxis versus the private passenger
1 2	-	2	Page 96
	Q. Thank you, Mr. Gillingham. Mr. Feltham?		Page 96 taxis versus the private passenger
2	Q. Thank you, Mr. Gillingham. Mr. Feltham? MR. FELTHAM:	2	Page 96 taxis versus the private passenger automobile, the general population.
2 3	Q. Thank you, Mr. Gillingham. Mr. Feltham?MR. FELTHAM:Q. I don't have much to ask, Inspector Didham,	2 3	Page 96 taxis versus the private passenger automobile, the general population. INSPECTOR DIDHAM:
2 3 4	 Q. Thank you, Mr. Gillingham. Mr. Feltham? MR. FELTHAM: Q. I don't have much to ask, Inspector Didham, but I did want to ask you about RCMP 	2 3 4	Page 96 taxis versus the private passenger automobile, the general population. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Right. MR. FELTHAM:
2 3 4 5	 Q. Thank you, Mr. Gillingham. Mr. Feltham? MR. FELTHAM: Q. I don't have much to ask, Inspector Didham, but I did want to ask you about RCMP knowledge in terms of that. Presumably RNC has jurisdiction in the province most of 	2 3 4 5	Page 96 taxis versus the private passenger automobile, the general population. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Right. MR. FELTHAM: Q. I don't know if you have any – in your
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. Thank you, Mr. Gillingham. Mr. Feltham? MR. FELTHAM: Q. I don't have much to ask, Inspector Didham, but I did want to ask you about RCMP knowledge in terms of that. Presumably RNC has jurisdiction in the province most of the balance of the province would be under 	2 3 4 5	Page 96 taxis versus the private passenger automobile, the general population. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Right. MR. FELTHAM: Q. I don't know if you have any – in your experience on the enforcement and education
2 3 4 5	 Q. Thank you, Mr. Gillingham. Mr. Feltham? MR. FELTHAM: Q. I don't have much to ask, Inspector Didham, but I did want to ask you about RCMP knowledge in terms of that. Presumably RNC has jurisdiction in the province most of 	2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 96 taxis versus the private passenger automobile, the general population. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Right. MR. FELTHAM: Q. I don't know if you have any – in your experience on the enforcement and education side, any recommendations as to what the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Thank you, Mr. Gillingham. Mr. Feltham? MR. FELTHAM: Q. I don't have much to ask, Inspector Didham, but I did want to ask you about RCMP knowledge in terms of that. Presumably RNC has jurisdiction in the province most of the balance of the province would be under the jurisdiction of the RCMP? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 96 taxis versus the private passenger automobile, the general population. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Right. MR. FELTHAM: Q. I don't know if you have any – in your experience on the enforcement and education side, any recommendations as to what the taxi industry might do in that regard to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Thank you, Mr. Gillingham. Mr. Feltham? MR. FELTHAM: Q. I don't have much to ask, Inspector Didham, but I did want to ask you about RCMP knowledge in terms of that. Presumably RNC has jurisdiction in the province most of the balance of the province would be under the jurisdiction of the RCMP? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 96 taxis versus the private passenger automobile, the general population. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Right. MR. FELTHAM: Q. I don't know if you have any – in your experience on the enforcement and education side, any recommendations as to what the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Thank you, Mr. Gillingham. Mr. Feltham? MR. FELTHAM: Q. I don't have much to ask, Inspector Didham, but I did want to ask you about RCMP knowledge in terms of that. Presumably RNC has jurisdiction in the province most of the balance of the province would be under the jurisdiction of the RCMP? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Most of the – sorry? MR. FELTHAM: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 96 taxis versus the private passenger automobile, the general population. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Right. MR. FELTHAM: Q. I don't know if you have any – in your experience on the enforcement and education side, any recommendations as to what the taxi industry might do in that regard to bring their numbers down? INSPECTOR DIDHAM:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. Thank you, Mr. Gillingham. Mr. Feltham? MR. FELTHAM: Q. I don't have much to ask, Inspector Didham, but I did want to ask you about RCMP knowledge in terms of that. Presumably RNC has jurisdiction in the province most of the balance of the province would be under the jurisdiction of the RCMP? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Most of the – sorry? MR. FELTHAM: Q. The balance of the province that the RCMP 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 96 taxis versus the private passenger automobile, the general population. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Right. MR. FELTHAM: Q. I don't know if you have any – in your experience on the enforcement and education side, any recommendations as to what the taxi industry might do in that regard to bring their numbers down? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. You know, just one piece of equipment we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Thank you, Mr. Gillingham. Mr. Feltham? MR. FELTHAM: Q. I don't have much to ask, Inspector Didham, but I did want to ask you about RCMP knowledge in terms of that. Presumably RNC has jurisdiction in the province most of the balance of the province would be under the jurisdiction of the RCMP? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Most of the – sorry? MR. FELTHAM: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 96 taxis versus the private passenger automobile, the general population. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Right. MR. FELTHAM: Q. I don't know if you have any – in your experience on the enforcement and education side, any recommendations as to what the taxi industry might do in that regard to bring their numbers down? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. You know, just one piece of equipment we have – and again, I know it's a significant
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Thank you, Mr. Gillingham. Mr. Feltham? MR. FELTHAM: Q. I don't have much to ask, Inspector Didham, but I did want to ask you about RCMP knowledge in terms of that. Presumably RNC has jurisdiction in the province most of the balance of the province would be under the jurisdiction of the RCMP? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Most of the – sorry? MR. FELTHAM: Q. The balance of the province that the RCMP doesn't – sorry, the RNC does not cover? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 96 taxis versus the private passenger automobile, the general population. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Right. MR. FELTHAM: Q. I don't know if you have any – in your experience on the enforcement and education side, any recommendations as to what the taxi industry might do in that regard to bring their numbers down? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. You know, just one piece of equipment we have – and again, I know it's a significant issue with taxi companies. I mean, I know
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Thank you, Mr. Gillingham. Mr. Feltham? MR. FELTHAM: Q. I don't have much to ask, Inspector Didham, but I did want to ask you about RCMP knowledge in terms of that. Presumably RNC has jurisdiction in the province most of the balance of the province would be under the jurisdiction of the RCMP? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Most of the – sorry? MR. FELTHAM: Q. The balance of the province that the RCMP doesn't – sorry, the RNC does not cover? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. That's right. Geographically speaking, the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 96 taxis versus the private passenger automobile, the general population. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Right. MR. FELTHAM: Q. I don't know if you have any – in your experience on the enforcement and education side, any recommendations as to what the taxi industry might do in that regard to bring their numbers down? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. You know, just one piece of equipment we have – and again, I know it's a significant issue with taxi companies. I mean, I know the cost of insuring is high throughout the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Thank you, Mr. Gillingham. Mr. Feltham? MR. FELTHAM: Q. I don't have much to ask, Inspector Didham, but I did want to ask you about RCMP knowledge in terms of that. Presumably RNC has jurisdiction in the province most of the balance of the province would be under the jurisdiction of the RCMP? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Most of the – sorry? MR. FELTHAM: Q. The balance of the province that the RCMP doesn't – sorry, the RNC does not cover? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. That's right. Geographically speaking, the RCMP covers more territory. Population 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 96 taxis versus the private passenger automobile, the general population. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Right. MR. FELTHAM: Q. I don't know if you have any – in your experience on the enforcement and education side, any recommendations as to what the taxi industry might do in that regard to bring their numbers down? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. You know, just one piece of equipment we have – and again, I know it's a significant issue with taxi companies. I mean, I know the cost of insuring is high throughout the country, but perhaps one piece of equipment
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Thank you, Mr. Gillingham. Mr. Feltham? MR. FELTHAM: Q. I don't have much to ask, Inspector Didham, but I did want to ask you about RCMP knowledge in terms of that. Presumably RNC has jurisdiction in the province most of the balance of the province would be under the jurisdiction of the RCMP? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Most of the – sorry? MR. FELTHAM: Q. The balance of the province that the RCMP doesn't – sorry, the RNC does not cover? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. That's right. Geographically speaking, the RCMP covers more territory. Population wise, the RNC covers a little more than half 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 96 taxis versus the private passenger automobile, the general population. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Right. MR. FELTHAM: Q. I don't know if you have any – in your experience on the enforcement and education side, any recommendations as to what the taxi industry might do in that regard to bring their numbers down? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. You know, just one piece of equipment we have – and again, I know it's a significant issue with taxi companies. I mean, I know the cost of insuring is high throughout the country, but perhaps one piece of equipment that could be used, a lot of companies are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Thank you, Mr. Gillingham. Mr. Feltham? MR. FELTHAM: Q. I don't have much to ask, Inspector Didham, but I did want to ask you about RCMP knowledge in terms of that. Presumably RNC has jurisdiction in the province most of the balance of the province would be under the jurisdiction of the RCMP? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Most of the – sorry? MR. FELTHAM: Q. The balance of the province that the RCMP doesn't – sorry, the RNC does not cover? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. That's right. Geographically speaking, the RCMP covers more territory. Population wise, the RNC covers a little more than half the population. So that's the balance, I 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 96 taxis versus the private passenger automobile, the general population. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Right. MR. FELTHAM: Q. I don't know if you have any – in your experience on the enforcement and education side, any recommendations as to what the taxi industry might do in that regard to bring their numbers down? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. You know, just one piece of equipment we have – and again, I know it's a significant issue with taxi companies. I mean, I know the cost of insuring is high throughout the country, but perhaps one piece of equipment that could be used, a lot of companies are going with it now, automated vehicle
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. Thank you, Mr. Gillingham. Mr. Feltham? MR. FELTHAM: Q. I don't have much to ask, Inspector Didham, but I did want to ask you about RCMP knowledge in terms of that. Presumably RNC has jurisdiction in the province most of the balance of the province would be under the jurisdiction of the RCMP? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Most of the – sorry? MR. FELTHAM: Q. The balance of the province that the RCMP doesn't – sorry, the RNC does not cover? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. That's right. Geographically speaking, the RCMP covers more territory. Population wise, the RNC covers a little more than half the population. So that's the balance, I guess. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 96 taxis versus the private passenger automobile, the general population. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Right. MR. FELTHAM: Q. I don't know if you have any – in your experience on the enforcement and education side, any recommendations as to what the taxi industry might do in that regard to bring their numbers down? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. You know, just one piece of equipment we have – and again, I know it's a significant issue with taxi companies. I mean, I know the cost of insuring is high throughout the country, but perhaps one piece of equipment that could be used, a lot of companies are going with it now, automated vehicle locators. We have them in our police
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Thank you, Mr. Gillingham. Mr. Feltham? MR. FELTHAM: Q. I don't have much to ask, Inspector Didham, but I did want to ask you about RCMP knowledge in terms of that. Presumably RNC has jurisdiction in the province most of the balance of the province would be under the jurisdiction of the RCMP? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Most of the – sorry? MR. FELTHAM: Q. The balance of the province that the RCMP doesn't – sorry, the RNC does not cover? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. That's right. Geographically speaking, the RCMP covers more territory. Population wise, the RNC covers a little more than half the population. So that's the balance, I guess. MR. FELTHAM: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	taxis versus the private passenger automobile, the general population. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Right. MR. FELTHAM: Q. I don't know if you have any – in your experience on the enforcement and education side, any recommendations as to what the taxi industry might do in that regard to bring their numbers down? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. You know, just one piece of equipment we have – and again, I know it's a significant issue with taxi companies. I mean, I know the cost of insuring is high throughout the country, but perhaps one piece of equipment that could be used, a lot of companies are going with it now, automated vehicle locators. We have them in our police vehicles. We refer to them as AVL, so it's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Thank you, Mr. Gillingham. Mr. Feltham? MR. FELTHAM: Q. I don't have much to ask, Inspector Didham, but I did want to ask you about RCMP knowledge in terms of that. Presumably RNC has jurisdiction in the province most of the balance of the province would be under the jurisdiction of the RCMP? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Most of the – sorry? MR. FELTHAM: Q. The balance of the province that the RCMP doesn't – sorry, the RNC does not cover? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. That's right. Geographically speaking, the RCMP covers more territory. Population wise, the RNC covers a little more than half the population. So that's the balance, I guess. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And you've spoken of the various efforts and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 96 taxis versus the private passenger automobile, the general population. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Right. MR. FELTHAM: Q. I don't know if you have any – in your experience on the enforcement and education side, any recommendations as to what the taxi industry might do in that regard to bring their numbers down? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. You know, just one piece of equipment we have – and again, I know it's a significant issue with taxi companies. I mean, I know the cost of insuring is high throughout the country, but perhaps one piece of equipment that could be used, a lot of companies are going with it now, automated vehicle locators. We have them in our police vehicles. We refer to them as AVL, so it's a GPS tracking device that we have in our
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Thank you, Mr. Gillingham. Mr. Feltham? MR. FELTHAM: Q. I don't have much to ask, Inspector Didham, but I did want to ask you about RCMP knowledge in terms of that. Presumably RNC has jurisdiction in the province most of the balance of the province would be under the jurisdiction of the RCMP? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Most of the – sorry? MR. FELTHAM: Q. The balance of the province that the RCMP doesn't – sorry, the RNC does not cover? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. That's right. Geographically speaking, the RCMP covers more territory. Population wise, the RNC covers a little more than half the population. So that's the balance, I guess. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And you've spoken of the various efforts and measures being taken by the RNC in terms of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	taxis versus the private passenger automobile, the general population. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Right. MR. FELTHAM: Q. I don't know if you have any – in your experience on the enforcement and education side, any recommendations as to what the taxi industry might do in that regard to bring their numbers down? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. You know, just one piece of equipment we have – and again, I know it's a significant issue with taxi companies. I mean, I know the cost of insuring is high throughout the country, but perhaps one piece of equipment that could be used, a lot of companies are going with it now, automated vehicle locators. We have them in our police vehicles. We refer to them as AVL, so it's a GPS tracking device that we have in our cars, so it can track everything, similar to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Thank you, Mr. Gillingham. Mr. Feltham? MR. FELTHAM: Q. I don't have much to ask, Inspector Didham, but I did want to ask you about RCMP knowledge in terms of that. Presumably RNC has jurisdiction in the province most of the balance of the province would be under the jurisdiction of the RCMP? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Most of the – sorry? MR. FELTHAM: Q. The balance of the province that the RCMP doesn't – sorry, the RNC does not cover? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. That's right. Geographically speaking, the RCMP covers more territory. Population wise, the RNC covers a little more than half the population. So that's the balance, I guess. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And you've spoken of the various efforts and measures being taken by the RNC in terms of enforcement and education and so on. Do the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	taxis versus the private passenger automobile, the general population. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Right. MR. FELTHAM: Q. I don't know if you have any – in your experience on the enforcement and education side, any recommendations as to what the taxi industry might do in that regard to bring their numbers down? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. You know, just one piece of equipment we have – and again, I know it's a significant issue with taxi companies. I mean, I know the cost of insuring is high throughout the country, but perhaps one piece of equipment that could be used, a lot of companies are going with it now, automated vehicle locators. We have them in our police vehicles. We refer to them as AVL, so it's a GPS tracking device that we have in our cars, so it can track everything, similar to what the CDR, the crash data recorder,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Thank you, Mr. Gillingham. Mr. Feltham? MR. FELTHAM: Q. I don't have much to ask, Inspector Didham, but I did want to ask you about RCMP knowledge in terms of that. Presumably RNC has jurisdiction in the province most of the balance of the province would be under the jurisdiction of the RCMP? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Most of the – sorry? MR. FELTHAM: Q. The balance of the province that the RCMP doesn't – sorry, the RNC does not cover? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. That's right. Geographically speaking, the RCMP covers more territory. Population wise, the RNC covers a little more than half the population. So that's the balance, I guess. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And you've spoken of the various efforts and measures being taken by the RNC in terms of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	taxis versus the private passenger automobile, the general population. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Right. MR. FELTHAM: Q. I don't know if you have any – in your experience on the enforcement and education side, any recommendations as to what the taxi industry might do in that regard to bring their numbers down? INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. You know, just one piece of equipment we have – and again, I know it's a significant issue with taxi companies. I mean, I know the cost of insuring is high throughout the country, but perhaps one piece of equipment that could be used, a lot of companies are going with it now, automated vehicle locators. We have them in our police vehicles. We refer to them as AVL, so it's a GPS tracking device that we have in our cars, so it can track everything, similar to

	nber 27, 2018		2017 Automobile Insurance Review
	Page 97		Page 99
1	our communications centre. So, if our	1	you. We know that you're a Supreme Court
2	patrol cars are travelling, it's show us the	2	Justice, retired now. But perhaps you could
3	speed they're going, whether the lights are	3	take us back a little bit earlier in your
4	on, siren is on, where they're located, you	4	professional life and bring us forward in
5	name it. It shows all of that data. So, I	5	terms of the kind of work that you've done
6	mean, if that's something that – and I can	6	and your role as a Supreme Court Justice and
7	say that in speaking with the people who	7	then into your retirement.
8	installed it with us, because I was a part	8	JUSTICE WELLS:
9	of that committee, it's not a huge	9	A. Can you hear me okay?
10	significant cost for it. Again, that's a	10	MR. FELTHAM:
11	suggestion. It's something that private	11	Q. Sure can.
12	companies would have to look at, but maybe		JUSTICE WELLS:
13	that's something that they can look at.	13	A. It's I who don't hear so well now. Anyway,
14	MR. FELTHAM:	14	yes, I was called to the Bar of England and
15	Q. Those are my questions. Thanks.	15	Wales in – I was born of course in
16	CHAIR:	16	Newfoundland and grew up here. Called to
17	Q. Thank you, Mr. Feltham.	17	the Bar of England and Wales in 1958 and
18	O'FLAHERTY, Q.C.:	18	then two months later, three months later, I
19	Q. I don't have any questions. Thank you,	19	had transferred into the Bar of
	Madam Chair.		
20 21	COMMISSIONER OXFORD:	20 21	Newfoundland. You could do that then by
			writing an examination in statutes and local
22	Q. No questions.	22	practice and procedure.
23	CHAIR:	23	My first professional work was with the
24	Q. I have no questions as well. Thank you very	24	Department of Justice. It wasn't called the
25	much, Inspector Didham.	25	Department of Justice then. It was always
	Page 98		Page 100
1	INSPECTOR DIDHAM:	1	called the Department of the Attorney
2	INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Thank you.	2	called the Department of the Attorney General and there wasn't a minister of
2 3	INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Thank you. CHAIR:	2 3	called the Department of the Attorney General and there wasn't a minister of justice, there was an attorney general and
2 3 4	INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Thank you. CHAIR: Q. That was very interesting.	2 3 4	called the Department of the Attorney General and there wasn't a minister of justice, there was an attorney general and people at my level were called legal
2 3 4 5	INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Thank you. CHAIR: Q. That was very interesting. INSPECTOR DIDHAM:	2 3 4 5	called the Department of the Attorney General and there wasn't a minister of justice, there was an attorney general and people at my level were called legal assistants to the attorney general.
2 3 4 5 6	INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Thank you. CHAIR: Q. That was very interesting. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Good. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6	called the Department of the Attorney General and there wasn't a minister of justice, there was an attorney general and people at my level were called legal assistants to the attorney general. So, I did a lot of criminal work in the
2 3 4 5 6 7	INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Thank you. CHAIR: Q. That was very interesting. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Good. Thank you. CHAIR:	2 3 4 5 6 7	called the Department of the Attorney General and there wasn't a minister of justice, there was an attorney general and people at my level were called legal assistants to the attorney general. So, I did a lot of criminal work in the four years that I was there and then I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Thank you. CHAIR: Q. That was very interesting. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Good. Thank you. CHAIR: Q. I'm going to suggest maybe we take a quick	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	called the Department of the Attorney General and there wasn't a minister of justice, there was an attorney general and people at my level were called legal assistants to the attorney general. So, I did a lot of criminal work in the four years that I was there and then I decided to go into private practice myself
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Thank you. CHAIR: Q. That was very interesting. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Good. Thank you. CHAIR: Q. I'm going to suggest maybe we take a quick break, five minutes at the most. Thanks	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	called the Department of the Attorney General and there wasn't a minister of justice, there was an attorney general and people at my level were called legal assistants to the attorney general. So, I did a lot of criminal work in the four years that I was there and then I decided to go into private practice myself and give that a try. I had no clients or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Thank you. CHAIR: Q. That was very interesting. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Good. Thank you. CHAIR: Q. I'm going to suggest maybe we take a quick break, five minutes at the most. Thanks again, Inspector Didham.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	called the Department of the Attorney General and there wasn't a minister of justice, there was an attorney general and people at my level were called legal assistants to the attorney general. So, I did a lot of criminal work in the four years that I was there and then I decided to go into private practice myself and give that a try. I had no clients or prospective clients. Of course, I had not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Thank you. CHAIR: Q. That was very interesting. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Good. Thank you. CHAIR: Q. I'm going to suggest maybe we take a quick break, five minutes at the most. Thanks again, Inspector Didham. (BREAK – 3:00 p.m.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	called the Department of the Attorney General and there wasn't a minister of justice, there was an attorney general and people at my level were called legal assistants to the attorney general. So, I did a lot of criminal work in the four years that I was there and then I decided to go into private practice myself and give that a try. I had no clients or prospective clients. Of course, I had not gone to law school with any of my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Thank you. CHAIR: Q. That was very interesting. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Good. Thank you. CHAIR: Q. I'm going to suggest maybe we take a quick break, five minutes at the most. Thanks again, Inspector Didham. (BREAK – 3:00 p.m.) (RESUME – 3:07 p.m.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	called the Department of the Attorney General and there wasn't a minister of justice, there was an attorney general and people at my level were called legal assistants to the attorney general. So, I did a lot of criminal work in the four years that I was there and then I decided to go into private practice myself and give that a try. I had no clients or prospective clients. Of course, I had not gone to law school with any of my contemporaries. And anyway, so I went into
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Thank you. CHAIR: Q. That was very interesting. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Good. Thank you. CHAIR: Q. I'm going to suggest maybe we take a quick break, five minutes at the most. Thanks again, Inspector Didham. (BREAK – 3:00 p.m.) (RESUME – 3:07 p.m.) CHAIR:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	called the Department of the Attorney General and there wasn't a minister of justice, there was an attorney general and people at my level were called legal assistants to the attorney general. So, I did a lot of criminal work in the four years that I was there and then I decided to go into private practice myself and give that a try. I had no clients or prospective clients. Of course, I had not gone to law school with any of my contemporaries. And anyway, so I went into practice and the late Justice Lloyd Soper
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Thank you. CHAIR: Q. That was very interesting. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Good. Thank you. CHAIR: Q. I'm going to suggest maybe we take a quick break, five minutes at the most. Thanks again, Inspector Didham. (BREAK – 3:00 p.m.) (RESUME – 3:07 p.m.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	called the Department of the Attorney General and there wasn't a minister of justice, there was an attorney general and people at my level were called legal assistants to the attorney general. So, I did a lot of criminal work in the four years that I was there and then I decided to go into private practice myself and give that a try. I had no clients or prospective clients. Of course, I had not gone to law school with any of my contemporaries. And anyway, so I went into
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Thank you. CHAIR: Q. That was very interesting. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Good. Thank you. CHAIR: Q. I'm going to suggest maybe we take a quick break, five minutes at the most. Thanks again, Inspector Didham. (BREAK – 3:00 p.m.) (RESUME – 3:07 p.m.) CHAIR:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	called the Department of the Attorney General and there wasn't a minister of justice, there was an attorney general and people at my level were called legal assistants to the attorney general. So, I did a lot of criminal work in the four years that I was there and then I decided to go into private practice myself and give that a try. I had no clients or prospective clients. Of course, I had not gone to law school with any of my contemporaries. And anyway, so I went into practice and the late Justice Lloyd Soper
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Thank you. CHAIR: Q. That was very interesting. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Good. Thank you. CHAIR: Q. I'm going to suggest maybe we take a quick break, five minutes at the most. Thanks again, Inspector Didham. (BREAK – 3:00 p.m.) (RESUME – 3:07 p.m.) CHAIR: Q. So, over to you, Mr. Feltham. Introduce	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	called the Department of the Attorney General and there wasn't a minister of justice, there was an attorney general and people at my level were called legal assistants to the attorney general. So, I did a lot of criminal work in the four years that I was there and then I decided to go into private practice myself and give that a try. I had no clients or prospective clients. Of course, I had not gone to law school with any of my contemporaries. And anyway, so I went into practice and the late Justice Lloyd Soper knew that I was going to do so and he said
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Thank you. CHAIR: Q. That was very interesting. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Good. Thank you. CHAIR: Q. I'm going to suggest maybe we take a quick break, five minutes at the most. Thanks again, Inspector Didham. (BREAK – 3:00 p.m.) (RESUME – 3:07 p.m.) CHAIR: Q. So, over to you, Mr. Feltham. Introduce your presenter. Welcome Justice Wells.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	called the Department of the Attorney General and there wasn't a minister of justice, there was an attorney general and people at my level were called legal assistants to the attorney general. So, I did a lot of criminal work in the four years that I was there and then I decided to go into private practice myself and give that a try. I had no clients or prospective clients. Of course, I had not gone to law school with any of my contemporaries. And anyway, so I went into practice and the late Justice Lloyd Soper knew that I was going to do so and he said "can I come with you?" He was ten years
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Thank you. CHAIR: Q. That was very interesting. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Good. Thank you. CHAIR: Q. I'm going to suggest maybe we take a quick break, five minutes at the most. Thanks again, Inspector Didham. (BREAK – 3:00 p.m.) (RESUME – 3:07 p.m.) CHAIR: Q. So, over to you, Mr. Feltham. Introduce your presenter. Welcome Justice Wells. MR. FELTHAM:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	called the Department of the Attorney General and there wasn't a minister of justice, there was an attorney general and people at my level were called legal assistants to the attorney general. So, I did a lot of criminal work in the four years that I was there and then I decided to go into private practice myself and give that a try. I had no clients or prospective clients. Of course, I had not gone to law school with any of my contemporaries. And anyway, so I went into practice and the late Justice Lloyd Soper knew that I was going to do so and he said "can I come with you?" He was ten years senior to me. And I said "well, yes". So,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Thank you. CHAIR: Q. That was very interesting. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Good. Thank you. CHAIR: Q. I'm going to suggest maybe we take a quick break, five minutes at the most. Thanks again, Inspector Didham. (BREAK – 3:00 p.m.) (RESUME – 3:07 p.m.) CHAIR: Q. So, over to you, Mr. Feltham. Introduce your presenter. Welcome Justice Wells. MR. FELTHAM: Q. Thank you, Chair, Commissioners. Our next	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	called the Department of the Attorney General and there wasn't a minister of justice, there was an attorney general and people at my level were called legal assistants to the attorney general. So, I did a lot of criminal work in the four years that I was there and then I decided to go into private practice myself and give that a try. I had no clients or prospective clients. Of course, I had not gone to law school with any of my contemporaries. And anyway, so I went into practice and the late Justice Lloyd Soper knew that I was going to do so and he said "can I come with you?" He was ten years senior to me. And I said "well, yes". So, it started off with Soper and Wells, then
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Thank you. CHAIR: Q. That was very interesting. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Good. Thank you. CHAIR: Q. I'm going to suggest maybe we take a quick break, five minutes at the most. Thanks again, Inspector Didham. (BREAK – 3:00 p.m.) (RESUME – 3:07 p.m.) CHAIR: Q. So, over to you, Mr. Feltham. Introduce your presenter. Welcome Justice Wells. MR. FELTHAM: Q. Thank you, Chair, Commissioners. Our next presenter is Justice Robert Wells. Justice	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	called the Department of the Attorney General and there wasn't a minister of justice, there was an attorney general and people at my level were called legal assistants to the attorney general. So, I did a lot of criminal work in the four years that I was there and then I decided to go into private practice myself and give that a try. I had no clients or prospective clients. Of course, I had not gone to law school with any of my contemporaries. And anyway, so I went into practice and the late Justice Lloyd Soper knew that I was going to do so and he said "can I come with you?" He was ten years senior to me. And I said "well, yes". So, it started off with Soper and Wells, then became Soper, Greene and Wells – no, Wells
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Thank you. CHAIR: Q. That was very interesting. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Good. Thank you. CHAIR: Q. I'm going to suggest maybe we take a quick break, five minutes at the most. Thanks again, Inspector Didham. (BREAK – 3:00 p.m.) (RESUME – 3:07 p.m.) CHAIR: Q. So, over to you, Mr. Feltham. Introduce your presenter. Welcome Justice Wells. MR. FELTHAM: Q. Thank you, Chair, Commissioners. Our next presenter is Justice Robert Wells. Justice Wells did provide a brief outline, I'll say, of what he intends to speak on today, at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	called the Department of the Attorney General and there wasn't a minister of justice, there was an attorney general and people at my level were called legal assistants to the attorney general. So, I did a lot of criminal work in the four years that I was there and then I decided to go into private practice myself and give that a try. I had no clients or prospective clients. Of course, I had not gone to law school with any of my contemporaries. And anyway, so I went into practice and the late Justice Lloyd Soper knew that I was going to do so and he said "can I come with you?" He was ten years senior to me. And I said "well, yes". So, it started off with Soper and Wells, then became Soper, Greene and Wells – no, Wells and Greene, and then ultimately it became
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Thank you. CHAIR: Q. That was very interesting. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Good. Thank you. CHAIR: Q. I'm going to suggest maybe we take a quick break, five minutes at the most. Thanks again, Inspector Didham. (BREAK – 3:00 p.m.) (RESUME – 3:07 p.m.) CHAIR: Q. So, over to you, Mr. Feltham. Introduce your presenter. Welcome Justice Wells. MR. FELTHAM: Q. Thank you, Chair, Commissioners. Our next presenter is Justice Robert Wells. Justice Wells did provide a brief outline, I'll say, of what he intends to speak on today, at least in part. Justice Wells, thank you for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	called the Department of the Attorney General and there wasn't a minister of justice, there was an attorney general and people at my level were called legal assistants to the attorney general. So, I did a lot of criminal work in the four years that I was there and then I decided to go into private practice myself and give that a try. I had no clients or prospective clients. Of course, I had not gone to law school with any of my contemporaries. And anyway, so I went into practice and the late Justice Lloyd Soper knew that I was going to do so and he said "can I come with you?" He was ten years senior to me. And I said "well, yes". So, it started off with Soper and Wells, then became Soper, Greene and Wells – no, Wells and Greene, and then ultimately it became Wells O'Dea Halley and the O'Dea Halley – or the O'Dea name is still on the firm, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Thank you. CHAIR: Q. That was very interesting. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Good. Thank you. CHAIR: Q. I'm going to suggest maybe we take a quick break, five minutes at the most. Thanks again, Inspector Didham. (BREAK – 3:00 p.m.) (RESUME – 3:07 p.m.) CHAIR: Q. So, over to you, Mr. Feltham. Introduce your presenter. Welcome Justice Wells. MR. FELTHAM: Q. Thank you, Chair, Commissioners. Our next presenter is Justice Robert Wells. Justice Wells did provide a brief outline, I'll say, of what he intends to speak on today, at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	called the Department of the Attorney General and there wasn't a minister of justice, there was an attorney general and people at my level were called legal assistants to the attorney general. So, I did a lot of criminal work in the four years that I was there and then I decided to go into private practice myself and give that a try. I had no clients or prospective clients. Of course, I had not gone to law school with any of my contemporaries. And anyway, so I went into practice and the late Justice Lloyd Soper knew that I was going to do so and he said "can I come with you?" He was ten years senior to me. And I said "well, yes". So, it started off with Soper and Wells, then became Soper, Greene and Wells – no, Wells and Greene, and then ultimately it became Wells O'Dea Halley and the O'Dea Halley – or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Thank you. CHAIR: Q. That was very interesting. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Good. Thank you. CHAIR: Q. I'm going to suggest maybe we take a quick break, five minutes at the most. Thanks again, Inspector Didham. (BREAK – 3:00 p.m.) (RESUME – 3:07 p.m.) CHAIR: Q. So, over to you, Mr. Feltham. Introduce your presenter. Welcome Justice Wells. MR. FELTHAM: Q. Thank you, Chair, Commissioners. Our next presenter is Justice Robert Wells. Justice Wells did provide a brief outline, I'll say, of what he intends to speak on today, at least in part. Justice Wells, thank you for coming and sharing your perspective with us. Let me know if you can't hear me or if you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	called the Department of the Attorney General and there wasn't a minister of justice, there was an attorney general and people at my level were called legal assistants to the attorney general. So, I did a lot of criminal work in the four years that I was there and then I decided to go into private practice myself and give that a try. I had no clients or prospective clients. Of course, I had not gone to law school with any of my contemporaries. And anyway, so I went into practice and the late Justice Lloyd Soper knew that I was going to do so and he said "can I come with you?" He was ten years senior to me. And I said "well, yes". So, it started off with Soper and Wells, then became Soper, Greene and Wells – no, Wells and Greene, and then ultimately it became Wells O'Dea Halley and the O'Dea Halley – or the O'Dea name is still on the firm, and that all happened in the '60s. So, in the '60s, after leaving the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Thank you. CHAIR: Q. That was very interesting. INSPECTOR DIDHAM: A. Good. Thank you. CHAIR: Q. I'm going to suggest maybe we take a quick break, five minutes at the most. Thanks again, Inspector Didham. (BREAK – 3:00 p.m.) (RESUME – 3:07 p.m.) CHAIR: Q. So, over to you, Mr. Feltham. Introduce your presenter. Welcome Justice Wells. MR. FELTHAM: Q. Thank you, Chair, Commissioners. Our next presenter is Justice Robert Wells. Justice Wells did provide a brief outline, I'll say, of what he intends to speak on today, at least in part. Justice Wells, thank you for coming and sharing your perspective with us.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	called the Department of the Attorney General and there wasn't a minister of justice, there was an attorney general and people at my level were called legal assistants to the attorney general. So, I did a lot of criminal work in the four years that I was there and then I decided to go into private practice myself and give that a try. I had no clients or prospective clients. Of course, I had not gone to law school with any of my contemporaries. And anyway, so I went into practice and the late Justice Lloyd Soper knew that I was going to do so and he said "can I come with you?" He was ten years senior to me. And I said "well, yes". So, it started off with Soper and Wells, then became Soper, Greene and Wells – no, Wells and Greene, and then ultimately it became Wells O'Dea Halley and the O'Dea Halley – or the O'Dea name is still on the firm, and that all happened in the '60s.

Page 101 Page 103 the '70s, I was doing almost entirely civil 1 Supreme Court Justice, I gather in that role 1 2 work, civil litigation, and in these days, 2 you would have had opportunity to interact 3 3 in your role as a judge with injury you had to do everything if you were claimants, those injured in automobile 4 practising law. So, you did conveyance and 4 5 you did estate work. You did whatever you 5 accidents who are involved in dispute with 6 could do. The one thing that I never 6 the insurers? 7 7 touched was tax and I don't know any more JUSTICE WELLS: 8 8 about it now than I knew about it then and I A. Yes, I can explain that to you. When I went 9 9 would not act for anybody on a taxation to the Bench first, there were no judicial 10 matter. But civil litigation was really 10 involvement in settling matters, you know, no settlement conferences. And after I was 11 what I did. 11 12 And in other respects, I became active 12 a judge for several years, we had a visit – 13 in Canadian Bar and ultimately became 13 because judges visit other jurisdictions – 14 president nationally of the Canadian Bar and 14 we had a visit from a judge named Teddy Miller in the Queen's Bench, similar court, 15 that was an interesting year and I learnt a 15 lot about the profession at that time and of in Alberta, and he explained how they were 16 16 course. I became a Bench of the Law Society doing settlement conferences. And we sort 17 17 18 before that and ultimately president of the 18 of looked at each other and wondered if that 19 Law Society before the yearly presidencies 19 was what we were appointed to do. But, I 20 were legislated, so I was president of the had done a tremendous amount of civil 20 21 Law Society for about five and a half years. 21 litigation which involved personal injury 22 And then after Canadian Bar, I practised for 22 work before that, so I got interested in a very short time before going to the Bench. that and was asked by the Chief Justice at 23 23 24 MR. FELTHAM: 24 the time if I would draft up some rules, 25 And in what year would that have been? 25 which I did, and we began taking settlement O. Page 104 Page 102 1 JUSTICE WELLS: 1 conferences. And they turned out to be 2 2 very, very successful and I did a lot of A. Um? 3 MR. FELTHAM: 3 them and recruited other judges to do them 4 In what year did you go to the Bench? 4 also. So that as a judge -- you know, as a O. 5 5 JUSTICE WELLS: practising lawyer I negotiated with other 6 I went to the Bench in 1986 and I was there 6 lawyers, particularly say lawyers in the Α. 7 for 22 years until I became 75 and then I 7 firms that acted for insurance companies and 8 retired and that was somewhat more than ten 8 I did more than hundreds perhaps of these 9 9 over the years. But then as a judge, I years ago. 10 MR. FELTHAM: 10 began to do them on a fairly regular basis. Now I still kept up my end, you know, 11 And you say retired, but I guess that's only 11 retired from the judicial work. 12 in actual litigation, but I did less 12 JUSTICE WELLS: criminal work and more civil work and more 13 13 14 I only retired from the Bench. After about 14 settlement conferences. The way I worked A. that was I would do three a week because I 15 eight or nine years working in let's say 15 16 supposed retirement but doing things like 16 found in settlement conferences, you had to the Helicopter and Safety Inquiry, be prepared. If you weren't prepared, you 17 17 18 mediations and arbitrations and other 18 were almost useless. So, I would prepare on 19 consultations, other sort of work, as I got 19 a Monday or prepare on a Monday for Tuesday. into my 80s, I began to think about 20 20 Wednesday I'd prepare. Thursday I'd prepare 21 retirement and my wife was in favour of that 21 and the next week I would do two, preparing 22 also, and anyway, within the past year, I've 22 actually on Sunday for Monday and working made the decision and I am now retired. 23 23 through the week that way. 24 MR. FELTHAM: 24 (3:15 p.m.) And we, as a Court had a very, very 25 So, going back just to your work as a 25

	nber 27, 2018		201 / Automobile Insurance Review
	Page 105		Page 107
1	high ratio of success in settlement	1	mediation because you went into it and it
2	conferences, so that it was easy to fall	2	was a process. Most settlement conference
3	behind unless you pushed really hard and	3	on personal injury matters took a day,
4	pushed meant your colleagues and yourself	4	sometimes might take two days, but usually
5	because they were there waiting for the	5	it was a day. And you went in there
6	settlement conference. And as I say, we had	6	allowing the parties to speak and
7	a high rate of success.	7	encouraging the parties to speak, as well as
8	MR. FELTHAM:	8	their counsel, because people felt that they
9	Q. And so, I gather these settlement	9	had to tell their own story and they did it
10	conferences, they're involving an insurance	10	sometimes very effectively. If you didn't
11	– in terms of injury claims.	11	give them the opportunity to speak
12	JUSTICE WELLS:	12	themselves, they could well feel that all
13	A. Yes, okay.	13	this was in the hands of lawyers and the
14	MR. FELTHAM:	14	judge and they didn't have a proper hearing.
15	Q. An insurance company on one side and you	15	But when they could explain to a judge their
16	have an injured accident victim on the other	16	side of things, that was very important, and
17	side.	17	usually these things took a morning and then
18	JUSTICE WELLS:	18	began discussion after the break on the
19	A. Plaintiff, yes.	19	parameters of the case.
20	MR. FELTHAM:	20	The thing that I learnt – you learn
21		21	things the hard way. The thing that I
22	Q. A plaintiff and the - JUSTICE WELLS:	22	• •
		23	learnt was that you couldn't say as a judge "this is worth" or such and such a matter is
23	A. Yes, you couldn't – you had to – before you		
24	could have a settlement conference, you had	24	worth 7,000; 70,000 whatever. You could
25	to have a standing before the Court, namely	25	only say that once and then you were done.
	Page 106		Page 108
1 4		4	
1	you had to be a plaintiff and there had to	1	So, what I used to search for was a range
2	be a – there was almost invariably a lawyer	2	and where did I get the range to suggest?
2 3	be a – there was almost invariably a lawyer on the other side who was a lawyer for the	2 3	and where did I get the range to suggest? From previous judicial judgments, and we had
2 3 4	be a – there was almost invariably a lawyer on the other side who was a lawyer for the insurance company involved.	2 3 4	and where did I get the range to suggest? From previous judicial judgments, and we had the judgments of Newfoundland; we had the
2 3 4 5	be a – there was almost invariably a lawyer on the other side who was a lawyer for the insurance company involved. MR. FELTHAM:	2 3 4 5	and where did I get the range to suggest? From previous judicial judgments, and we had the judgments of Newfoundland; we had the judgments of the Court of which I was a
2 3 4 5 6	be a – there was almost invariably a lawyer on the other side who was a lawyer for the insurance company involved. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And the idea being that the settlement	2 3 4 5 6	and where did I get the range to suggest? From previous judicial judgments, and we had the judgments of Newfoundland; we had the judgments of the Court of which I was a member; we had judgments of the Court of
2 3 4 5 6 7	be a – there was almost invariably a lawyer on the other side who was a lawyer for the insurance company involved. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And the idea being that the settlement conference would help the parties reach a	2 3 4 5 6 7	and where did I get the range to suggest? From previous judicial judgments, and we had the judgments of Newfoundland; we had the judgments of the Court of which I was a member; we had judgments of the Court of Appeal and we could refer to other judgments
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	be a – there was almost invariably a lawyer on the other side who was a lawyer for the insurance company involved. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And the idea being that the settlement conference would help the parties reach a settlement without having to go to Court,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	and where did I get the range to suggest? From previous judicial judgments, and we had the judgments of Newfoundland; we had the judgments of the Court of which I was a member; we had judgments of the Court of Appeal and we could refer to other judgments and ultimately to the Supreme Court of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	be a – there was almost invariably a lawyer on the other side who was a lawyer for the insurance company involved. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And the idea being that the settlement conference would help the parties reach a settlement without having to go to Court, trial?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	and where did I get the range to suggest? From previous judicial judgments, and we had the judgments of Newfoundland; we had the judgments of the Court of which I was a member; we had judgments of the Court of Appeal and we could refer to other judgments and ultimately to the Supreme Court of Canada and the Supreme Court of Canada, of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	be a – there was almost invariably a lawyer on the other side who was a lawyer for the insurance company involved. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And the idea being that the settlement conference would help the parties reach a settlement without having to go to Court,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	and where did I get the range to suggest? From previous judicial judgments, and we had the judgments of Newfoundland; we had the judgments of the Court of which I was a member; we had judgments of the Court of Appeal and we could refer to other judgments and ultimately to the Supreme Court of Canada and the Supreme Court of Canada, of course, was binding on everybody.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	be a – there was almost invariably a lawyer on the other side who was a lawyer for the insurance company involved. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And the idea being that the settlement conference would help the parties reach a settlement without having to go to Court, trial? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Without having to go to trial.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	and where did I get the range to suggest? From previous judicial judgments, and we had the judgments of Newfoundland; we had the judgments of the Court of which I was a member; we had judgments of the Court of Appeal and we could refer to other judgments and ultimately to the Supreme Court of Canada and the Supreme Court of Canada, of course, was binding on everybody. But most cases are distinguishable, not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	be a – there was almost invariably a lawyer on the other side who was a lawyer for the insurance company involved. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And the idea being that the settlement conference would help the parties reach a settlement without having to go to Court, trial? JUSTICE WELLS:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	and where did I get the range to suggest? From previous judicial judgments, and we had the judgments of Newfoundland; we had the judgments of the Court of which I was a member; we had judgments of the Court of Appeal and we could refer to other judgments and ultimately to the Supreme Court of Canada and the Supreme Court of Canada, of course, was binding on everybody.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	be a – there was almost invariably a lawyer on the other side who was a lawyer for the insurance company involved. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And the idea being that the settlement conference would help the parties reach a settlement without having to go to Court, trial? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Without having to go to trial.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	and where did I get the range to suggest? From previous judicial judgments, and we had the judgments of Newfoundland; we had the judgments of the Court of which I was a member; we had judgments of the Court of Appeal and we could refer to other judgments and ultimately to the Supreme Court of Canada and the Supreme Court of Canada, of course, was binding on everybody. But most cases are distinguishable, not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	be a – there was almost invariably a lawyer on the other side who was a lawyer for the insurance company involved. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And the idea being that the settlement conference would help the parties reach a settlement without having to go to Court, trial? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Without having to go to trial. MR. FELTHAM:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	and where did I get the range to suggest? From previous judicial judgments, and we had the judgments of Newfoundland; we had the judgments of the Court of which I was a member; we had judgments of the Court of Appeal and we could refer to other judgments and ultimately to the Supreme Court of Canada and the Supreme Court of Canada, of course, was binding on everybody. But most cases are distinguishable, not insofar as the law is concerned, but as to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	be a – there was almost invariably a lawyer on the other side who was a lawyer for the insurance company involved. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And the idea being that the settlement conference would help the parties reach a settlement without having to go to Court, trial? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Without having to go to trial. MR. FELTHAM: Q. Right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	and where did I get the range to suggest? From previous judicial judgments, and we had the judgments of Newfoundland; we had the judgments of the Court of which I was a member; we had judgments of the Court of Appeal and we could refer to other judgments and ultimately to the Supreme Court of Canada and the Supreme Court of Canada, of course, was binding on everybody. But most cases are distinguishable, not insofar as the law is concerned, but as to the interpretation and the facts. And of course, the judicial role is interpreting the facts in the light of the law. So, you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	be a – there was almost invariably a lawyer on the other side who was a lawyer for the insurance company involved. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And the idea being that the settlement conference would help the parties reach a settlement without having to go to Court, trial? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Without having to go to trial. MR. FELTHAM: Q. Right. JUSTICE WELLS:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	and where did I get the range to suggest? From previous judicial judgments, and we had the judgments of Newfoundland; we had the judgments of the Court of which I was a member; we had judgments of the Court of Appeal and we could refer to other judgments and ultimately to the Supreme Court of Canada and the Supreme Court of Canada, of course, was binding on everybody. But most cases are distinguishable, not insofar as the law is concerned, but as to the interpretation and the facts. And of course, the judicial role is interpreting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	be a – there was almost invariably a lawyer on the other side who was a lawyer for the insurance company involved. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And the idea being that the settlement conference would help the parties reach a settlement without having to go to Court, trial? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Without having to go to trial. MR. FELTHAM: Q. Right. JUSTICE WELLS: A. You had to go through a pre-trial conference	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	and where did I get the range to suggest? From previous judicial judgments, and we had the judgments of Newfoundland; we had the judgments of the Court of which I was a member; we had judgments of the Court of Appeal and we could refer to other judgments and ultimately to the Supreme Court of Canada and the Supreme Court of Canada, of course, was binding on everybody. But most cases are distinguishable, not insofar as the law is concerned, but as to the interpretation and the facts. And of course, the judicial role is interpreting the facts in the light of the law. So, you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	be a – there was almost invariably a lawyer on the other side who was a lawyer for the insurance company involved. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And the idea being that the settlement conference would help the parties reach a settlement without having to go to Court, trial? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Without having to go to trial. MR. FELTHAM: Q. Right. JUSTICE WELLS: A. You had to go through a pre-trial conference first in which that judge got a grasp of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	and where did I get the range to suggest? From previous judicial judgments, and we had the judgments of Newfoundland; we had the judgments of the Court of which I was a member; we had judgments of the Court of Appeal and we could refer to other judgments and ultimately to the Supreme Court of Canada and the Supreme Court of Canada, of course, was binding on everybody. But most cases are distinguishable, not insofar as the law is concerned, but as to the interpretation and the facts. And of course, the judicial role is interpreting the facts in the light of the law. So, you could get to the point, especially with good
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	be a – there was almost invariably a lawyer on the other side who was a lawyer for the insurance company involved. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And the idea being that the settlement conference would help the parties reach a settlement without having to go to Court, trial? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Without having to go to trial. MR. FELTHAM: Q. Right. JUSTICE WELLS: A. You had to go through a pre-trial conference first in which that judge got a grasp of what the case was all about and decided	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	and where did I get the range to suggest? From previous judicial judgments, and we had the judgments of Newfoundland; we had the judgments of the Court of which I was a member; we had judgments of the Court of Appeal and we could refer to other judgments and ultimately to the Supreme Court of Canada and the Supreme Court of Canada, of course, was binding on everybody. But most cases are distinguishable, not insofar as the law is concerned, but as to the interpretation and the facts. And of course, the judicial role is interpreting the facts in the light of the law. So, you could get to the point, especially with good counsel, where between you and they were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	be a – there was almost invariably a lawyer on the other side who was a lawyer for the insurance company involved. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And the idea being that the settlement conference would help the parties reach a settlement without having to go to Court, trial? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Without having to go to trial. MR. FELTHAM: Q. Right. JUSTICE WELLS: A. You had to go through a pre-trial conference first in which that judge got a grasp of what the case was all about and decided whether there would be appropriately a settlement conference or not. So that if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and where did I get the range to suggest? From previous judicial judgments, and we had the judgments of Newfoundland; we had the judgments of the Court of which I was a member; we had judgments of the Court of Appeal and we could refer to other judgments and ultimately to the Supreme Court of Canada and the Supreme Court of Canada, of course, was binding on everybody. But most cases are distinguishable, not insofar as the law is concerned, but as to the interpretation and the facts. And of course, the judicial role is interpreting the facts in the light of the law. So, you could get to the point, especially with good counsel, where between you and they were very knowledgeable about the law, counsel,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	be a – there was almost invariably a lawyer on the other side who was a lawyer for the insurance company involved. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And the idea being that the settlement conference would help the parties reach a settlement without having to go to Court, trial? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Without having to go to trial. MR. FELTHAM: Q. Right. JUSTICE WELLS: A. You had to go through a pre-trial conference first in which that judge got a grasp of what the case was all about and decided whether there would be appropriately a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	and where did I get the range to suggest? From previous judicial judgments, and we had the judgments of Newfoundland; we had the judgments of the Court of which I was a member; we had judgments of the Court of Appeal and we could refer to other judgments and ultimately to the Supreme Court of Canada and the Supreme Court of Canada, of course, was binding on everybody. But most cases are distinguishable, not insofar as the law is concerned, but as to the interpretation and the facts. And of course, the judicial role is interpreting the facts in the light of the law. So, you could get to the point, especially with good counsel, where between you and they were very knowledgeable about the law, counsel, because all – as everybody knows, all judicial decisions were recorded and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	be a – there was almost invariably a lawyer on the other side who was a lawyer for the insurance company involved. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And the idea being that the settlement conference would help the parties reach a settlement without having to go to Court, trial? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Without having to go to trial. MR. FELTHAM: Q. Right. JUSTICE WELLS: A. You had to go through a pre-trial conference first in which that judge got a grasp of what the case was all about and decided whether there would be appropriately a settlement conference or not. So that if that was decided in that way, then the settlement conference went on the list and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	and where did I get the range to suggest? From previous judicial judgments, and we had the judgments of Newfoundland; we had the judgments of the Court of which I was a member; we had judgments of the Court of Appeal and we could refer to other judgments and ultimately to the Supreme Court of Canada and the Supreme Court of Canada, of course, was binding on everybody. But most cases are distinguishable, not insofar as the law is concerned, but as to the interpretation and the facts. And of course, the judicial role is interpreting the facts in the light of the law. So, you could get to the point, especially with good counsel, where between you and they were very knowledgeable about the law, counsel, because all – as everybody knows, all judicial decisions were recorded and published, as they are today. In my day, of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	be a – there was almost invariably a lawyer on the other side who was a lawyer for the insurance company involved. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And the idea being that the settlement conference would help the parties reach a settlement without having to go to Court, trial? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Without having to go to trial. MR. FELTHAM: Q. Right. JUSTICE WELLS: A. You had to go through a pre-trial conference first in which that judge got a grasp of what the case was all about and decided whether there would be appropriately a settlement conference or not. So that if that was decided in that way, then the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and where did I get the range to suggest? From previous judicial judgments, and we had the judgments of Newfoundland; we had the judgments of the Court of which I was a member; we had judgments of the Court of Appeal and we could refer to other judgments and ultimately to the Supreme Court of Canada and the Supreme Court of Canada, of course, was binding on everybody. But most cases are distinguishable, not insofar as the law is concerned, but as to the interpretation and the facts. And of course, the judicial role is interpreting the facts in the light of the law. So, you could get to the point, especially with good counsel, where between you and they were very knowledgeable about the law, counsel, because all – as everybody knows, all judicial decisions were recorded and published, as they are today. In my day, of course, started was published in Law Reports
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	be a – there was almost invariably a lawyer on the other side who was a lawyer for the insurance company involved. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And the idea being that the settlement conference would help the parties reach a settlement without having to go to Court, trial? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Without having to go to trial. MR. FELTHAM: Q. Right. JUSTICE WELLS: A. You had to go through a pre-trial conference first in which that judge got a grasp of what the case was all about and decided whether there would be appropriately a settlement conference or not. So that if that was decided in that way, then the settlement conference went on the list and the list was taken in turn and we worked at them.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and where did I get the range to suggest? From previous judicial judgments, and we had the judgments of Newfoundland; we had the judgments of the Court of which I was a member; we had judgments of the Court of Appeal and we could refer to other judgments and ultimately to the Supreme Court of Canada and the Supreme Court of Canada, of course, was binding on everybody. But most cases are distinguishable, not insofar as the law is concerned, but as to the interpretation and the facts. And of course, the judicial role is interpreting the facts in the light of the law. So, you could get to the point, especially with good counsel, where between you and they were very knowledgeable about the law, counsel, because all – as everybody knows, all judicial decisions were recorded and published, as they are today. In my day, of course, started was published in Law Reports on paper. Now, of course, it's electronic.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	be a – there was almost invariably a lawyer on the other side who was a lawyer for the insurance company involved. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And the idea being that the settlement conference would help the parties reach a settlement without having to go to Court, trial? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Without having to go to trial. MR. FELTHAM: Q. Right. JUSTICE WELLS: A. You had to go through a pre-trial conference first in which that judge got a grasp of what the case was all about and decided whether there would be appropriately a settlement conference or not. So that if that was decided in that way, then the settlement conference went on the list and the list was taken in turn and we worked at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and where did I get the range to suggest? From previous judicial judgments, and we had the judgments of Newfoundland; we had the judgments of the Court of which I was a member; we had judgments of the Court of Appeal and we could refer to other judgments and ultimately to the Supreme Court of Canada and the Supreme Court of Canada, of course, was binding on everybody. But most cases are distinguishable, not insofar as the law is concerned, but as to the interpretation and the facts. And of course, the judicial role is interpreting the facts in the light of the law. So, you could get to the point, especially with good counsel, where between you and they were very knowledgeable about the law, counsel, because all – as everybody knows, all judicial decisions were recorded and published, as they are today. In my day, of course, started was published in Law Reports

Page 109 Page 111 judge, you know what they have decided in 1 a settlement, everybody won. That's how I 1 2 particular matters and you know the range 2 saw it. From me, as a judge or as a 3 3 that they put on various matters. mediator, there was a satisfaction of 4 So that in a personal injury case, if 4 getting the case settled and for the 5 you could establish with the two lawyers 5 parties, it was a great relief to have this 6 with whom you were dealing, if you could 6 - especially on the plaintiff's side, to 7 establish a range, be it high or a low 7 have the case settled, and on the 8 depending on the matter – if you could 8 insurance's side because they didn't have to 9 9 establish a range say in a personal injury settle if they didn't want to. They could 10 between say 50 and 70,000, then you'd start 10 always say no and take the court route. But the mediation route was a less expensive way 11 to really work. And when I say not just the 11 12 judge, but the lawyers with their clients, 12 and a lot of people in the insurance 13 they'd be caucusing all the time, sometimes 13 companies had a great grasp of the decisions 14 with the judge, sometimes without the judge 14 that had been made by the courts and were 15 and the other side would be caucusing. So, 15 sometimes just as able in the matter as 16 if you could work on the range and get the 16 lawyers because it was important to have the 17 range to something that was fairly 17 insurance company's representative there reasonable and within the limits of the 18 18 along with the lawyer if you were going to achieve a settlement. Sometimes that was 19 judicial decisions that had been made, then 19 not possible, but you'd give them the 20 I will think if the range is not too far 20 21 apart, a settlement is well going to grow 21 opportunity to telephone the head office or out of this. And that's where the real work 22 22 whosoever they were dealing with there to agree to a settlement. And that's how most 23 began of discussion, discussion, caucusing, 23 24 reasoning, more discussion with the other 24 of them got settled. 25 side, sometimes alone, sometimes altogether, 25 And when you got into the mediation Page 110 Page 112 1 and we had in – I don't know what other 1 business, as I did later on, the same thing 2 judges did – judges never know what other 2 really applied. It was less formal, but it 3 judges did until they read the Law Reports, 3 followed the same pattern. If you could 4 but we began to have a very high settlement 4 find a range within which the matter fell, 5 5 there was a good chance of getting it rate. 6 Now, there always was a high settlement 6 settled. If somebody wanted 100,000 and you 7 rate before settlement conferences and 7 knew as a mediator that 40,000 or 30,000 was 8 before arbitrations because people like the 8 more appropriate, you knew that the 9 Late Justice Gushue and I, who were 9 insurance company knew that as well. So, 10 contemporaries, we settled dozens and dozens 10 unless you could get a range into which both of personal injury matters. 11 11 could fall, then the real work started and a 12 But, the judicial settlement process 12 settlement was usually achieved. was a more formal process and it – after I MR. FELTHAM: 13 13 14 left the Bench, people who were doing 14 And Justice Wells -O. 15 arbitration used to say to me, "well, you JUSTICE WELLS: 15 16 know, we have fewer arbitrations and you 16 It's a long way of answering a short 17 caused that" in the settlement conferences. question, I suppose. 17 18 But anyway, that joke aside, after I left 18 MR. FELTHAM: 19 the Bench, I did a lot of work sometimes in 19 I think you're getting to the answer of what your sentence has indicated in your letter. 20 settlement – the equivalent, not only in 20 21 arbitrations but in mediations because if 21 JUSTICE WELLS: 22 you could get the same sort of result in a 22 Α Now, I'm talking -23 mediation which was not an expensive matter 23 MR. FELTHAM: 24 for the two clients, whereas litigation as 24 O. They explain the mechanisms. 25 we know is quite expensive, if you could get 25 JUSTICE WELLS:

Septer	mber 27, 2018		2017 Automobile Insurance Review
	Page 113		Page 115
1	A. I'm really answering for the Board who may	1	very competent people in action and that was
2	not be as familiar as most of the lawyers	2	the insurance company representatives as
3	here are with the settlement process.	3	well as the insurance company lawyers. So,
4	MR. FELTHAM:	4	both sides knew where the Courts had come
5	Q. And just getting back to your letter, you	5	from and therefore both sides would help in
6	speak of how judicial decisions influence	6	establishing a range.
7	out-of-court settlements in personal injury	7	Now, if something – I've known
8	claims under the system we have now.	8	individuals who thought that probably a
9	JUSTICE WELLS:	9	\$50,000 matter was worth 500,000. Well, of
10	A. Yes.	10	course, that's beyond the bail. But usually
11	MR. FELTHAM:	11	with lawyers on both sides, you can – they
12	Q. And you spoke of the range?	12	would caucus with their clients all the time
13	JUSTICE WELLS:	13	because they had to persuade their clients
14	A. Yes.	14	of what was reasonable and what a court
15	MR. FELTHAM:	15	might allow or the range in which a court
16	Q. And these ranges often relate, I gather, to	16	might work. So, there was a lot of
17	non-pecuniary general damage awards?	17	persuading, particularly of plaintiffs.
18	JUSTICE WELLS:	18	Insurance companies were more knowledgeable.
19	A. Yes. The thing that has developed is say	19	Their representatives are sometimes perhaps
20	when I was negotiating with lawyers for the	20	as knowledgeable as their lawyers because
21	insurance companies 50 years ago, you didn't	21	that's what they dealt with on a day-to-day
22	have all these breakdowns. You considered	22	basis.
23	everything rolled up in a package and agreed	23	(3:30 p.m.)
24	on a certain amount. But as the courts were	24	MR. FELTHAM:
25	hearing more of these, breakdowns occurred,	25	Q. And I gather, and perhaps you can elaborate
1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	Page 114		
1	Page 114	1	Page 116
1 2	you know. There would be, of course,	1 2	Page 116 on this, but – because it goes back to these
2	you know. There would be, of course, pecuniary loss, loss of wages, loss of say a	2	Page 116 on this, but – because it goes back to these ranges that you speak of, but in the present
2 3	you know. There would be, of course, pecuniary loss, loss of wages, loss of say a motor vehicle or whatever, hospital	2 3	Page 116 on this, but – because it goes back to these ranges that you speak of, but in the present system that we have where decisions are made
2 3 4	you know. There would be, of course, pecuniary loss, loss of wages, loss of say a motor vehicle or whatever, hospital expenses, medical expenses otherwise, and	2 3 4	Page 116 on this, but – because it goes back to these ranges that you speak of, but in the present system that we have where decisions are made as to what general damages are worth for an
2 3 4 5	you know. There would be, of course, pecuniary loss, loss of wages, loss of say a motor vehicle or whatever, hospital expenses, medical expenses otherwise, and began to sort of consider particular	2 3 4 5	Page 116 on this, but – because it goes back to these ranges that you speak of, but in the present system that we have where decisions are made as to what general damages are worth for an injury, we already have effective limits on
2 3 4	you know. There would be, of course, pecuniary loss, loss of wages, loss of say a motor vehicle or whatever, hospital expenses, medical expenses otherwise, and began to sort of consider particular expenses and add them up, and then address	2 3 4	Page 116 on this, but – because it goes back to these ranges that you speak of, but in the present system that we have where decisions are made as to what general damages are worth for an injury, we already have effective limits on what one can receive for particular
2 3 4 5 6 7	you know. There would be, of course, pecuniary loss, loss of wages, loss of say a motor vehicle or whatever, hospital expenses, medical expenses otherwise, and began to sort of consider particular expenses and add them up, and then address the pain and suffering matter or the soft	2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 116 on this, but – because it goes back to these ranges that you speak of, but in the present system that we have where decisions are made as to what general damages are worth for an injury, we already have effective limits on what one can receive for particular injuries, do we not?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	you know. There would be, of course, pecuniary loss, loss of wages, loss of say a motor vehicle or whatever, hospital expenses, medical expenses otherwise, and began to sort of consider particular expenses and add them up, and then address the pain and suffering matter or the soft tissue injury, whatever, and eventually	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 116 on this, but – because it goes back to these ranges that you speak of, but in the present system that we have where decisions are made as to what general damages are worth for an injury, we already have effective limits on what one can receive for particular injuries, do we not? JUSTICE WELLS:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	you know. There would be, of course, pecuniary loss, loss of wages, loss of say a motor vehicle or whatever, hospital expenses, medical expenses otherwise, and began to sort of consider particular expenses and add them up, and then address the pain and suffering matter or the soft tissue injury, whatever, and eventually arriving at a judgment or something that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 116 on this, but – because it goes back to these ranges that you speak of, but in the present system that we have where decisions are made as to what general damages are worth for an injury, we already have effective limits on what one can receive for particular injuries, do we not? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Well, put it this way, judgments over the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	you know. There would be, of course, pecuniary loss, loss of wages, loss of say a motor vehicle or whatever, hospital expenses, medical expenses otherwise, and began to sort of consider particular expenses and add them up, and then address the pain and suffering matter or the soft tissue injury, whatever, and eventually arriving at a judgment or something that could be within a range of a settlement	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 116 on this, but – because it goes back to these ranges that you speak of, but in the present system that we have where decisions are made as to what general damages are worth for an injury, we already have effective limits on what one can receive for particular injuries, do we not? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Well, put it this way, judgments over the years have widened – not widened, I'm sorry
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	you know. There would be, of course, pecuniary loss, loss of wages, loss of say a motor vehicle or whatever, hospital expenses, medical expenses otherwise, and began to sort of consider particular expenses and add them up, and then address the pain and suffering matter or the soft tissue injury, whatever, and eventually arriving at a judgment or something that could be within a range of a settlement conference or a mediation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 116 on this, but – because it goes back to these ranges that you speak of, but in the present system that we have where decisions are made as to what general damages are worth for an injury, we already have effective limits on what one can receive for particular injuries, do we not? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Well, put it this way, judgments over the years have widened – not widened, I'm sorry – have become more significant. Not that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	you know. There would be, of course, pecuniary loss, loss of wages, loss of say a motor vehicle or whatever, hospital expenses, medical expenses otherwise, and began to sort of consider particular expenses and add them up, and then address the pain and suffering matter or the soft tissue injury, whatever, and eventually arriving at a judgment or something that could be within a range of a settlement conference or a mediation. MR. FELTHAM:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 116 on this, but – because it goes back to these ranges that you speak of, but in the present system that we have where decisions are made as to what general damages are worth for an injury, we already have effective limits on what one can receive for particular injuries, do we not? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Well, put it this way, judgments over the years have widened – not widened, I'm sorry – have become more significant. Not that judges look at it from an inflationary point
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	you know. There would be, of course, pecuniary loss, loss of wages, loss of say a motor vehicle or whatever, hospital expenses, medical expenses otherwise, and began to sort of consider particular expenses and add them up, and then address the pain and suffering matter or the soft tissue injury, whatever, and eventually arriving at a judgment or something that could be within a range of a settlement conference or a mediation. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And in terms of those judicial decisions, I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 116 on this, but – because it goes back to these ranges that you speak of, but in the present system that we have where decisions are made as to what general damages are worth for an injury, we already have effective limits on what one can receive for particular injuries, do we not? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Well, put it this way, judgments over the years have widened – not widened, I'm sorry – have become more significant. Not that judges look at it from an inflationary point of view necessarily, but costs of all kinds
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	you know. There would be, of course, pecuniary loss, loss of wages, loss of say a motor vehicle or whatever, hospital expenses, medical expenses otherwise, and began to sort of consider particular expenses and add them up, and then address the pain and suffering matter or the soft tissue injury, whatever, and eventually arriving at a judgment or something that could be within a range of a settlement conference or a mediation. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And in terms of those judicial decisions, I gather that range, from your perspective, is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 116 on this, but – because it goes back to these ranges that you speak of, but in the present system that we have where decisions are made as to what general damages are worth for an injury, we already have effective limits on what one can receive for particular injuries, do we not? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Well, put it this way, judgments over the years have widened – not widened, I'm sorry – have become more significant. Not that judges look at it from an inflationary point of view necessarily, but costs of all kinds have increased. So, if it's the repair or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	you know. There would be, of course, pecuniary loss, loss of wages, loss of say a motor vehicle or whatever, hospital expenses, medical expenses otherwise, and began to sort of consider particular expenses and add them up, and then address the pain and suffering matter or the soft tissue injury, whatever, and eventually arriving at a judgment or something that could be within a range of a settlement conference or a mediation. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And in terms of those judicial decisions, I gather that range, from your perspective, is well known to those on the outside who are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 116 on this, but – because it goes back to these ranges that you speak of, but in the present system that we have where decisions are made as to what general damages are worth for an injury, we already have effective limits on what one can receive for particular injuries, do we not? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Well, put it this way, judgments over the years have widened – not widened, I'm sorry – have become more significant. Not that judges look at it from an inflationary point of view necessarily, but costs of all kinds have increased. So, if it's the repair or loss of a motor vehicle, if it's medical
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	you know. There would be, of course, pecuniary loss, loss of wages, loss of say a motor vehicle or whatever, hospital expenses, medical expenses otherwise, and began to sort of consider particular expenses and add them up, and then address the pain and suffering matter or the soft tissue injury, whatever, and eventually arriving at a judgment or something that could be within a range of a settlement conference or a mediation. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And in terms of those judicial decisions, I gather that range, from your perspective, is well known to those on the outside who are trying to negotiate the settlement of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 116 on this, but – because it goes back to these ranges that you speak of, but in the present system that we have where decisions are made as to what general damages are worth for an injury, we already have effective limits on what one can receive for particular injuries, do we not? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Well, put it this way, judgments over the years have widened – not widened, I'm sorry – have become more significant. Not that judges look at it from an inflationary point of view necessarily, but costs of all kinds have increased. So, if it's the repair or loss of a motor vehicle, if it's medical expenses, everything has increased. Just as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	you know. There would be, of course, pecuniary loss, loss of wages, loss of say a motor vehicle or whatever, hospital expenses, medical expenses otherwise, and began to sort of consider particular expenses and add them up, and then address the pain and suffering matter or the soft tissue injury, whatever, and eventually arriving at a judgment or something that could be within a range of a settlement conference or a mediation. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And in terms of those judicial decisions, I gather that range, from your perspective, is well known to those on the outside who are trying to negotiate the settlement of the case?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 116 on this, but – because it goes back to these ranges that you speak of, but in the present system that we have where decisions are made as to what general damages are worth for an injury, we already have effective limits on what one can receive for particular injuries, do we not? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Well, put it this way, judgments over the years have widened – not widened, I'm sorry – have become more significant. Not that judges look at it from an inflationary point of view necessarily, but costs of all kinds have increased. So, if it's the repair or loss of a motor vehicle, if it's medical expenses, everything has increased. Just as inflation, you know, applies to most things,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	you know. There would be, of course, pecuniary loss, loss of wages, loss of say a motor vehicle or whatever, hospital expenses, medical expenses otherwise, and began to sort of consider particular expenses and add them up, and then address the pain and suffering matter or the soft tissue injury, whatever, and eventually arriving at a judgment or something that could be within a range of a settlement conference or a mediation. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And in terms of those judicial decisions, I gather that range, from your perspective, is well known to those on the outside who are trying to negotiate the settlement of the case? JUSTICE WELLS:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 116 on this, but – because it goes back to these ranges that you speak of, but in the present system that we have where decisions are made as to what general damages are worth for an injury, we already have effective limits on what one can receive for particular injuries, do we not? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Well, put it this way, judgments over the years have widened – not widened, I'm sorry – have become more significant. Not that judges look at it from an inflationary point of view necessarily, but costs of all kinds have increased. So, if it's the repair or loss of a motor vehicle, if it's medical expenses, everything has increased. Just as inflation, you know, applies to most things, price of food perhaps or whatever, so it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	you know. There would be, of course, pecuniary loss, loss of wages, loss of say a motor vehicle or whatever, hospital expenses, medical expenses otherwise, and began to sort of consider particular expenses and add them up, and then address the pain and suffering matter or the soft tissue injury, whatever, and eventually arriving at a judgment or something that could be within a range of a settlement conference or a mediation. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And in terms of those judicial decisions, I gather that range, from your perspective, is well known to those on the outside who are trying to negotiate the settlement of the case? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Oh, absolutely, because lawyers for the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 116 on this, but – because it goes back to these ranges that you speak of, but in the present system that we have where decisions are made as to what general damages are worth for an injury, we already have effective limits on what one can receive for particular injuries, do we not? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Well, put it this way, judgments over the years have widened – not widened, I'm sorry – have become more significant. Not that judges look at it from an inflationary point of view necessarily, but costs of all kinds have increased. So, if it's the repair or loss of a motor vehicle, if it's medical expenses, everything has increased. Just as inflation, you know, applies to most things, price of food perhaps or whatever, so it applied over the years to judgments. So, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	you know. There would be, of course, pecuniary loss, loss of wages, loss of say a motor vehicle or whatever, hospital expenses, medical expenses otherwise, and began to sort of consider particular expenses and add them up, and then address the pain and suffering matter or the soft tissue injury, whatever, and eventually arriving at a judgment or something that could be within a range of a settlement conference or a mediation. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And in terms of those judicial decisions, I gather that range, from your perspective, is well known to those on the outside who are trying to negotiate the settlement of the case? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Oh, absolutely, because lawyers for the plaintiff, all they had to do was go to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 116 on this, but – because it goes back to these ranges that you speak of, but in the present system that we have where decisions are made as to what general damages are worth for an injury, we already have effective limits on what one can receive for particular injuries, do we not? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Well, put it this way, judgments over the years have widened – not widened, I'm sorry – have become more significant. Not that judges look at it from an inflationary point of view necessarily, but costs of all kinds have increased. So, if it's the repair or loss of a motor vehicle, if it's medical expenses, everything has increased. Just as inflation, you know, applies to most things, price of food perhaps or whatever, so it applied over the years to judgments. So, I remember, you know, 50 years ago settling
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	you know. There would be, of course, pecuniary loss, loss of wages, loss of say a motor vehicle or whatever, hospital expenses, medical expenses otherwise, and began to sort of consider particular expenses and add them up, and then address the pain and suffering matter or the soft tissue injury, whatever, and eventually arriving at a judgment or something that could be within a range of a settlement conference or a mediation. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And in terms of those judicial decisions, I gather that range, from your perspective, is well known to those on the outside who are trying to negotiate the settlement of the case? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Oh, absolutely, because lawyers for the plaintiff, all they had to do was go to the Law Reports, even if they had no experience,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 116 on this, but – because it goes back to these ranges that you speak of, but in the present system that we have where decisions are made as to what general damages are worth for an injury, we already have effective limits on what one can receive for particular injuries, do we not? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Well, put it this way, judgments over the years have widened – not widened, I'm sorry – have become more significant. Not that judges look at it from an inflationary point of view necessarily, but costs of all kinds have increased. So, if it's the repair or loss of a motor vehicle, if it's medical expenses, everything has increased. Just as inflation, you know, applies to most things, price of food perhaps or whatever, so it applied over the years to judgments. So, I remember, you know, 50 years ago settling matters like a quadriplegic for probably
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	you know. There would be, of course, pecuniary loss, loss of wages, loss of say a motor vehicle or whatever, hospital expenses, medical expenses otherwise, and began to sort of consider particular expenses and add them up, and then address the pain and suffering matter or the soft tissue injury, whatever, and eventually arriving at a judgment or something that could be within a range of a settlement conference or a mediation. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And in terms of those judicial decisions, I gather that range, from your perspective, is well known to those on the outside who are trying to negotiate the settlement of the case? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Oh, absolutely, because lawyers for the plaintiff, all they had to do was go to the Law Reports, even if they had no experience, but experience helped and experience showed,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 116 on this, but – because it goes back to these ranges that you speak of, but in the present system that we have where decisions are made as to what general damages are worth for an injury, we already have effective limits on what one can receive for particular injuries, do we not? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Well, put it this way, judgments over the years have widened – not widened, I'm sorry – have become more significant. Not that judges look at it from an inflationary point of view necessarily, but costs of all kinds have increased. So, if it's the repair or loss of a motor vehicle, if it's medical expenses, everything has increased. Just as inflation, you know, applies to most things, price of food perhaps or whatever, so it applied over the years to judgments. So, I remember, you know, 50 years ago settling matters like a quadriplegic for probably \$100,000 whereas today that would be a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	you know. There would be, of course, pecuniary loss, loss of wages, loss of say a motor vehicle or whatever, hospital expenses, medical expenses otherwise, and began to sort of consider particular expenses and add them up, and then address the pain and suffering matter or the soft tissue injury, whatever, and eventually arriving at a judgment or something that could be within a range of a settlement conference or a mediation. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And in terms of those judicial decisions, I gather that range, from your perspective, is well known to those on the outside who are trying to negotiate the settlement of the case? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Oh, absolutely, because lawyers for the plaintiff, all they had to do was go to the Law Reports, even if they had no experience, but experience helped and experience showed, but still, the judicial decisions were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Page 116 on this, but – because it goes back to these ranges that you speak of, but in the present system that we have where decisions are made as to what general damages are worth for an injury, we already have effective limits on what one can receive for particular injuries, do we not? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Well, put it this way, judgments over the years have widened – not widened, I'm sorry – have become more significant. Not that judges look at it from an inflationary point of view necessarily, but costs of all kinds have increased. So, if it's the repair or loss of a motor vehicle, if it's medical expenses, everything has increased. Just as inflation, you know, applies to most things, price of food perhaps or whatever, so it applied over the years to judgments. So, I remember, you know, 50 years ago settling matters like a quadriplegic for probably \$100,000 whereas today that would be a vastly greater amount because the ranges
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	you know. There would be, of course, pecuniary loss, loss of wages, loss of say a motor vehicle or whatever, hospital expenses, medical expenses otherwise, and began to sort of consider particular expenses and add them up, and then address the pain and suffering matter or the soft tissue injury, whatever, and eventually arriving at a judgment or something that could be within a range of a settlement conference or a mediation. MR. FELTHAM: Q. And in terms of those judicial decisions, I gather that range, from your perspective, is well known to those on the outside who are trying to negotiate the settlement of the case? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Oh, absolutely, because lawyers for the plaintiff, all they had to do was go to the Law Reports, even if they had no experience, but experience helped and experience showed,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 116 on this, but – because it goes back to these ranges that you speak of, but in the present system that we have where decisions are made as to what general damages are worth for an injury, we already have effective limits on what one can receive for particular injuries, do we not? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Well, put it this way, judgments over the years have widened – not widened, I'm sorry – have become more significant. Not that judges look at it from an inflationary point of view necessarily, but costs of all kinds have increased. So, if it's the repair or loss of a motor vehicle, if it's medical expenses, everything has increased. Just as inflation, you know, applies to most things, price of food perhaps or whatever, so it applied over the years to judgments. So, I remember, you know, 50 years ago settling matters like a quadriplegic for probably \$100,000 whereas today that would be a

MR. FELTHAM: Q. And in terms of though if someone has a 3 broken leg or as an injury as a result of an a broken leg or as an injury as a result of an a broken leg or as an injury as a result of an a broken leg or as an injury as a result of an a broken leg or as an injury as a result of an a broken leg or as an injury as a result of an a broken leg or as an injury as a result of an a broken leg or as an injury as a result of an a broken leg or as an injury as a result of an a broken leg or as an injury as a result of an a broken leg or as an injury as a result of an are legal of the first instance, and then of course specialists, but you hear aft hen of course specialists, but you hear of the nor course specialists, but you hear aft then of course specialists, but you hear a	Septe	110et 27, 2018		2017 Automobile insurance Review
2 Q. And in terms of though if someone has a broken leg or as an injury as a result of an accident or they have an injured neck, the Courts have effectively prescribed ranges for what those kinds of injuries are worth? 7 JUSTICE WELLS: 8 A. I would say there are – yes, they have made judgments, not necessarily prescribed. They have made judgments which show ranges. Now have for what those kinds in the people can recover fairly quickly from a mild whiplash. Some people never recover. You see you can't settle too early if you're to wo years limitation period when you must is size a statement of claim. But you have to wait for your client to get better or worse or to see what happens. And I would say when I was a practising lawyer to the lawyer on the other side, book, I'm issuing a statement of claim. I'm syn have to amend it later. 10 nothing like that. But we have to wait to see how my client gets on. And lawyers a still have to do that because even in a settlement conference in the Court, you as expensive procedure, I was a practising lawyer to the lawyer on the one of the court, you have to work out. 11 anothing like that. But we have to wait to see how my client gets on. And lawyers a still have to do that because even in a settlement conference in volving personal injury within two or three months of an a cacident, even if they could hear it, because you have to know how this is going to work out. 12 And as I sa		Page 117		Page 119
boken leg or as an injury as a result of an accident or they have an injured neck, the Courts have effectively prescribed ranges for what those kinds of injuries are worth? JUSTICE WIELLS: A. I would say there are —yes, they have made judgments, not necessarily prescribed. They put have made judgments which show ranges. Now that's one of the very difficult roles of a popple can recover fairly quickly from a mild whiplash. Some people never recover. You see you can't settle too early if you're acting as a plaintiff's counsel. You have to years limitation period when you must issue a statement of claim. But you have to wait 10 see what happens. And I would say when I was a practising lawyer to he lawyer on the other side, look, I'm issuing a statement of claim. I may have to amend it later. There's no rush in your filing a defence, There's no rush in your filing a defence	1			
accident or they have an injured neck, the Courts have effectively prescribed ranges for what those kinds of injuries are worth? JUSTICE WELLS: A. I would say there are – yes, they have made judgments, not necessarily prescribed. They have made judgments which show ranges. Now that they need judgments which show ranges. Now that they need judgments which show ranges. Now they need judgments which show ranges. Now they made judgments which show ranges. Now they difficult roles of a judge because let's take the whiplash. Some people can recover fairly quickly from a mild whiplash. Some people never recover. You see you can't settle too early if you're a diam as a plaintiff's counsel. You have to wait to wo years limitation period when you must issue a statement of claim. But you have to wait to see what happens. And I would say when I was a practising lawyer to the lawyer on the other side, look, I'm issuing a statement of 22 claim. I may have to amend it later. There's no rush in your filing a defence, There's no rush in your filing a defence, Page 118 nothing like that. But we have to wait to see how my client gets on. And lawyers still have to do that because even in a settlement conference in the Court, you can't have a — well, you shouldn't — and I do don't think the Court would allow a settlement conference involving personal injury within two or three months of an accident, even if they could hear it, one of the work out. And as I say, some people may suffer soft tissue injuries and get over it fairly quickly. Some people may suffer soft itssue injuries and get over it fairly quickly. Some people may suffer soft itssue injuries and get over it fairly quickly. Some people may suffer soft itssue injuries and get over it fairly appeal on the court of the form of the mouth of the suffer is s				*
Courts have effectively prescribed ranges for what those kinds of injuries are worth? IJUSTICE WELLS: A. I would say there are – yes, they have made judgments, not necessarily prescribed. They have made judgments which show ranges. Now that's one of the very difficult roles of a judge because let's take the whiplash. Some people never recover. It is people can recover fairly quickly from a mid whiplash. Some people never recover. It is wo years limitation period when you must sissue a statement of caliem. But you have to wait to year statement of caliem. But you have to wait of your client to get better or worse or to see what happens. And I would say when I was a practising lawyer to the lawyer on the 23 other side, look, I'm issuing a statement of caliem. They have to ament it later. There's no rush in your filing a defence, Page 118 nonthing like that. But we have to wait to see how my client gets on. And lawyers still have to do that because even in a settlement conference in the Court, you a secident, even if they could hear it, obscause you have to know how this is going to work out. And as I say, some people may suffer soft issue injuries and get over it fairly quickly. Some people may suffer soft issue injuries which may nag them for a long time or even for life. So, the advantage of the Court process is that it looks at every will be great wyer. Pesides the lawyers, you will get expert evidence from doctors and they will be from the insurance company's doctors at the reinsurance company's doctors. the not of course specialists, a wild as non-specialists, I suppose. There's no limit on the amount of relevant evidence and there's no limit on the amount of relevant evidence and there's no limit on the amount of relevant evidence and there's no limit on the amount of relevant evidence that you can necrate and in limit on the amount of relevant evidence that you can necrate and in limit on the amount of relevant evidence that you can necrate a better a great and no limit on the amount of relevant evide	1			
6 for what those kinds of injuries are worth? 7 JUSTICE WELLS: 8 A. I would say there are – yes, they have made judgments, not necessarily prescribed. They have made judgments, not necessarily prescribed. They have made judgments which show ranges. Now 10 that's one of the very difficult roles of a judge because let's take the whiplash. Some people can recover fairly quickly from a mild whiplash. Some people ear recover fairly quickly from a mild whiplash. Some people ear recover fairly quickly from a mild whiplash. Some people ear recover fairly quickly from a mild whiplash. Some people ear recover fairly quickly from a mild whiplash. Some people ear recover fairly quickly from a mild whiplash. Some people ear recover fairly quickly from a mild whiplash. Some people ear recover fairly quickly from a mild whiplash. Some people may suffer soft tissue injuries which may nag them for a long time or even for life. So, the advantage of the recover of the seature when you're a judge, you will get awyert - besides the lawyers, you will get expert evidence from doctors and they will be from the insurance companies who seem to have their specialists, I suppose. There's no limit on thee, so you hear all ther's politic on the amount of relevant regument that you can receive. 8 you be a popela ear ecover in 13 the amount of relevant regument that you can receive. 9 yes learn and no limit on there's no limit on the amount of relevant regument that you can receive. 8 So, I don't see how any matter, per sonal injury or anything else, could get a better – get better treatment than is received by the Court. Now, a Court makes a decision, not everybody see other may be appeals from either side, but at least the treatment has access to everything that took place down the line. 21 see what happens. And I would say when I sissue even in a statement of claim. But you have to wait to see how my client gets on. And lawyers and the Supreme Court of Canada, if it goes all the way up, has access to everything that took place down the		3		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
7 JUSTICE WELLS: 8 A. I would say there are – yes, they have made 9 judgments, not necessarily prescribed. They 10 have made judgments which show ranges. Now 11 that's one of the very difficult roles of a 12 judge because let's take the whiplash. Some 13 people can recover fairly quickly from a 14 mild whiplash. Some people nearly stelle too early if you're 15 You see you can't settle too early if you're 16 acting as a plaintiff's counsel. You have 17 two years limitation period when you must 18 issue – within which you must issue a 18 issue – within which you must issue a 19 statement of claim. But you have to wait 19 statement of claim. But you have to wait 20 for your client to get better or worse or to 21 see what happens. And I would say when 1 22 was a practising lawyer to the lawyer on the 23 other side, look, I'm issuing a statement of 24 claim. I may have to amend it later. 25 There's no limit on there, so you hear all this evidence and there's no limit on the amount of relevant and trey on the armount of relevant and promition there, so you can hear and no limit on the amount of relevant and promition there, so you can hear and no limit on the amount of relevant and promition there, so you can hear and no limit on the amount of relevant and promition there in the court can hear and no limit on the amount of relevant and promition there, so you can hear and no limit on the amount of relevant and promition there, so you dear all this evidence and there's no limit on the amount of relevant and not limit on the amount of relevant and promition there, so you can hear and no limit on the amount of relevant and no limit on the amount of relevant and not promition there are observed. 5 C, I don't see how, a Court makes a decision, not everybody agrees so there may be appeals from either side, but at least the treatment has been given and the Court of Appeal has access to that treatment and the Supreme Court of Canada, the issue of Statement onference in the Court, you anything else, could get a better – get	5			
8 A. I would say there are – yes, they have made judgments, not necessarily prescribed. They 10 have made judgments which show ranges. Now 11 that's one of the very difficult roles of a judge because let's take the whiphash. Some people can recover fairly quickly from a mild whiphash. Some people can recover fairly quickly from a mild whiphash. Some people never recover. 14 you see you can't settle too early if you're 15 acting as a plaintiff's counsel. You have 16 acting as a plaintiff's counsel. You have 17 you see you can't settle too early if you're 18 is sue – within which you must issue a 18 is sue – within which you must issue a 19 statement of claim. But you have to wait 19 for your client to get better or worse or to 20 see what happens. And I would say when 1 was a practising lawyer to the lawyer on the older side, look, I'm issuing a statement of 22 other side, look, I'm issuing a statement of 22 other side, look, I'm issuing a statement of 22 other side, look, I'm issuing a statement of 23 other side, look, I'm issuing a statement of 24 claim. I may have to amend it later. 25 There's no rush in your filing a defence, 25 There's no rush in your filing a defence, 26 may settlement conference in the Court, you 26 can't have a – well, you shouldn't – and 1 don't think the Court would allow a 27 settlement conference in the Court, you 28 ettlement conference involving personal injury within two or three months of an 29 accident, even if they could hear it, 29 quickly. Some people may suffer soft tissue injuries which may nag them for a long time of the very wise to the Court you get lawyers and it's the legal costs that comprise the Court of the Court process is that it looks at every wise. So, you know, you get lawyers and it's the legal costs that comprise the Court of things. What the way up happen suffer is such that the latigants, but of course, they have to pay everybody. You while 29 get awyer – besides the lawyers, you will 20 get expert evidence from doctors and they will be from the insurance c	6			
9	7	JUSTICE WELLS:		insurance companies who seem to have their
have made judgments which show ranges. Now that's one of the very difficult roles of a liquidge because let's take the whiplash. Some people can recover fairly quickly from a mild whiplash. Some people can recover fairly quickly from a mild whiplash. Some people can recover fairly quickly from a mild whiplash. Some people can recover fairly quickly from a mild whiplash. Some people can recover fairly quickly from a mild whiplash. Some people may suffer soft tissue injuries which may nag them for a long the form doctors an thear all this evidence and there's no limit on the amount of relevant evidence from doctors and they on the amount of relevant evidence from doctors and they on the amount of relevant evidence from doctors and hear all this evidence and there's no limit on the amount of relevant evid not not he amount of relevant evid not not he amount of relevant evid not not ha mount of relevant evid not not hamount of relevant evid not not ha mount of relevant evid not not hamount of relevant evand no limit on the amount of relevant and no limit on the menunt of an abetier and no limit on the amount of relevant and no limit on the amount of relevant and no limit on the menunt of an abetier and no limit on the amount of relevant and no limit or relevant argument that you can heaving not have a better readent than is received by the Court. Now, a Court makes a decision, not everybody agrees so there may be appeals from either side, but a better reget better treatment than is received by the Court. Now, a court	8	A. I would say there are – yes, they have made	8	specialists as well as non-specialists, I
that's one of the very difficult roles of a judge because let's take the whiplash. Some people can recover fairly quickly from a mild whiplash. Some people never recover. It was a plaintiff's counsel. You have to acting as a plaintiff's counsel. You have to wait sissue – within which you must issue a statement of claim. But you have to wait so for your client to get better or worse or to see what happens. And I would say when I see what happens. And I would say when I see what happens. And I would say when I see what happens. And I would say when I see how my client gets on. And lawyers a still have to do that because even in a settlement conference in the Court, you settlement conference in the Court, you a settlement conference in the Court, you and the set you have to know how this is going to work out. And as I say, some people may suffer soft tissue injuries which may nag them for a long time or even for life. So, the advantage of the court fairly quickly. Some people may suffer soft tissue injuries which may nag them for a long time in the court, you will get lawyer – besides the lawyers, you will get expert evidence from doctors and they will be from the insurance company's docto	9	judgments, not necessarily prescribed. They		suppose. There's no limit on there, so you
12	10	have made judgments which show ranges. Now	10	hear all this evidence and there's no limit
relevant argument that you can receive. So, I don't see how any matter, You see you can't settlet be early if you're acting as a plaintiff's counsel. You have two years limitation period when you must issue — within which you must issue a sisue — within which you must issue in greevant argument that you can receive. So, I don't see how any mything else, could get a better — get better treatment than is received by the Court. Now, a Court makes a decision, not everybody agrees so there may be appeals from either side, but at least the treatment has been given and the Court of Appeal has access to everything that took place down the line. So, it's an expensive procedure, I Page 118 nothing like that. But we have to wait to see how my client gets on. And lawyers still have to do that because even in a settlement conference in the Court, you see how my client gets on. And lawyers still have to do that because even in a settlement conference in the Court, you see how my client gets on. And lawyers still have to do that because even in a settlement conference in the Court, you set have a lawyer, but a least took place down the line. So, it's an expensive procedure, I Supreme Court of Canada, the issue of Statements of Claim, things like that, but to the Courts are	11	that's one of the very difficult roles of a	11	on the amount of relevant evidence that you
mild whiplash. Some people never recover. You see you can't settle too early if you're two years limitation period when you must issue — within which you must issue a statement of claim. But you have to wait for your client to get better or worse or to see what happens. And I would say when I see what happens. And I would say when I see what happens. And I would say when I claim. I may have to amend it later. There's no rush in your filing a defence, There's no rush in your filing a defence, see how my client gets on. And lawyers still have to do that because even in a stetlement conference in the Court, you settlement conference in the court you settlement conference in the court, you settlement conference in the court you set who very often come from dost and the litigants and would-be litigants, but of course, they have to pay everybody. You know, going that route would be very wise to have a lawyer. Some people try to go, some plaintiffly, on their own but that's not very wise. So, you know, you get lawyers and it's the legal costs that comprise the Court costs for the most part and the winning party gets costs against the losing party. And t	12	judge because let's take the whiplash. Some	12	can hear and no limit on the amount of
15 You see you can't settle too early if you're acting as a plaintiff's counsel. You have to yoe ars limitation period when you must issue – within which you must issue a statement of claim. But you have to wait 19 statement of Claim. But you have to wait 19 see what happens. And I would say when I 21 see what happens. And I would say when I 22 was a practising lawyer to the lawyer on the 23 other side, look, I'm issuing a statement of 24 claim. I may have to amend it later. 25 There's no rush in your filing a defence, 25 There's no rush in your filing a defence, 25 There's no rush in your filing a defence, 26 There's no rush in your filing a defence, 27 So, it's an expensive procedure, I 28 grant you that. Not that the Court costs any money or the Court of Appeal or the Supreme Court of Appeal or t	13	people can recover fairly quickly from a	13	relevant argument that you can receive.
a citing as a plaintiff's counsel. You have two years limitation period when you must issue — within which you must issue a statement of claim. But you have to wait 19 statement of claim. But you have to wait 19 be appeals from either side, but at least the treatment has been give and a the Surren And I of Appeal has access to that treatment has been give and the Court of Appeal has access to take treatment than is 19 be appeals from either side, but at least the treatment has been give and the Court of Canada, if is seven 19 appeals from either side, but	14	mild whiplash. Some people never recover.	14	So, I don't see how any matter,
acting as a plaintiff's counsel. You have two years limitation period when you must issue a issue — within which you must issue a statement of claim. But you have to wait for your client to get better or worse or to 20 for your client to get better or worse or to 21 see what happens. And I would say when I 22 was a practising lawyer to the lawyer on the 23 other side, look, I'm issuing a statement of 24 claim. I may have to amend it later. 25 There's no rush in your filing a defence, 25 So, it's an expensive procedure, I 27 see how my client gets on. And lawyers 3 still have to do that because even in a 3 supreme Court of Canada, fit igoes all the way up, has access to everything that took place down the line. 25 So, it's an expensive procedure, I 26 grant you that. Not that the Court costs any money or the Court of Appeal or the 3 Supreme Court of Canada, the issue of 25 see how my client gets on. And lawyers 3 still have to do that because even in a 3 supreme Court of Canada, the issue of 25 see how my client gets on. And lawyers 2 any money or the Court of Appeal or the 3 Supreme Court of Canada, the issue of 25 statements of Claim, things like that, but to the Courts are there for the people and 4 settlement conference in the Court, you 4 statement conference involving personal 6 the litigants and would-be litigants, but of 2 course, they have to pay everybody. You 2 statement of 20 paintiffs, on their own but that's not very 3 wise. So, you know, you get lawyers and it's the legal costs that comprise the Court 20 paintiffs, on their own but that's not very 3 wise. So, you know, you get lawyers and it's the legal costs that comprise the Court 20 din't get as much should help in the offer is exceeded well and good, but if the offer is such that the plaintiff 3 detired the or even for life. So, the advantage of the 3 party gets costs against the losing party. And there are other things that I won't 3 go it is a while, as a sitting judge, you will 19 get lawyer - besides the lawyers, you will 19 get expert evi	15	You see you can't settle too early if you're	15	personal injury or anything else, could get
18 issue – within which you must issue a 19 statement of claim. But you have to wait 20 for your client to get better or worse or to 21 see what happens. And I would say when I 22 was a practising lawyer to the lawyer on the 23 other side, look, I'm issuing a statement of 24 claim. I may have to amend it later. 25 There's no rush in your filing a defence, 26 There's no rush in your filing a defence, 27 Page 118 28 In nothing like that. But we have to wait to 29 see how my client gets on. And lawyers 30 still have to do that because even in a 41 settlement conference in the Court, you 42 settlement conference in the Court, you 43 settlement conference in the Court, you 44 settlement conference in the Court, you 45 can't have a – well, you shouldn't – and I 46 don't think the Court would allow a 47 settlement conference involving personal 48 injury within two or three months of an 49 accident, even if they could hear it, 40 guickly. Some people may suffer soft tissue injuries and get over it fairly 41 quickly. Some people may suffer soft tissue injuries and get over it fairly 42 quickly. Some people may suffer soft tissue injuries and get over it fairly 43 quickly. Some people may suffer soft tissue injuries and get over it fairly 44 quickly. Some people may suffer soft tissue injuries and get over it fairly 45 quickly. Some people may suffer soft tissue injuries and get over it fairly 46 quickly. Some people may suffer soft tissue injuries and get over it fairly 47 quickly. Some people may suffer soft tissue injuries and get over it fairly 48 quickly. Some people may suffer soft tissue injuries and get over it fairly 49 quickly. Some people may suffer soft tissue injuries and get over it fairly 40 quickly. Some people may suffer soft tissue injuries and get over it fairly 40 quickly. Some people may suffer soft tissue injuries and get over it fairly 41 quickly. Some people may suffer soft tissue injuries and get over it fairly 41 quickly. Some people may suffer soft tissue injuries and get over it fairly 42 quic	16		16	
18 issue – within which you must issue a 19 statement of claim. But you have to wait 19 be appeals from either side, but at least 19 be appeals from either side, but at least 19 the treatment has been given and the Court 20 see what happens. And I would say when I 21 was a practising lawyer to the lawyer on the 22 was practising lawyer to the lawyer on the 23 other side, look, I'm issuing a statement of 24 claim. I may have to amend it later. 24 took place down the line. 25 There's no rush in your filing a defence, 25 There's no rush in your filing a defence, 25 There's no rush in your filing a defence, 26 mothing like that. But we have to wait to 27 see how my client gets on. And lawyers 28 still have to do that because even in a 29 settlement conference in the Court, you 29 settlement conference in the Court, you 39 settlement conference in the Court, you 39 settlement conference involving personal 30 sill have a well, you shouldn't – and I 30 settlement conference involving personal 30 sill have to do hat because even in a 30 settlement conference involving personal 31 sill have to do that because even in a 32 supreme Court of Canada, the issue of 32 Statements of Claim, things like that, but 39 to the Courts are there for the people and 39 settlement conference involving personal 30 settlement conference involving personal 30 settlement conference involving personal 31 sill have to do that because even in a 32 supreme Court of Canada, the issue of 32 Statements of Claim, things like that, but 39 to the Courts are there for the people and 39 the litigants and would-be litigants, but of 39 course, they have to pay everybody. You 30 know, going that route would be very wise to 30 have a lawyer. Some people try to go, some 30 plaintiffs, on their own but that's not very 30 wise. So, you know, you get lawyers and 31 it's the legal costs that comprise the Court 30 costs for the most part and the winning 30 party gets costs against the losing party. 41 fifthe offer is succh that the plaintiff 30 into in detail, li	17	two years limitation period when you must	17	received by the Court. Now, a Court makes a
statement of claim. But you have to wait for your client to get better or worse or to see what happens. And I would say when I was a practising lawyer to the lawyer on the claim. I may have to amend it later. There's no rush in your filing a defence, There's no rush in your filing a defence, Page 118 nothing like that. But we have to wait to see how my client gets on. And lawyers still have to do that because even in a settlement conference in the Court, you can't have a – well, you shouldn't – and I don't think the Court would allow a settlement conference involving personal nijury within two or three months of an accident, even if they could hear it, because you have to know how this is going to work out. And as I say, some people may suffer soft tissue injuries and get over it fairly quickly. Some people may suffer soft tissue in juries which may nag them for a long time for even for life. So, the advantage of the Court process is that it looks at every lage tawyer – besides the lawyers, you will get awyer – besides the lawyers, you will a was a practising lawyet to the lawyer to keep to have a lawyer to the Court of Appeal or the Supreme Court of Appeal or the Court of Appeal or	18		18	
for your client to get better or worse or to see what happens. And I would say when I 22 was a practising lawyer to the lawyer on the other side, look, I'm issuing a statement of 23 the Supreme Court of Canada, if it goes all the way up, has access to everything that took place down the line. So, it's an expensive procedure, I Page 118 nothing like that. But we have to wait to see how my client gets on. And lawyers 3 still have to do that because even in a 4 settlement conference in the Court, you 5 settlement conference in the Court, you 6 don't think the Court would allow a 6 don't think the Court would allow a 7 settlement conference involving personal 8 injury within two or three months of an accident, even if they could hear it, 10 because you have to know how this is going 11 to work out. And as I say, some people may suffer soft tissue injuries and get over it fairly 14 quickly. Some people may suffer soft tissue injuries which may nag them for a long time 15 injuries which may nag them for a long time 16 or even for life. So, the advantage of the 17 Court process is that it looks at every 18 aspect because when you're a judge, you will 19 get lawyer – besides the lawyers, you will 20 get expert evidence from doctors and they 21 will be from the insurance company's doctors 22 who very often come from Toronto, and after 23 a while, as a sitting judge, you get to know 24 them. So, when they walk into Court, "good 24 them. So, when they walk into Court, good 24 the treatment has been given and the Court of Appeal has access to twerything the Mex Supreme Court Canada, if it goes all the way up, has access to everything the took place down the line. So, it's an expensive procedure, I 29 the way up, has access to everything that took place down the line. So, it's an expensive procedure, I 29 the way up, has access to everything that took place down the line. So, it's an expensive procedure, I 29 the way up, has access to everything that took place down the line. So, it's an expensive procedure, I 29 the way u	19	•	19	
21 see what happens. And I would say when I 22 was a practising lawyer to the lawyer on the 23 other side, look, I'm issuing a statement of 24 claim. I may have to amend it later. 25 There's no rush in your filing a defence, 26 Page 118 1 nothing like that. But we have to wait to 2 see how my client gets on. And lawyers 3 still have to do that because even in a 4 settlement conference in the Court, you 5 can't have a – well, you shouldn't – and I 6 don't think the Court would allow a 7 settlement conference involving personal 8 injury within two or three months of an 9 accident, even if they could hear it, 10 because you have to know how this is going 11 to work out. 12 And as I say, some people may suffer 13 soft tissue injuries and get over it fairly 14 quickly. Some people may suffer or even for life. So, the advantage of the 17 Court process is that it looks at every 18 aspect because when you're a judge, you will 19 get lawyer – besides the lawyers, you will 20 get expert evidence from doctors and they 21 will be from the insurance company's doctors 22 who very often come from Toronto, and after 23 a while, as a sitting judge, you get to know 24 them. So, when they walk into Court, "good 24 on Appeal has access to that treatment and the Supreme Court of Canada, if it goes all the way up, has access to everything that took place down the line. 24 the way up, has access to everything that took place down the line. 25 So, it's an expensive procedure, I Page 120 grant you that. Not that the Court costs any money or the Court of Appeal or the Supreme Cou	20		20	
22 was a practising lawyer to the lawyer on the 23 other side, look, I'm issuing a statement of 24 claim. I may have to amend it later. 25 There's no rush in your filing a defence, 26 Page 118 1 nothing like that. But we have to wait to 28 see how my client gets on. And lawyers 3 still have to do that because even in a 4 settlement conference in the Court, you 5 can't have a – well, you shouldn't – and I 6 don't think the Court would allow a 6 settlement conference involving personal 8 injury within two or three months of an 9 accident, even if they could hear it, 10 because you have to know how this is going 11 to work out. 12 And as I say, some people may suffer soft tissue injuries which may nag them for a long time 15 injuries which may nag them for a long time 16 or even for life. So, the advantage of the 17 Court process is that it looks at every 18 aspect because when you're a judge, you will 19 get lawyer – besides the lawyers, you will 20 get expert evidence from doctors and they 21 will be from the insurance company's doctors 22 who very often come from Toronto, and after 23 a while, as a sitting judge, you get to know 24 them. So, when they walk into Court, "good 25 the Supreme Court of Canada, the line. 26 So, it's an expensive procedure, I 27 Page 120 28 grant you that. Not that the Court costs any money or the Court of Canada, the issue of Statements of Claim, things like that, but to the Courts are there for the people and the litigants and would-be litigants, but of the Courts are there for the people and the litigants and would-be litigants, but of course, they have to pay everybody. You wine support to the Court sare there for the people and the litigants and would-be litigants, but of the Courts are there for the people and the litigants and would-be litigants, but of the Courts are there for the people and the litigants and would-be litigants, but of the Courts are there for the people may suffer soft issue injuries which may nag them for a long time 10 to work out. 11 to work out. 12 And as	21		21	<u> </u>
other side, look, I'm issuing a statement of claim. I may have to amend it later. There's no rush in your filing a defence, Page 118 1 nothing like that. But we have to wait to see how my client gets on. And lawyers 3 still have to do that because even in a settlement conference in the Court, you shouldn't – and I can't have a – well, you shouldn't – and I settlement conference involving personal injury within two or three months of an secttlement conference involving personal injury within two or three months of an secttlement conference involving personal injury within two or three months of an secttlement conference involving personal injury within two or three months of an secttlement conference involving personal injury within two or three months of an secttlement conference involving personal injury within two or three months of an secttlement conference involving personal injury within two or three months of an secttlement conference involving personal injury within two or three months of an secttlement conference involving personal injury within two or three months of an secttlement conference involving personal injury within two or three months of an secttlement conference involving personal injury within two or three months of an secttlement conference involving personal injury within two or three months of an secttlement conference involving personal injury within two or three months of an secttlement conference involving personal injury within two or three months of an secttlement conference involving personal injury within two or three months of an secttlement conference involving personal injury within two or three months of an secttlement conference involving personal injury within two or three months of an secttlement conference in the Court will because you have to know how this is going to the Courts are there for the people and the litigants and would-be litigants, but of course, they have to pay everybody. You wise. So, you know, you get lawyers and it's the legal costs that comprise the	22	11	22	
24 claim. I may have to amend it later. 25 There's no rush in your filing a defence, 26 Page 118 1 nothing like that. But we have to wait to 2 see how my client gets on. And lawyers 3 still have to do that because even in a 4 settlement conference in the Court, you 5 can't have a – well, you shouldn't – and I 6 don't think the Court would allow a 6 don't think the Court would allow a 7 settlement conference involving personal 8 injury within two or three months of an 9 accident, even if they could hear it, 10 because you have to know how this is going 11 to work out. 12 And as I say, some people may suffer 13 soft tissue injuries and get over it fairly 14 quickly. Some people may suffer soft tissue 15 injuries which may nag them for a long time 16 or even for life. So, the advantage of the 17 Court process is that it looks at every 18 aspect because when you're a judge, you will 19 get lawyer – besides the lawyers, you will 19 get lawyer – besides the lawyers, you will 20 get expert evidence from doctors and they 21 will be from the insurance company's doctors 22 who very often come from Toronto, and after 23 a while, as a sitting judge, you get to know 24 them. So, when they walk into Court, "good 24 took place down the line. 25 So, it's an expensive procedure, I 26 grant you that. Not that the Court of Appeal or the 26 grant you that. Not that the Court of Appeal or the 27 Supreme Court of Canada, the issue of the Court of Chanda, the issue of Supreme Court of Canada, the issue of Supreme Court of Canada, the issue of Supreme Court of Canada, the issue of the Court of Canada, the issue of Supreme Court of Canada, the issue of Supreme Court of Canada, the Soure of Claim, things like that, but to the Courts of Claim, things like that, but to the Courts are there for the people and to the litigants and would-be litigants, but of the Courts are there for the people and to the litigants and would-be litigants, but of the lowery wise to have a lawyer. Some people try to go, some plaintiffs, on their own but that's	23			
Page 118 1 nothing like that. But we have to wait to 2 see how my client gets on. And lawyers 3 still have to do that because even in a 3 supreme Court of Appeal or the 3 settlement conference in the Court, you 4 settlement conference in the Court, you 5 can't have a – well, you shouldn't – and I 6 don't think the Court would allow a 6 settlement conference involving personal 8 injury within two or three months of an 8 injury within two or three months of an 8 scident, even if they could hear it, 9 should to work out. 10 soft issue injuries and get over it fairly 11 to work out. 12 And as I say, some people may suffer 13 soft itssue injuries and get over it fairly 14 quickly. Some people may suffer soft itssue injuries which may nag them for a long time 15 injuries which may nag them for a long time 16 or even for life. So, the advantage of the 17 Court process is that it looks at every 18 aspect because when you're a judge, you will 19 get lawyer – besides the lawyers, you will 20 get expert evidence from doctors and they 21 will be from the insurance company's doctors 22 who very often come from Toronto, and after 23 a while, as a sitting judge, you get to know 24 them. So, when they walk into Court, "good 24 so, it's an expensive procedure, I Page 120 grant you that. Not that the Court of Appeal or the Court of Appeal or the Court of Appeal or the Court of Canada, the issue of the Court would allow a any money or the Court of Canada, the issue of Claim, things like that, but to the Court of Canada, the iss	24		24	
1 nothing like that. But we have to wait to 2 see how my client gets on. And lawyers 3 still have to do that because even in a 4 settlement conference in the Court, you 5 can't have a – well, you shouldn't – and I 6 don't think the Court would allow a 6 the litigants and would-be litigants, but of 7 settlement conference involving personal 8 injury within two or three months of an 9 accident, even if they could hear it, 10 because you have to know how this is going 11 to work out. 12 And as I say, some people may suffer 13 soft tissue injuries and get over it fairly 14 quickly. Some people may suffer soft tissue 15 injuries which may nag them for a long time 16 or even for life. So, the advantage of the 17 Court process is that it looks at every 18 aspect because when you're a judge, you will 19 get lawyer – besides the lawyers, you will 19 get expert evidence from doctors and they 21 will be from the insurance company's doctors 22 who very often come from Toronto, and after 23 a while, as a sitting judge, you get to know 24 them. So, when they walk into Court, "good Page 120 grant you that. Not that the Court of Appeal or the Supreme Court of Canada, the issue any money or the Court of Appeal or the Supreme Court of Canada, the issue of Supreme Court of Canada, the issue of Supreme Court of Appeal or the Supreme Court of Canada, the Supreme Court of Claim, things like that, but to the Courts and would-be litigants, but of the Courts wait of the litigants and would-be letigants, but of the Courts on the Court of Claim, thin	25	There's no rush in your filing a defence,	25	
see how my client gets on. And lawyers still have to do that because even in a still have to do that because even in a settlement conference in the Court, you can't have a – well, you shouldn't – and I can't have a – well, you shouldn't – and I can't have a – well, you shouldn't – and I can't have a – well, you shouldn't – and I can't have a – well, you shouldn't – and I can't have a – well, you shouldn't – and I can't have a – well, you shouldn't – and I can't have a – well, you shouldn't – and I can't have a – well, you shouldn't – and I can't have a – well, you shouldn't – and I can't have a – well, you shouldn't – and I course, they have to pay everybody. You know, going that route would be very wise to have a lawyer. Some people try to go, some plaintiffs, on their own but that's not very wise. So, you know, you get lawyers and it's the legal costs that comprise the Court costs for the most part and the winning party gets costs against the losing party. And there are other things that I won't go into in detail, like offers that can be made that are not known to the judge which if the offer is exceeded well and good, but if the offer is exceeded well and good, but if the offer is such that the plaintiff go get expert evidence from doctors and they who very often come from Toronto, and after go who very often come from Toronto, and after a while, as a sitting judge, you get to know them. So, when they walk into Court, "good and the litigants and would-be litigants, but of course, they have to pay everybody. You know, going that route would be very wise to have a lawyer. Some people try to go, some plaintiffs, on their own but that's not very wise. So, you know, you get lawyers and it's the legal costs that comprise the Court costs for the most part and the winning party gets costs against the losing party. And there are other things that I won't go into in detail, like offers that can be made that are not known to the judge which if the offer is such that the plaintiff didn't get as much as the offer, the		Page 118		Page 120
still have to do that because even in a settlement conference in the Court, you can't have a – well, you shouldn't – and I can't have a – well, you shouldn't – and I can't think the Court would allow a don't think the Court would allow a settlement conference involving personal nijury within two or three months of an accident, even if they could hear it, because you have to know how this is going to work out. And as I say, some people may suffer soft tissue injuries and get over it fairly quickly. Some people may suffer soft tissue injuries which may nag them for a long time or even for life. So, the advantage of the Court process is that it looks at every saye to course, they have to pay everybody. You know, going that route would be very wise to have a lawyer. Some people try to go, some plaintiffs, on their own but that's not very wise. So, you know, you get lawyers and it's the legal costs that comprise the Court costs for the most part and the winning party gets costs against the losing party. And there are other things that I won't go into in detail, like offers that can be made that are not known to the judge which if the offer is succeded well and good, but if the offer is such that the plaintiff didn't get as much as the offer, the costs may go the other way and may include who very often come from Toronto, and after who very often come from Toronto, and after a while, as a sitting judge, you get to know when they walk into Court, "good Statements of Claim, things like that, but to the Courts are there for the people and the litigants and would-be litigants, but of course, they have to pay everybody. You know, you get lawyers and it's the legal costs that comprise the Court costs for the most part and the winning party gets costs against the losing party. And there are other things that I won't go into in detail, like offers that can be made that are not known to the judge which if the offer is such that the plaintiff didn't get as much as the offer, the costs may go the other way and may include so	1	nothing like that. But we have to wait to	1	grant you that. Not that the Court costs
still have to do that because even in a settlement conference in the Court, you can't have a – well, you shouldn't – and I can't have a – well, you shouldn't – and I can't think the Court would allow a don't think the Court would allow a settlement conference involving personal injury within two or three months of an accident, even if they could hear it, because you have to know how this is going to work out. And as I say, some people may suffer soft tissue injuries and get over it fairly quickly. Some people may suffer soft tissue injuries which may nag them for a long time or even for life. So, the advantage of the Court process is that it looks at every saye to expert evidence from doctors and they get lawyer – besides the lawyers, you will get expert evidence from doctors and they who very often come from Toronto, and after a while, as a sitting judge, you get to know asset lement conference in the Court, you to the Courts are there for the people and to the litigants and would-be litigants, but of course, they have to pay everybody. You know, going that route would be very wise to have a lawyer. Some people try to go, some plaintiffs, on their own but that's not very wise. So, you know, you get lawyers and it's the legal costs that comprise the Court costs for the most part and the winning party gets costs against the losing party. And there are other things that I won't go into in detail, like offers that can be made that are not known to the judge which if the offer is exceeded well and good, but if the offer is such that the plaintiff didn't get as much as the offer, the costs may go the other way and may include solicitor and own client costs which are higher, but I don't think I need to expound on these sort of things.	2	see how my client gets on. And lawyers	2	any money or the Court of Appeal or the
can't have a – well, you shouldn't – and I don't think the Court would allow a settlement conference involving personal nijury within two or three months of an settlement conference involving personal nijury within two or three months of an settlement conference involving personal nijury within two or three months of an settlement conference involving personal nijury within two or three months of an settlement conference involving personal nijury within two or three months of an nijury within two or thee wold be very wise to nave a lawyer. Some people try to go, some plaintiffs, on their own but that's not very wise. So, you know, you get lawyers and it's the legal costs that comprise the Court none for the most part and the winning party gets costs against the losing party. And there are other things that I won't nor even for life. So, the advantage of the nor even for li	3	still have to do that because even in a		Supreme Court of Canada, the issue of
don't think the Court would allow a settlement conference involving personal injury within two or three months of an a accident, even if they could hear it, she because you have to know how this is going to work out. And as I say, some people may suffer to work injuries and get over it fairly quickly. Some people may suffer soft tissue injuries which may nag them for a long time for even for life. So, the advantage of the Court process is that it looks at every the get lawyer – besides the lawyers, you will get lawyer – besides the lawyers, you will who very often come from Toronto, and after a while, as a sitting judge, you get to know to minimize the made that are not known to the plaintiff on the insurance company's doctors and whole, as a sitting judge, you get to know the injuries of things. the litigants and would-be litigants, but of course, they have to pay everybody. You know, going that route would be very wise to have a lawyer. Some people try to go, some plaintiffs, on their own but that's not very wise. So, you know, you get lawyers and it's the legal costs that comprise the Court costs for the most part and the winning party gets costs against the losing party. And there are other things that I won't go into in detail, like offers that can be made that are not known to the judge which if the offer is exceeded well and good, but if the offer is such that the plaintiff didn't get as much as the offer, the costs may go the other way and may include solicitor and own client costs which are higher, but I don't think I need to expound on these sort of things.	4	settlement conference in the Court, you	4	Statements of Claim, things like that, but
settlement conference involving personal nijury within two or three months of an accident, even if they could hear it, because you have to know how this is going to work out. And as I say, some people may suffer soft tissue injuries and get over it fairly quickly. Some people may suffer soft tissue injuries which may nag them for a long time for even for life. So, the advantage of the Court process is that it looks at every settlement conference involving personal know, going that route would be very wise to have a lawyer. Some people try to go, some plaintiffs, on their own but that's not very wise. So, you know, you get lawyers and it's the legal costs that comprise the Court costs for the most part and the winning party gets costs against the losing party. And there are other things that I won't go into in detail, like offers that can be made that are not known to the judge which if the offer is exceeded well and good, but get lawyer – besides the lawyers, you will get lawyer – besides the lawyers, you will get lawyer – besides the lawyers, you will get expert evidence from doctors and they who very often come from Toronto, and after who very often come from Toronto, and after who very often come from Toronto, and after a while, as a sitting judge, you get to know while, as a sitting judge, you get to know them. So, when they walk into Court, "good course, they have to pay everybody. know, going that route would be very wise to have a lawyer. Some people try to go, some plaintiffs, on their own but that's not very wise. So, you know, you get lawyers and it's the legal costs that comprise the Court costs for the most part and the winning party gets costs against the losing party. And there are other things that I won't go into in detail, like offers that can be go into in detail, like offers that can be didn't get as much as the offer, the costs may go the other way and may include solicitor and own client costs which are higher, but I don't think I need to expound on these sort of things.	5	can't have a – well, you shouldn't – and I	5	to the Courts are there for the people and
settlement conference involving personal nijury within two or three months of an accident, even if they could hear it, because you have to know how this is going to work out. And as I say, some people may suffer soft tissue injuries and get over it fairly quickly. Some people may suffer soft tissue injuries which may nag them for a long time for even for life. So, the advantage of the Court process is that it looks at every settlement conference involving personal know, going that route would be very wise to have a lawyer. Some people try to go, some plaintiffs, on their own but that's not very wise. So, you know, you get lawyers and it's the legal costs that comprise the Court costs for the most part and the winning party gets costs against the losing party. And there are other things that I won't go into in detail, like offers that can be made that are not known to the judge which if the offer is exceeded well and good, but get lawyer – besides the lawyers, you will get lawyer – besides the lawyers, you will get lawyer – besides the lawyers, you will get expert evidence from doctors and they who very often come from Toronto, and after who very often come from Toronto, and after who very often come from Toronto, and after a while, as a sitting judge, you get to know while, as a sitting judge, you get to know them. So, when they walk into Court, "good course, they have to pay everybody. know, going that route would be very wise to have a lawyer. Some people try to go, some plaintiffs, on their own but that's not very wise. So, you know, you get lawyers and it's the legal costs that comprise the Court costs for the most part and the winning party gets costs against the losing party. And there are other things that I won't go into in detail, like offers that can be go into in detail, like offers that can be didn't get as much as the offer, the costs may go the other way and may include solicitor and own client costs which are higher, but I don't think I need to expound on these sort of things.	6	don't think the Court would allow a	6	the litigants and would-be litigants, but of
8 injury within two or three months of an 9 accident, even if they could hear it, 10 because you have to know how this is going 11 to work out. 12 And as I say, some people may suffer 13 soft tissue injuries and get over it fairly 14 quickly. Some people may suffer soft tissue 15 injuries which may nag them for a long time 16 or even for life. So, the advantage of the 17 Court process is that it looks at every 18 aspect because when you're a judge, you will 19 get lawyer – besides the lawyers, you will 19 get expert evidence from doctors and they 20 get expert evidence from doctors and they 21 will be from the insurance company's doctors 22 who very often come from Toronto, and after 23 a while, as a sitting judge, you get to know 24 them. So, when they walk into Court, "good 8 know, going that route would be very wise to have a lawyer. Some people try to go, some plaintiffs, on their own but that's not very blave a lawyer. Some people try to go, some plaintiffs, on their own but that's not very 10 have a lawyer. Some people try to go, some plaintiffs, on their own but that's not very 11 wise. So, you know, you get lawyers and 12 it's the legal costs that comprise the Court 13 costs for the most part and the winning party gets costs against the losing party. 14 party gets costs against the losing party. 15 And there are other things that I won't go into in detail, like offers that can be 16 go into in detail, like offers that can be 17 made that are not known to the judge which if the offer is exceeded well and good, but if the offer is such that the plaintiff didn't get as much as the offer, the costs may go the other way and may include solicitor and own client costs which are higher, but I don't think I need to expound on these sort of things.	7	settlement conference involving personal	7	
because you have to know how this is going to work out. And as I say, some people may suffer soft tissue injuries and get over it fairly quickly. Some people may suffer soft tissue injuries which may nag them for a long time or even for life. So, the advantage of the Court process is that it looks at every sapect because when you're a judge, you will get lawyer – besides the lawyers, you will get expert evidence from doctors and they who very often come from Toronto, and after a while, as a sitting judge, you get to know to work out. Il wise. So, you know, you get lawyers and it's the legal costs that comprise the Court costs for the most part and the winning party gets costs against the losing party. And there are other things that I won't go into in detail, like offers that can be go into in detail, like offers that can be if the offer is exceeded well and good, but if the offer is such that the plaintiff didn't get as much as the offer, the costs may go the other way and may include solicitor and own client costs which are higher, but I don't think I need to expound on these sort of things.	8		8	know, going that route would be very wise to
to work out. And as I say, some people may suffer soft tissue injuries and get over it fairly quickly. Some people may suffer soft tissue injuries which may nag them for a long time or even for life. So, the advantage of the Court process is that it looks at every aspect because when you're a judge, you will get lawyer – besides the lawyers, you will get expert evidence from doctors and they who very often come from Toronto, and after a while, as a sitting judge, you get to know to make the winsing party gets costs against the losing party. And there are other things that I won't go into in detail, like offers that can be made that are not known to the judge which if the offer is exceeded well and good, but if the offer is such that the plaintiff didn't get as much as the offer, the costs may go the other way and may include solicitor and own client costs which are higher, but I don't think I need to expound on these sort of things.	9	accident, even if they could hear it,	9	have a lawyer. Some people try to go, some
And as I say, some people may suffer soft tissue injuries and get over it fairly quickly. Some people may suffer soft tissue injuries which may nag them for a long time or even for life. So, the advantage of the Court process is that it looks at every aspect because when you're a judge, you will get lawyer – besides the lawyers, you will get expert evidence from doctors and they will be from the insurance company's doctors who very often come from Toronto, and after a while, as a sitting judge, you get to know the part and the winning party gets costs against the losing party. And there are other things that I won't go into in detail, like offers that can be made that are not known to the judge which if the offer is exceeded well and good, but if the offer is such that the plaintiff didn't get as much as the offer, the costs may go the other way and may include solicitor and own client costs which are higher, but I don't think I need to expound on these sort of things.	10	because you have to know how this is going	10	plaintiffs, on their own but that's not very
soft tissue injuries and get over it fairly quickly. Some people may suffer soft tissue injuries which may nag them for a long time or even for life. So, the advantage of the Court process is that it looks at every aspect because when you're a judge, you will get lawyer – besides the lawyers, you will get expert evidence from doctors and they will be from the insurance company's doctors who very often come from Toronto, and after a while, as a sitting judge, you get to know the may and the winning party gets costs against the losing party. And there are other things that I won't go into in detail, like offers that can be made that are not known to the judge which if the offer is exceeded well and good, but if the offer is such that the plaintiff didn't get as much as the offer, the costs may go the other way and may include solicitor and own client costs which are higher, but I don't think I need to expound on these sort of things.	11	to work out.	11	wise. So, you know, you get lawyers and
soft tissue injuries and get over it fairly quickly. Some people may suffer soft tissue injuries which may nag them for a long time or even for life. So, the advantage of the Court process is that it looks at every aspect because when you're a judge, you will get lawyer – besides the lawyers, you will get expert evidence from doctors and they will be from the insurance company's doctors who very often come from Toronto, and after a while, as a sitting judge, you get to know them. So, when they walk into Court, "good avoid them. So, when they walk into Court, "good costs for the most part and the winning party gets costs against the losing party. And there are other things that I won't go into in detail, like offers that can be made that are not known to the judge which if the offer is exceeded well and good, but if the offer is such that the plaintiff didn't get as much as the offer, the costs may go the other way and may include solicitor and own client costs which are higher, but I don't think I need to expound on these sort of things.	12	And as I say, some people may suffer	12	it's the legal costs that comprise the Court
injuries which may nag them for a long time or even for life. So, the advantage of the Court process is that it looks at every aspect because when you're a judge, you will get lawyer – besides the lawyers, you will get expert evidence from doctors and they will be from the insurance company's doctors who very often come from Toronto, and after a while, as a sitting judge, you get to know them. So, when they walk into Court, "good And there are other things that I won't go into in detail, like offers that can be made that are not known to the judge which if the offer is exceeded well and good, but if the offer is such that the plaintiff didn't get as much as the offer, the costs may go the other way and may include solicitor and own client costs which are higher, but I don't think I need to expound on these sort of things.	13	soft tissue injuries and get over it fairly	13	costs for the most part and the winning
or even for life. So, the advantage of the Court process is that it looks at every aspect because when you're a judge, you will get lawyer – besides the lawyers, you will get expert evidence from doctors and they will be from the insurance company's doctors will be from the insurance company's doctors a while, as a sitting judge, you get to know them. So, when they walk into Court, "good 16 go into in detail, like offers that can be made that are not known to the judge which if the offer is exceeded well and good, but if the offer is such that the plaintiff didn't get as much as the offer, the costs may go the other way and may include solicitor and own client costs which are higher, but I don't think I need to expound on these sort of things.	14	quickly. Some people may suffer soft tissue	14	party gets costs against the losing party.
or even for life. So, the advantage of the Court process is that it looks at every aspect because when you're a judge, you will get lawyer – besides the lawyers, you will get expert evidence from doctors and they will be from the insurance company's doctors who very often come from Toronto, and after a while, as a sitting judge, you get to know them. So, when they walk into Court, "good 16 go into in detail, like offers that can be made that are not known to the judge which if the offer is exceeded well and good, but if the offer is such that the plaintiff didn't get as much as the offer, the costs may go the other way and may include solicitor and own client costs which are higher, but I don't think I need to expound on these sort of things.	15	injuries which may nag them for a long time	15	And there are other things that I won't
Court process is that it looks at every aspect because when you're a judge, you will get lawyer – besides the lawyers, you will get expert evidence from doctors and they will be from the insurance company's doctors who very often come from Toronto, and after a while, as a sitting judge, you get to know them. So, when they walk into Court, "good made that are not known to the judge which if the offer is exceeded well and good, but if the offer is such that the plaintiff didn't get as much as the offer, the costs may go the other way and may include solicitor and own client costs which are higher, but I don't think I need to expound on these sort of things.	16	, ,	16	go into in detail, like offers that can be
get lawyer – besides the lawyers, you will get expert evidence from doctors and they will be from the insurance company's doctors who very often come from Toronto, and after a while, as a sitting judge, you get to know them. So, when they walk into Court, "good if the offer is such that the plaintiff didn't get as much as the offer, the costs may go the other way and may include solicitor and own client costs which are higher, but I don't think I need to expound on these sort of things.	17	Court process is that it looks at every	17	made that are not known to the judge which
get lawyer – besides the lawyers, you will get expert evidence from doctors and they will be from the insurance company's doctors who very often come from Toronto, and after a while, as a sitting judge, you get to know them. So, when they walk into Court, "good if the offer is such that the plaintiff didn't get as much as the offer, the costs may go the other way and may include solicitor and own client costs which are higher, but I don't think I need to expound on these sort of things.	18	aspect because when you're a judge, you will	18	if the offer is exceeded well and good, but
will be from the insurance company's doctors who very often come from Toronto, and after a while, as a sitting judge, you get to know them. So, when they walk into Court, "good 24 may go the other way and may include solicitor and own client costs which are higher, but I don't think I need to expound on these sort of things.	19	get lawyer – besides the lawyers, you will	19	if the offer is such that the plaintiff
who very often come from Toronto, and after a while, as a sitting judge, you get to know them. So, when they walk into Court, "good the cou	20	get expert evidence from doctors and they	20	didn't get as much as the offer, the costs
who very often come from Toronto, and after a while, as a sitting judge, you get to know them. So, when they walk into Court, "good the cou	21	will be from the insurance company's doctors	21	may go the other way and may include
them. So, when they walk into Court, "good 24 on these sort of things.	22	who very often come from Toronto, and after	22	solicitor and own client costs which are
	23	a while, as a sitting judge, you get to know	23	higher, but I don't think I need to expound
25 morning, Doctor so and so" because you've 25 But out of it all, especially when the	1	·		
	_25	morning, Doctor so and so" because you've	25	But out of it all, especially when the

Septer	mber 27, 2018		2017 Automobile Insurance Review
	Page 121		Page 123
1	Supreme Court of Canada speaks, ranges are	1	fell if there was a cap, what would fall
2	reachable by people who want to resolve the	2	above the cap and what would fall below the
3	matter because if everything went to Court,	3	cap because I'm injured, soft tissue injury.
4	the Courts would be swamped within a year.	4	The cap is – well, let's say it's 5,000;
5	MR. FELTHAM:	5	could be whatever. My injuries are worth
6	Q. And Justice Wells, just to switch a little	6	more than the \$5,000 cap and who's to say
7	bit on the topic, I wanted to ask you about	7	they're not except a Court. So, I can see,
8	something else and I need to set this	8	as Judge Hickman saw, I can see litigation
9	question up a little bit, but there was an	9	over what falls above the cap or might fall
10	insurance review before this Board in 2005	10	above the cap or what might fall below the
11	and at that time, Justice Hickman provided	11	cap.
12	some commentary, a letter to the Board.	12	MR. FELTHAM:
13	JUSTICE WELLS:	13	Q. And in your experience, you know,
14	A. Yes, I've read the letter.	14	proportionately speaking, the number of
15	MR. FELTHAM:	15	injury claims that you had exposure to that
16	Q. Okay. And in that, one of the things that	16	went to trial versus the ones that settled
17	he notes is that legislative caps which are	17	before trial, proportionately I gather most
18	also under consideration now as they were	18	would be resolved before having to go to
19	then -	19	trial?
20	JUSTICE WELLS:	20	JUSTICE WELLS:
21	A. Yes.	21	A. Before trial?
22	MR. FELTHAM:	22	MR. FELTHAM:
23	Q with respect to general damages for	23	Q. Yes.
24	personal injury in automobile cases -	24	JUSTICE WELLS:
25	JUSTICE WELLS:	25	A. Oh yes, after a trial, that's it, you know.
			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	Page 122		Page 124
1	A. Yes.	1	Page 124 It's a done deal. The only persons who can
2	A. Yes. MR. FELTHAM:	2	Page 124 It's a done deal. The only persons who can change the result of a trial judge's
	A. Yes. MR. FELTHAM: Q one of the things that he noted was that	l .	Page 124 It's a done deal. The only persons who can change the result of a trial judge's decision are the Courts of Appeal and the
2 3 4	 A. Yes. MR. FELTHAM: Q one of the things that he noted was that these kinds of caps have a disproportionate 	2 3 4	Page 124 It's a done deal. The only persons who can change the result of a trial judge's decision are the Courts of Appeal and the Supreme Court of Canada and after that, you
2 3 4 5	 A. Yes. MR. FELTHAM: Q one of the things that he noted was that these kinds of caps have a disproportionate effect on certain categories of vulnerable 	2 3	Page 124 It's a done deal. The only persons who can change the result of a trial judge's decision are the Courts of Appeal and the Supreme Court of Canada and after that, you can't go any further.
2 3 4	 A. Yes. MR. FELTHAM: Q one of the things that he noted was that these kinds of caps have a disproportionate 	2 3 4	Page 124 It's a done deal. The only persons who can change the result of a trial judge's decision are the Courts of Appeal and the Supreme Court of Canada and after that, you can't go any further. MR. FELTHAM:
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Yes. MR. FELTHAM: Q one of the things that he noted was that these kinds of caps have a disproportionate effect on certain categories of vulnerable people and he refers to children, senior citizens and students, stay-at-home moms.	2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 124 It's a done deal. The only persons who can change the result of a trial judge's decision are the Courts of Appeal and the Supreme Court of Canada and after that, you can't go any further. MR. FELTHAM: Q. Okay, Justice Wells, I don't have any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Yes. MR. FELTHAM: Q one of the things that he noted was that these kinds of caps have a disproportionate effect on certain categories of vulnerable people and he refers to children, senior citizens and students, stay-at-home moms. There's a number. And he does so on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 124 It's a done deal. The only persons who can change the result of a trial judge's decision are the Courts of Appeal and the Supreme Court of Canada and after that, you can't go any further. MR. FELTHAM: Q. Okay, Justice Wells, I don't have any further questions for you but some of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Yes. MR. FELTHAM: Q one of the things that he noted was that these kinds of caps have a disproportionate effect on certain categories of vulnerable people and he refers to children, senior citizens and students, stay-at-home moms. There's a number. And he does so on the basis that these are categories of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 124 It's a done deal. The only persons who can change the result of a trial judge's decision are the Courts of Appeal and the Supreme Court of Canada and after that, you can't go any further. MR. FELTHAM: Q. Okay, Justice Wells, I don't have any further questions for you but some of the other parties might or the Board might.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Yes. MR. FELTHAM: Q one of the things that he noted was that these kinds of caps have a disproportionate effect on certain categories of vulnerable people and he refers to children, senior citizens and students, stay-at-home moms. There's a number. And he does so on the basis that these are categories of individuals who their primary form of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 124 It's a done deal. The only persons who can change the result of a trial judge's decision are the Courts of Appeal and the Supreme Court of Canada and after that, you can't go any further. MR. FELTHAM: Q. Okay, Justice Wells, I don't have any further questions for you but some of the other parties might or the Board might. JUSTICE WELLS:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Yes. MR. FELTHAM: Q one of the things that he noted was that these kinds of caps have a disproportionate effect on certain categories of vulnerable people and he refers to children, senior citizens and students, stay-at-home moms. There's a number. And he does so on the basis that these are categories of individuals who their primary form of compensation, damages recovery in injury	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 124 It's a done deal. The only persons who can change the result of a trial judge's decision are the Courts of Appeal and the Supreme Court of Canada and after that, you can't go any further. MR. FELTHAM: Q. Okay, Justice Wells, I don't have any further questions for you but some of the other parties might or the Board might. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes. Before I take questions, I would like
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Yes. MR. FELTHAM: Q one of the things that he noted was that these kinds of caps have a disproportionate effect on certain categories of vulnerable people and he refers to children, senior citizens and students, stay-at-home moms. There's a number. And he does so on the basis that these are categories of individuals who their primary form of compensation, damages recovery in injury claims is often general damages.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 124 It's a done deal. The only persons who can change the result of a trial judge's decision are the Courts of Appeal and the Supreme Court of Canada and after that, you can't go any further. MR. FELTHAM: Q. Okay, Justice Wells, I don't have any further questions for you but some of the other parties might or the Board might. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes. Before I take questions, I would like to make one or two comments directly to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Yes. MR. FELTHAM: Q one of the things that he noted was that these kinds of caps have a disproportionate effect on certain categories of vulnerable people and he refers to children, senior citizens and students, stay-at-home moms. There's a number. And he does so on the basis that these are categories of individuals who their primary form of compensation, damages recovery in injury claims is often general damages. JUSTICE WELLS:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 124 It's a done deal. The only persons who can change the result of a trial judge's decision are the Courts of Appeal and the Supreme Court of Canada and after that, you can't go any further. MR. FELTHAM: Q. Okay, Justice Wells, I don't have any further questions for you but some of the other parties might or the Board might. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes. Before I take questions, I would like to make one or two comments directly to the Board, if I may?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Yes. MR. FELTHAM: Q one of the things that he noted was that these kinds of caps have a disproportionate effect on certain categories of vulnerable people and he refers to children, senior citizens and students, stay-at-home moms. There's a number. And he does so on the basis that these are categories of individuals who their primary form of compensation, damages recovery in injury claims is often general damages. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 124 It's a done deal. The only persons who can change the result of a trial judge's decision are the Courts of Appeal and the Supreme Court of Canada and after that, you can't go any further. MR. FELTHAM: Q. Okay, Justice Wells, I don't have any further questions for you but some of the other parties might or the Board might. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes. Before I take questions, I would like to make one or two comments directly to the Board, if I may? MR. FELTHAM:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Yes. MR. FELTHAM: Q one of the things that he noted was that these kinds of caps have a disproportionate effect on certain categories of vulnerable people and he refers to children, senior citizens and students, stay-at-home moms. There's a number. And he does so on the basis that these are categories of individuals who their primary form of compensation, damages recovery in injury claims is often general damages. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes. MR. FELTHAM:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 124 It's a done deal. The only persons who can change the result of a trial judge's decision are the Courts of Appeal and the Supreme Court of Canada and after that, you can't go any further. MR. FELTHAM: Q. Okay, Justice Wells, I don't have any further questions for you but some of the other parties might or the Board might. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes. Before I take questions, I would like to make one or two comments directly to the Board, if I may? MR. FELTHAM: Q. Sure.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Yes. MR. FELTHAM: Q one of the things that he noted was that these kinds of caps have a disproportionate effect on certain categories of vulnerable people and he refers to children, senior citizens and students, stay-at-home moms. There's a number. And he does so on the basis that these are categories of individuals who their primary form of compensation, damages recovery in injury claims is often general damages. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes. MR. FELTHAM: Q. So, if we cap those at a low level of say	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 124 It's a done deal. The only persons who can change the result of a trial judge's decision are the Courts of Appeal and the Supreme Court of Canada and after that, you can't go any further. MR. FELTHAM: Q. Okay, Justice Wells, I don't have any further questions for you but some of the other parties might or the Board might. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes. Before I take questions, I would like to make one or two comments directly to the Board, if I may? MR. FELTHAM: Q. Sure. JUSTICE WELLS:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Yes. MR. FELTHAM: Q one of the things that he noted was that these kinds of caps have a disproportionate effect on certain categories of vulnerable people and he refers to children, senior citizens and students, stay-at-home moms. There's a number. And he does so on the basis that these are categories of individuals who their primary form of compensation, damages recovery in injury claims is often general damages. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes. MR. FELTHAM: Q. So, if we cap those at a low level of say \$5,000 -	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 124 It's a done deal. The only persons who can change the result of a trial judge's decision are the Courts of Appeal and the Supreme Court of Canada and after that, you can't go any further. MR. FELTHAM: Q. Okay, Justice Wells, I don't have any further questions for you but some of the other parties might or the Board might. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes. Before I take questions, I would like to make one or two comments directly to the Board, if I may? MR. FELTHAM: Q. Sure. JUSTICE WELLS: A. The matter of courts deciding important
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Yes. MR. FELTHAM: Q one of the things that he noted was that these kinds of caps have a disproportionate effect on certain categories of vulnerable people and he refers to children, senior citizens and students, stay-at-home moms. There's a number. And he does so on the basis that these are categories of individuals who their primary form of compensation, damages recovery in injury claims is often general damages. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes. MR. FELTHAM: Q. So, if we cap those at a low level of say \$5,000 - JUSTICE WELLS:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 124 It's a done deal. The only persons who can change the result of a trial judge's decision are the Courts of Appeal and the Supreme Court of Canada and after that, you can't go any further. MR. FELTHAM: Q. Okay, Justice Wells, I don't have any further questions for you but some of the other parties might or the Board might. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes. Before I take questions, I would like to make one or two comments directly to the Board, if I may? MR. FELTHAM: Q. Sure. JUSTICE WELLS: A. The matter of courts deciding important things for individuals, for corporations,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Yes. MR. FELTHAM: Q one of the things that he noted was that these kinds of caps have a disproportionate effect on certain categories of vulnerable people and he refers to children, senior citizens and students, stay-at-home moms. There's a number. And he does so on the basis that these are categories of individuals who their primary form of compensation, damages recovery in injury claims is often general damages. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes. MR. FELTHAM: Q. So, if we cap those at a low level of say \$5,000 - JUSTICE WELLS: A. Now, I'm assuming that the Board has Justice	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 124 It's a done deal. The only persons who can change the result of a trial judge's decision are the Courts of Appeal and the Supreme Court of Canada and after that, you can't go any further. MR. FELTHAM: Q. Okay, Justice Wells, I don't have any further questions for you but some of the other parties might or the Board might. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes. Before I take questions, I would like to make one or two comments directly to the Board, if I may? MR. FELTHAM: Q. Sure. JUSTICE WELLS: A. The matter of courts deciding important things for individuals, for corporations, for all of us didn't come easily. It
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Yes. MR. FELTHAM: Q one of the things that he noted was that these kinds of caps have a disproportionate effect on certain categories of vulnerable people and he refers to children, senior citizens and students, stay-at-home moms. There's a number. And he does so on the basis that these are categories of individuals who their primary form of compensation, damages recovery in injury claims is often general damages. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes. MR. FELTHAM: Q. So, if we cap those at a low level of say \$5,000 - JUSTICE WELLS: A. Now, I'm assuming that the Board has Justice Hickman's, retired Justice Hickman's letter,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	It's a done deal. The only persons who can change the result of a trial judge's decision are the Courts of Appeal and the Supreme Court of Canada and after that, you can't go any further. MR. FELTHAM: Q. Okay, Justice Wells, I don't have any further questions for you but some of the other parties might or the Board might. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes. Before I take questions, I would like to make one or two comments directly to the Board, if I may? MR. FELTHAM: Q. Sure. JUSTICE WELLS: A. The matter of courts deciding important things for individuals, for corporations, for all of us didn't come easily. It started to develop in probably the 13th and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Yes. MR. FELTHAM: Q one of the things that he noted was that these kinds of caps have a disproportionate effect on certain categories of vulnerable people and he refers to children, senior citizens and students, stay-at-home moms. There's a number. And he does so on the basis that these are categories of individuals who their primary form of compensation, damages recovery in injury claims is often general damages. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes. MR. FELTHAM: Q. So, if we cap those at a low level of say \$5,000 - JUSTICE WELLS: A. Now, I'm assuming that the Board has Justice Hickman's, retired Justice Hickman's letter, and I take no issue with that. One thing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 124 It's a done deal. The only persons who can change the result of a trial judge's decision are the Courts of Appeal and the Supreme Court of Canada and after that, you can't go any further. MR. FELTHAM: Q. Okay, Justice Wells, I don't have any further questions for you but some of the other parties might or the Board might. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes. Before I take questions, I would like to make one or two comments directly to the Board, if I may? MR. FELTHAM: Q. Sure. JUSTICE WELLS: A. The matter of courts deciding important things for individuals, for corporations, for all of us didn't come easily. It started to develop in probably the 13th and 14th centuries in England under our system
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Yes. MR. FELTHAM: Q one of the things that he noted was that these kinds of caps have a disproportionate effect on certain categories of vulnerable people and he refers to children, senior citizens and students, stay-at-home moms. There's a number. And he does so on the basis that these are categories of individuals who their primary form of compensation, damages recovery in injury claims is often general damages. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes. MR. FELTHAM: Q. So, if we cap those at a low level of say \$5,000 - JUSTICE WELLS: A. Now, I'm assuming that the Board has Justice Hickman's, retired Justice Hickman's letter, and I take no issue with that. One thing that stands out to me in the letter is that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	It's a done deal. The only persons who can change the result of a trial judge's decision are the Courts of Appeal and the Supreme Court of Canada and after that, you can't go any further. MR. FELTHAM: Q. Okay, Justice Wells, I don't have any further questions for you but some of the other parties might or the Board might. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes. Before I take questions, I would like to make one or two comments directly to the Board, if I may? MR. FELTHAM: Q. Sure. JUSTICE WELLS: A. The matter of courts deciding important things for individuals, for corporations, for all of us didn't come easily. It started to develop in probably the 13th and 14th centuries in England under our system and it started, interestingly enough, when
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Yes. MR. FELTHAM: Q one of the things that he noted was that these kinds of caps have a disproportionate effect on certain categories of vulnerable people and he refers to children, senior citizens and students, stay-at-home moms. There's a number. And he does so on the basis that these are categories of individuals who their primary form of compensation, damages recovery in injury claims is often general damages. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes. MR. FELTHAM: Q. So, if we cap those at a low level of say \$5,000 - JUSTICE WELLS: A. Now, I'm assuming that the Board has Justice Hickman's, retired Justice Hickman's letter, and I take no issue with that. One thing that stands out to me in the letter is that he foresaw, and I think he's probably right,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	It's a done deal. The only persons who can change the result of a trial judge's decision are the Courts of Appeal and the Supreme Court of Canada and after that, you can't go any further. MR. FELTHAM: Q. Okay, Justice Wells, I don't have any further questions for you but some of the other parties might or the Board might. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes. Before I take questions, I would like to make one or two comments directly to the Board, if I may? MR. FELTHAM: Q. Sure. JUSTICE WELLS: A. The matter of courts deciding important things for individuals, for corporations, for all of us didn't come easily. It started to develop in probably the 13th and 14th centuries in England under our system and it started, interestingly enough, when the kings were able to seize power from the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Yes. MR. FELTHAM: Q one of the things that he noted was that these kinds of caps have a disproportionate effect on certain categories of vulnerable people and he refers to children, senior citizens and students, stay-at-home moms. There's a number. And he does so on the basis that these are categories of individuals who their primary form of compensation, damages recovery in injury claims is often general damages. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes. MR. FELTHAM: Q. So, if we cap those at a low level of say \$5,000 - JUSTICE WELLS: A. Now, I'm assuming that the Board has Justice Hickman's, retired Justice Hickman's letter, and I take no issue with that. One thing that stands out to me in the letter is that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	It's a done deal. The only persons who can change the result of a trial judge's decision are the Courts of Appeal and the Supreme Court of Canada and after that, you can't go any further. MR. FELTHAM: Q. Okay, Justice Wells, I don't have any further questions for you but some of the other parties might or the Board might. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes. Before I take questions, I would like to make one or two comments directly to the Board, if I may? MR. FELTHAM: Q. Sure. JUSTICE WELLS: A. The matter of courts deciding important things for individuals, for corporations, for all of us didn't come easily. It started to develop in probably the 13th and 14th centuries in England under our system and it started, interestingly enough, when

1	nber 27, 2018		2017 Automobile Insurance Review
I	Page 125		Page 127
1	established their right to make decisions,	1	notice of a Crown proceedings act or Crown
2	the kings sat at first on disputes. But	2	liability act. So, there was a case where
3	when it was discovered, and fairly quickly I	3	the jurisdiction of the Court was increased
4	suspect, that the king couldn't hear	4	in my time, let alone 400 years ago.
5	everything, the king began to appoint people	5	So, it's been a steady process and I
6	to hear in his stead and that's where the	6	guess I, when I hear of something like a
7	Court of Queen's Bench or King or Queen's	7	cap, I, as a former lawyer and a former
8	Bench judges came from and that's our	8	judge, don't want to see things taken away
9	Supreme Court Trial Division and that's the	9	from the Court where that's where they get
10	High Court in England, which like ourselves	10	the full treatment and judgments from the
11	at the Trial Division, they're courts of	11	Court are what guides the people who settle,
12	unlimited jurisdiction. We're not set up by	12	which are the vast majority, out of Court.
13	statute but by long usage under which the	13	So, there's an ancient expression when
14	law has been developed by the Queen's Bench,	14	navigators used to steer by the stars, the
15	Supreme Courts, Courts of Appeal and the	15	North Star in this hemisphere and the
16	Supreme Court of Canada. It has a long	16	Southern Cross and the expression goes that
17	history of establishing itself as a court,	17	the stars they steer by. So, decisions of
18	but it didn't establish itself as a court	18	the Court are the stars that people who try
19	with no limit on jurisdiction all of a	19	to settle things and want to settle things
20	sudden. This thing grew.	20	steer by. And changes have occurred for the
21	When I was called to the Bar here, we	21	better just in my own time.
22	didn't have a Crown Proceedings Act and I	22	So, when somebody talks about taking
23	was a lawyer in the attorney general's	23	something away from the Court and putting an
24	department and matters often were referred	24	arbitrary cap on it, no matter what the cap
25	to me where there would have been a claim	25	is, it – it doesn't seem right to me because
	Page 126		Page 128
	- 1.51 1		
1	against the Crown, but no, we had no Crown	1	
$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$	against the Crown, but no, we had no Crown Proceedings Act. You couldn't sue the Crown	1 2	the Court is the organ that can give the
2	against the Crown, but no, we had no Crown Proceedings Act. You couldn't sue the Crown no matter what.	2	the Court is the organ that can give the full treatment and its decisions guide what
1	Proceedings Act. You couldn't sue the Crown no matter what.	2 3	the Court is the organ that can give the full treatment and its decisions guide what happens in settlements. So, that's my
2 3 4	Proceedings Act. You couldn't sue the Crown no matter what. (3:45 p.m.)	2 3 4	the Court is the organ that can give the full treatment and its decisions guide what happens in settlements. So, that's my feeling.
2 3 4 5	Proceedings Act. You couldn't sue the Crown no matter what. (3:45 p.m.) So, sometimes the Crown would make what	2 3 4 5	the Court is the organ that can give the full treatment and its decisions guide what happens in settlements. So, that's my feeling. Now, I don't represent anybody here
2 3 4	Proceedings Act. You couldn't sue the Crown no matter what. (3:45 p.m.) So, sometimes the Crown would make what they called an ex gratia payment in lieu of	2 3 4 5 6	the Court is the organ that can give the full treatment and its decisions guide what happens in settlements. So, that's my feeling. Now, I don't represent anybody here today. I come as an interested citizen.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Proceedings Act. You couldn't sue the Crown no matter what. (3:45 p.m.) So, sometimes the Crown would make what	2 3 4 5 6 7	the Court is the organ that can give the full treatment and its decisions guide what happens in settlements. So, that's my feeling. Now, I don't represent anybody here today. I come as an interested citizen. How did I get here? I know, of course, a
2 3 4 5 6	Proceedings Act. You couldn't sue the Crown no matter what. (3:45 p.m.) So, sometimes the Crown would make what they called an ex gratia payment in lieu of whatever right the individual might have. Sometimes not. And I saw cases which I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the Court is the organ that can give the full treatment and its decisions guide what happens in settlements. So, that's my feeling. Now, I don't represent anybody here today. I come as an interested citizen. How did I get here? I know, of course, a lot of the more senior lawyers and a lawyer
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Proceedings Act. You couldn't sue the Crown no matter what. (3:45 p.m.) So, sometimes the Crown would make what they called an ex gratia payment in lieu of whatever right the individual might have. Sometimes not. And I saw cases which I thought, as a member of the Bar, were	2 3 4 5 6 7	the Court is the organ that can give the full treatment and its decisions guide what happens in settlements. So, that's my feeling. Now, I don't represent anybody here today. I come as an interested citizen. How did I get here? I know, of course, a lot of the more senior lawyers and a lawyer named Jim Hughes Q.C. and I were having a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Proceedings Act. You couldn't sue the Crown no matter what. (3:45 p.m.) So, sometimes the Crown would make what they called an ex gratia payment in lieu of whatever right the individual might have. Sometimes not. And I saw cases which I thought, as a member of the Bar, were absolutely unjust, where the Crown said "no,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the Court is the organ that can give the full treatment and its decisions guide what happens in settlements. So, that's my feeling. Now, I don't represent anybody here today. I come as an interested citizen. How did I get here? I know, of course, a lot of the more senior lawyers and a lawyer named Jim Hughes Q.C. and I were having a conversation once about something else and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Proceedings Act. You couldn't sue the Crown no matter what. (3:45 p.m.) So, sometimes the Crown would make what they called an ex gratia payment in lieu of whatever right the individual might have. Sometimes not. And I saw cases which I thought, as a member of the Bar, were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the Court is the organ that can give the full treatment and its decisions guide what happens in settlements. So, that's my feeling. Now, I don't represent anybody here today. I come as an interested citizen. How did I get here? I know, of course, a lot of the more senior lawyers and a lawyer named Jim Hughes Q.C. and I were having a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Proceedings Act. You couldn't sue the Crown no matter what. (3:45 p.m.) So, sometimes the Crown would make what they called an ex gratia payment in lieu of whatever right the individual might have. Sometimes not. And I saw cases which I thought, as a member of the Bar, were absolutely unjust, where the Crown said "no, we're not going to compensate".	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the Court is the organ that can give the full treatment and its decisions guide what happens in settlements. So, that's my feeling. Now, I don't represent anybody here today. I come as an interested citizen. How did I get here? I know, of course, a lot of the more senior lawyers and a lawyer named Jim Hughes Q.C. and I were having a conversation once about something else and he mentioned the cap and we chatted about it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Proceedings Act. You couldn't sue the Crown no matter what. (3:45 p.m.) So, sometimes the Crown would make what they called an ex gratia payment in lieu of whatever right the individual might have. Sometimes not. And I saw cases which I thought, as a member of the Bar, were absolutely unjust, where the Crown said "no, we're not going to compensate". So, I got a bee in my bonnet there and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the Court is the organ that can give the full treatment and its decisions guide what happens in settlements. So, that's my feeling. Now, I don't represent anybody here today. I come as an interested citizen. How did I get here? I know, of course, a lot of the more senior lawyers and a lawyer named Jim Hughes Q.C. and I were having a conversation once about something else and he mentioned the cap and we chatted about it and I told him how I felt, just as a retired
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Proceedings Act. You couldn't sue the Crown no matter what. (3:45 p.m.) So, sometimes the Crown would make what they called an ex gratia payment in lieu of whatever right the individual might have. Sometimes not. And I saw cases which I thought, as a member of the Bar, were absolutely unjust, where the Crown said "no, we're not going to compensate". So, I got a bee in my bonnet there and I wasn't as calm as I am now years ago, so I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the Court is the organ that can give the full treatment and its decisions guide what happens in settlements. So, that's my feeling. Now, I don't represent anybody here today. I come as an interested citizen. How did I get here? I know, of course, a lot of the more senior lawyers and a lawyer named Jim Hughes Q.C. and I were having a conversation once about something else and he mentioned the cap and we chatted about it and I told him how I felt, just as a retired individual and a retired judge, and he said
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Proceedings Act. You couldn't sue the Crown no matter what. (3:45 p.m.) So, sometimes the Crown would make what they called an ex gratia payment in lieu of whatever right the individual might have. Sometimes not. And I saw cases which I thought, as a member of the Bar, were absolutely unjust, where the Crown said "no, we're not going to compensate". So, I got a bee in my bonnet there and I wasn't as calm as I am now years ago, so I was elected to the House of Assembly in 1972	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the Court is the organ that can give the full treatment and its decisions guide what happens in settlements. So, that's my feeling. Now, I don't represent anybody here today. I come as an interested citizen. How did I get here? I know, of course, a lot of the more senior lawyers and a lawyer named Jim Hughes Q.C. and I were having a conversation once about something else and he mentioned the cap and we chatted about it and I told him how I felt, just as a retired individual and a retired judge, and he said "well, maybe you could speak to the Public
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Proceedings Act. You couldn't sue the Crown no matter what. (3:45 p.m.) So, sometimes the Crown would make what they called an ex gratia payment in lieu of whatever right the individual might have. Sometimes not. And I saw cases which I thought, as a member of the Bar, were absolutely unjust, where the Crown said "no, we're not going to compensate". So, I got a bee in my bonnet there and I wasn't as calm as I am now years ago, so I was elected to the House of Assembly in 1972 and I made almost got to say a lot of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the Court is the organ that can give the full treatment and its decisions guide what happens in settlements. So, that's my feeling. Now, I don't represent anybody here today. I come as an interested citizen. How did I get here? I know, of course, a lot of the more senior lawyers and a lawyer named Jim Hughes Q.C. and I were having a conversation once about something else and he mentioned the cap and we chatted about it and I told him how I felt, just as a retired individual and a retired judge, and he said "well, maybe you could speak to the Public Utilities Board" and I said I'd have no
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Proceedings Act. You couldn't sue the Crown no matter what. (3:45 p.m.) So, sometimes the Crown would make what they called an ex gratia payment in lieu of whatever right the individual might have. Sometimes not. And I saw cases which I thought, as a member of the Bar, were absolutely unjust, where the Crown said "no, we're not going to compensate". So, I got a bee in my bonnet there and I wasn't as calm as I am now years ago, so I was elected to the House of Assembly in 1972 and I made almost got to say a lot of speeches. I made my share. And every time	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the Court is the organ that can give the full treatment and its decisions guide what happens in settlements. So, that's my feeling. Now, I don't represent anybody here today. I come as an interested citizen. How did I get here? I know, of course, a lot of the more senior lawyers and a lawyer named Jim Hughes Q.C. and I were having a conversation once about something else and he mentioned the cap and we chatted about it and I told him how I felt, just as a retired individual and a retired judge, and he said "well, maybe you could speak to the Public Utilities Board" and I said I'd have no objection. So, I'm here as a citizen, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Proceedings Act. You couldn't sue the Crown no matter what. (3:45 p.m.) So, sometimes the Crown would make what they called an ex gratia payment in lieu of whatever right the individual might have. Sometimes not. And I saw cases which I thought, as a member of the Bar, were absolutely unjust, where the Crown said "no, we're not going to compensate". So, I got a bee in my bonnet there and I wasn't as calm as I am now years ago, so I was elected to the House of Assembly in 1972 and I made almost got to say a lot of speeches. I made my share. And every time that I spoke, I said we should have a Crown	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the Court is the organ that can give the full treatment and its decisions guide what happens in settlements. So, that's my feeling. Now, I don't represent anybody here today. I come as an interested citizen. How did I get here? I know, of course, a lot of the more senior lawyers and a lawyer named Jim Hughes Q.C. and I were having a conversation once about something else and he mentioned the cap and we chatted about it and I told him how I felt, just as a retired individual and a retired judge, and he said "well, maybe you could speak to the Public Utilities Board" and I said I'd have no objection. So, I'm here as a citizen, I suppose, now but I certainly don't represent
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Proceedings Act. You couldn't sue the Crown no matter what. (3:45 p.m.) So, sometimes the Crown would make what they called an ex gratia payment in lieu of whatever right the individual might have. Sometimes not. And I saw cases which I thought, as a member of the Bar, were absolutely unjust, where the Crown said "no, we're not going to compensate". So, I got a bee in my bonnet there and I wasn't as calm as I am now years ago, so I was elected to the House of Assembly in 1972 and I made almost got to say a lot of speeches. I made my share. And every time that I spoke, I said we should have a Crown liability act or a Crown proceedings act in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the Court is the organ that can give the full treatment and its decisions guide what happens in settlements. So, that's my feeling. Now, I don't represent anybody here today. I come as an interested citizen. How did I get here? I know, of course, a lot of the more senior lawyers and a lawyer named Jim Hughes Q.C. and I were having a conversation once about something else and he mentioned the cap and we chatted about it and I told him how I felt, just as a retired individual and a retired judge, and he said "well, maybe you could speak to the Public Utilities Board" and I said I'd have no objection. So, I'm here as a citizen, I suppose, now but I certainly don't represent anybody.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Proceedings Act. You couldn't sue the Crown no matter what. (3:45 p.m.) So, sometimes the Crown would make what they called an ex gratia payment in lieu of whatever right the individual might have. Sometimes not. And I saw cases which I thought, as a member of the Bar, were absolutely unjust, where the Crown said "no, we're not going to compensate". So, I got a bee in my bonnet there and I wasn't as calm as I am now years ago, so I was elected to the House of Assembly in 1972 and I made almost got to say a lot of speeches. I made my share. And every time that I spoke, I said we should have a Crown liability act or a Crown proceedings act in Newfoundland because we haven't got one, but	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the Court is the organ that can give the full treatment and its decisions guide what happens in settlements. So, that's my feeling. Now, I don't represent anybody here today. I come as an interested citizen. How did I get here? I know, of course, a lot of the more senior lawyers and a lawyer named Jim Hughes Q.C. and I were having a conversation once about something else and he mentioned the cap and we chatted about it and I told him how I felt, just as a retired individual and a retired judge, and he said "well, maybe you could speak to the Public Utilities Board" and I said I'd have no objection. So, I'm here as a citizen, I suppose, now but I certainly don't represent anybody. And if you're read my CV, on a couple
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Proceedings Act. You couldn't sue the Crown no matter what. (3:45 p.m.) So, sometimes the Crown would make what they called an ex gratia payment in lieu of whatever right the individual might have. Sometimes not. And I saw cases which I thought, as a member of the Bar, were absolutely unjust, where the Crown said "no, we're not going to compensate". So, I got a bee in my bonnet there and I wasn't as calm as I am now years ago, so I was elected to the House of Assembly in 1972 and I made almost got to say a lot of speeches. I made my share. And every time that I spoke, I said we should have a Crown liability act or a Crown proceedings act in Newfoundland because we haven't got one, but other places have. And I made the speech so	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the Court is the organ that can give the full treatment and its decisions guide what happens in settlements. So, that's my feeling. Now, I don't represent anybody here today. I come as an interested citizen. How did I get here? I know, of course, a lot of the more senior lawyers and a lawyer named Jim Hughes Q.C. and I were having a conversation once about something else and he mentioned the cap and we chatted about it and I told him how I felt, just as a retired individual and a retired judge, and he said "well, maybe you could speak to the Public Utilities Board" and I said I'd have no objection. So, I'm here as a citizen, I suppose, now but I certainly don't represent anybody. And if you're read my CV, on a couple of occasions I've represented not insurance
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Proceedings Act. You couldn't sue the Crown no matter what. (3:45 p.m.) So, sometimes the Crown would make what they called an ex gratia payment in lieu of whatever right the individual might have. Sometimes not. And I saw cases which I thought, as a member of the Bar, were absolutely unjust, where the Crown said "no, we're not going to compensate". So, I got a bee in my bonnet there and I wasn't as calm as I am now years ago, so I was elected to the House of Assembly in 1972 and I made almost got to say a lot of speeches. I made my share. And every time that I spoke, I said we should have a Crown liability act or a Crown proceedings act in Newfoundland because we haven't got one, but other places have. And I made the speech so often, I got a few sour looks, not everybody agreed, but after hearing me on about this for some months, one day on the order paper	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the Court is the organ that can give the full treatment and its decisions guide what happens in settlements. So, that's my feeling. Now, I don't represent anybody here today. I come as an interested citizen. How did I get here? I know, of course, a lot of the more senior lawyers and a lawyer named Jim Hughes Q.C. and I were having a conversation once about something else and he mentioned the cap and we chatted about it and I told him how I felt, just as a retired individual and a retired judge, and he said "well, maybe you could speak to the Public Utilities Board" and I said I'd have no objection. So, I'm here as a citizen, I suppose, now but I certainly don't represent anybody. And if you're read my CV, on a couple of occasions I've represented not insurance companies, but the insurance industry or part of it nationally in their ombuds program. So, although I acted for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Proceedings Act. You couldn't sue the Crown no matter what. (3:45 p.m.) So, sometimes the Crown would make what they called an ex gratia payment in lieu of whatever right the individual might have. Sometimes not. And I saw cases which I thought, as a member of the Bar, were absolutely unjust, where the Crown said "no, we're not going to compensate". So, I got a bee in my bonnet there and I wasn't as calm as I am now years ago, so I was elected to the House of Assembly in 1972 and I made almost got to say a lot of speeches. I made my share. And every time that I spoke, I said we should have a Crown liability act or a Crown proceedings act in Newfoundland because we haven't got one, but other places have. And I made the speech so often, I got a few sour looks, not everybody agreed, but after hearing me on about this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the Court is the organ that can give the full treatment and its decisions guide what happens in settlements. So, that's my feeling. Now, I don't represent anybody here today. I come as an interested citizen. How did I get here? I know, of course, a lot of the more senior lawyers and a lawyer named Jim Hughes Q.C. and I were having a conversation once about something else and he mentioned the cap and we chatted about it and I told him how I felt, just as a retired individual and a retired judge, and he said "well, maybe you could speak to the Public Utilities Board" and I said I'd have no objection. So, I'm here as a citizen, I suppose, now but I certainly don't represent anybody. And if you're read my CV, on a couple of occasions I've represented not insurance companies, but the insurance industry or part of it nationally in their ombuds

	mber 27, 2018		201 / Automobile Insurance Review
	Page 129		Page 131
1	suppose, in the broadest sense, acted for	1	be swamped in no time and that's why I chose
2	both sides and I certainly have no bias	2	to speak about the influence of Court
3	against insurance companies. They fulfil a	3	decisions on out-of-court settlements rather
4	vital function.	4	than just the Court decisions because that's
5	It's the notion of a cap that bothers	5	where the rubber really hits the road, to
6	me or put it this way, if I ask myself if	6	use that expression, is outside of Court
7	you had an injury, a personal injury, be it	7	where lawyers for insurance companies and
8	small or great, would you rather have a	8	plaintiffs and you know, work on settlements
9	court which can get the full notion or would	9	and achieve settlements. I've seen it
10	you rather be governed by a cap, or if you	10	happen so often.
11	were going to settle, would you rather have	11	MR. GITTENS:
12	access and use Court decisions as a guide	12	Q. The second question I wanted to put to you
13	rather than a cap. So, there's where I come	13	was we've established that just a few of
14	from.	14	these cases end up in litigation and as
15	CHAIR:	15	compared to the total number that comes
16	Q. Thank you, sir. Are you open to taking some	16	before the Court. There is in the public's
17	questions from others in the room?	17	mind, I suggest, a belief that settlements,
18	JUSTICE WELLS:	18	Judge awards in personal injury, like in the
19	A. So, I don't know if there's anybody else has	19	US, is getting out of hand or has gotten out
20	any questions.	20	of hand. In reality, in the Canadian
20 21	CHAIR:	21	· ·
			jurisdictions, the Supreme Court of Canada
22	Q. Mr. Gittens, would you like to - MR. GITTENS:	22	put a cap itself, a limit on the total value
23		23	of even a paraplegic, a quadriplegic, of
24	Q. There's just three issues that I'd like to	24	around 300 to \$400,000, somewhere in that
25	put because we happen to have Justice	25	ballpark, and everything else is somewhat
			<u> </u>
	Page 130		Page 132
1	Wells here, I'd like not to lose the	1	Page 132 lower when we're talking about personal
2	Wells here, I'd like not to lose the opportunity to ask him these three	2	Page 132 lower when we're talking about personal injury, we're talking about damages. Do you
2 3	Wells here, I'd like not to lose the opportunity to ask him these three questions.	2 3	Page 132 lower when we're talking about personal injury, we're talking about damages. Do you – have you experienced people coming with
2 3 4	Wells here, I'd like not to lose the opportunity to ask him these three questions. JUSTICE WELLS:	2 3 4	Page 132 lower when we're talking about personal injury, we're talking about damages. Do you – have you experienced people coming with inflated ideas, either to trial or to
2 3 4 5	Wells here, I'd like not to lose the opportunity to ask him these three questions. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Have I got something that aids hearing?	2 3 4 5	Page 132 lower when we're talking about personal injury, we're talking about damages. Do you – have you experienced people coming with inflated ideas, either to trial or to settlement conferences, when you or the
2 3 4 5 6	Wells here, I'd like not to lose the opportunity to ask him these three questions. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Have I got something that aids hearing? MR. GITTENS:	2 3 4 5 6	Page 132 lower when we're talking about personal injury, we're talking about damages. Do you – have you experienced people coming with inflated ideas, either to trial or to settlement conferences, when you or the lawyers had to talk them back?
2 3 4 5 6 7	Wells here, I'd like not to lose the opportunity to ask him these three questions. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Have I got something that aids hearing? MR. GITTENS: Q. I'll speak up, Justice Wells.	2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 132 lower when we're talking about personal injury, we're talking about damages. Do you – have you experienced people coming with inflated ideas, either to trial or to settlement conferences, when you or the lawyers had to talk them back? JUSTICE WELLS:
2 3 4 5 6	Wells here, I'd like not to lose the opportunity to ask him these three questions. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Have I got something that aids hearing? MR. GITTENS: Q. I'll speak up, Justice Wells. JUSTICE WELLS:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 132 lower when we're talking about personal injury, we're talking about damages. Do you – have you experienced people coming with inflated ideas, either to trial or to settlement conferences, when you or the lawyers had to talk them back? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes, I have experienced that. I mean, who
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Wells here, I'd like not to lose the opportunity to ask him these three questions. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Have I got something that aids hearing? MR. GITTENS: Q. I'll speak up, Justice Wells. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Speak up, yes. I have a hearing aid in but	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 132 lower when we're talking about personal injury, we're talking about damages. Do you – have you experienced people coming with inflated ideas, either to trial or to settlement conferences, when you or the lawyers had to talk them back? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes, I have experienced that. I mean, who knows what the general public thinks or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Wells here, I'd like not to lose the opportunity to ask him these three questions. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Have I got something that aids hearing? MR. GITTENS: Q. I'll speak up, Justice Wells. JUSTICE WELLS:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 132 lower when we're talking about personal injury, we're talking about damages. Do you – have you experienced people coming with inflated ideas, either to trial or to settlement conferences, when you or the lawyers had to talk them back? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes, I have experienced that. I mean, who
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Wells here, I'd like not to lose the opportunity to ask him these three questions. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Have I got something that aids hearing? MR. GITTENS: Q. I'll speak up, Justice Wells. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Speak up, yes. I have a hearing aid in but I'll listen intentively. MR. GITTENS:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 132 lower when we're talking about personal injury, we're talking about damages. Do you – have you experienced people coming with inflated ideas, either to trial or to settlement conferences, when you or the lawyers had to talk them back? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes, I have experienced that. I mean, who knows what the general public thinks or where it gets its opinions, but obviously the media report huge settlements in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Wells here, I'd like not to lose the opportunity to ask him these three questions. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Have I got something that aids hearing? MR. GITTENS: Q. I'll speak up, Justice Wells. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Speak up, yes. I have a hearing aid in but I'll listen intentively. MR. GITTENS: Q. Not a problem. Okay. We've mentioned on a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 132 lower when we're talking about personal injury, we're talking about damages. Do you – have you experienced people coming with inflated ideas, either to trial or to settlement conferences, when you or the lawyers had to talk them back? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes, I have experienced that. I mean, who knows what the general public thinks or where it gets its opinions, but obviously the media report huge settlements in the millions for things in the US particularly
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Wells here, I'd like not to lose the opportunity to ask him these three questions. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Have I got something that aids hearing? MR. GITTENS: Q. I'll speak up, Justice Wells. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Speak up, yes. I have a hearing aid in but I'll listen intentively. MR. GITTENS:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 132 lower when we're talking about personal injury, we're talking about damages. Do you – have you experienced people coming with inflated ideas, either to trial or to settlement conferences, when you or the lawyers had to talk them back? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes, I have experienced that. I mean, who knows what the general public thinks or where it gets its opinions, but obviously the media report huge settlements in the millions for things in the US particularly and it's easy for a member of the public to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Wells here, I'd like not to lose the opportunity to ask him these three questions. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Have I got something that aids hearing? MR. GITTENS: Q. I'll speak up, Justice Wells. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Speak up, yes. I have a hearing aid in but I'll listen intentively. MR. GITTENS: Q. Not a problem. Okay. We've mentioned on a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	lower when we're talking about personal injury, we're talking about damages. Do you – have you experienced people coming with inflated ideas, either to trial or to settlement conferences, when you or the lawyers had to talk them back? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes, I have experienced that. I mean, who knows what the general public thinks or where it gets its opinions, but obviously the media report huge settlements in the millions for things in the US particularly and it's easy for a member of the public to have a very inflated idea of his or her
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Wells here, I'd like not to lose the opportunity to ask him these three questions. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Have I got something that aids hearing? MR. GITTENS: Q. I'll speak up, Justice Wells. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Speak up, yes. I have a hearing aid in but I'll listen intentively. MR. GITTENS: Q. Not a problem. Okay. We've mentioned on a couple of occasions the extreme cost of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 132 lower when we're talking about personal injury, we're talking about damages. Do you – have you experienced people coming with inflated ideas, either to trial or to settlement conferences, when you or the lawyers had to talk them back? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes, I have experienced that. I mean, who knows what the general public thinks or where it gets its opinions, but obviously the media report huge settlements in the millions for things in the US particularly and it's easy for a member of the public to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Wells here, I'd like not to lose the opportunity to ask him these three questions. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Have I got something that aids hearing? MR. GITTENS: Q. I'll speak up, Justice Wells. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Speak up, yes. I have a hearing aid in but I'll listen intentively. MR. GITTENS: Q. Not a problem. Okay. We've mentioned on a couple of occasions the extreme cost of taking matters to Court, but I want to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	lower when we're talking about personal injury, we're talking about damages. Do you – have you experienced people coming with inflated ideas, either to trial or to settlement conferences, when you or the lawyers had to talk them back? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes, I have experienced that. I mean, who knows what the general public thinks or where it gets its opinions, but obviously the media report huge settlements in the millions for things in the US particularly and it's easy for a member of the public to have a very inflated idea of his or her
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Wells here, I'd like not to lose the opportunity to ask him these three questions. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Have I got something that aids hearing? MR. GITTENS: Q. I'll speak up, Justice Wells. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Speak up, yes. I have a hearing aid in but I'll listen intentively. MR. GITTENS: Q. Not a problem. Okay. We've mentioned on a couple of occasions the extreme cost of taking matters to Court, but I want to suggest to you the reality is – and I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	lower when we're talking about personal injury, we're talking about damages. Do you – have you experienced people coming with inflated ideas, either to trial or to settlement conferences, when you or the lawyers had to talk them back? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes, I have experienced that. I mean, who knows what the general public thinks or where it gets its opinions, but obviously the media report huge settlements in the millions for things in the US particularly and it's easy for a member of the public to have a very inflated idea of his or her entitlement in a Court. So, I have myself
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Wells here, I'd like not to lose the opportunity to ask him these three questions. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Have I got something that aids hearing? MR. GITTENS: Q. I'll speak up, Justice Wells. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Speak up, yes. I have a hearing aid in but I'll listen intentively. MR. GITTENS: Q. Not a problem. Okay. We've mentioned on a couple of occasions the extreme cost of taking matters to Court, but I want to suggest to you the reality is – and I'm going to put a figure on it, you can agree	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	lower when we're talking about personal injury, we're talking about damages. Do you – have you experienced people coming with inflated ideas, either to trial or to settlement conferences, when you or the lawyers had to talk them back? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes, I have experienced that. I mean, who knows what the general public thinks or where it gets its opinions, but obviously the media report huge settlements in the millions for things in the US particularly and it's easy for a member of the public to have a very inflated idea of his or her entitlement in a Court. So, I have myself observed people whose, you know, idea of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Wells here, I'd like not to lose the opportunity to ask him these three questions. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Have I got something that aids hearing? MR. GITTENS: Q. I'll speak up, Justice Wells. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Speak up, yes. I have a hearing aid in but I'll listen intentively. MR. GITTENS: Q. Not a problem. Okay. We've mentioned on a couple of occasions the extreme cost of taking matters to Court, but I want to suggest to you the reality is – and I'm going to put a figure on it, you can agree or disagree, but if you have a sense you can	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 132 lower when we're talking about personal injury, we're talking about damages. Do you – have you experienced people coming with inflated ideas, either to trial or to settlement conferences, when you or the lawyers had to talk them back? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes, I have experienced that. I mean, who knows what the general public thinks or where it gets its opinions, but obviously the media report huge settlements in the millions for things in the US particularly and it's easy for a member of the public to have a very inflated idea of his or her entitlement in a Court. So, I have myself observed people whose, you know, idea of what they ought to be recompensed or paid as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Wells here, I'd like not to lose the opportunity to ask him these three questions. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Have I got something that aids hearing? MR. GITTENS: Q. I'll speak up, Justice Wells. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Speak up, yes. I have a hearing aid in but I'll listen intentively. MR. GITTENS: Q. Not a problem. Okay. We've mentioned on a couple of occasions the extreme cost of taking matters to Court, but I want to suggest to you the reality is – and I'm going to put a figure on it, you can agree or disagree, but if you have a sense you can tell the Board, please. My understanding is less than two percent of the matters that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	lower when we're talking about personal injury, we're talking about damages. Do you – have you experienced people coming with inflated ideas, either to trial or to settlement conferences, when you or the lawyers had to talk them back? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes, I have experienced that. I mean, who knows what the general public thinks or where it gets its opinions, but obviously the media report huge settlements in the millions for things in the US particularly and it's easy for a member of the public to have a very inflated idea of his or her entitlement in a Court. So, I have myself observed people whose, you know, idea of what they ought to be recompensed or paid as a result of injuries is as high as five or six times, perhaps more than they would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Wells here, I'd like not to lose the opportunity to ask him these three questions. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Have I got something that aids hearing? MR. GITTENS: Q. I'll speak up, Justice Wells. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Speak up, yes. I have a hearing aid in but I'll listen intentively. MR. GITTENS: Q. Not a problem. Okay. We've mentioned on a couple of occasions the extreme cost of taking matters to Court, but I want to suggest to you the reality is – and I'm going to put a figure on it, you can agree or disagree, but if you have a sense you can tell the Board, please. My understanding is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	lower when we're talking about personal injury, we're talking about damages. Do you – have you experienced people coming with inflated ideas, either to trial or to settlement conferences, when you or the lawyers had to talk them back? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes, I have experienced that. I mean, who knows what the general public thinks or where it gets its opinions, but obviously the media report huge settlements in the millions for things in the US particularly and it's easy for a member of the public to have a very inflated idea of his or her entitlement in a Court. So, I have myself observed people whose, you know, idea of what they ought to be recompensed or paid as a result of injuries is as high as five or six times, perhaps more than they would actually get at a trial. In other words,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Wells here, I'd like not to lose the opportunity to ask him these three questions. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Have I got something that aids hearing? MR. GITTENS: Q. I'll speak up, Justice Wells. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Speak up, yes. I have a hearing aid in but I'll listen intentively. MR. GITTENS: Q. Not a problem. Okay. We've mentioned on a couple of occasions the extreme cost of taking matters to Court, but I want to suggest to you the reality is – and I'm going to put a figure on it, you can agree or disagree, but if you have a sense you can tell the Board, please. My understanding is less than two percent of the matters that are filed in the Court ever get to a trial. JUSTICE WELLS:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	lower when we're talking about personal injury, we're talking about damages. Do you – have you experienced people coming with inflated ideas, either to trial or to settlement conferences, when you or the lawyers had to talk them back? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes, I have experienced that. I mean, who knows what the general public thinks or where it gets its opinions, but obviously the media report huge settlements in the millions for things in the US particularly and it's easy for a member of the public to have a very inflated idea of his or her entitlement in a Court. So, I have myself observed people whose, you know, idea of what they ought to be recompensed or paid as a result of injuries is as high as five or six times, perhaps more than they would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Wells here, I'd like not to lose the opportunity to ask him these three questions. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Have I got something that aids hearing? MR. GITTENS: Q. I'll speak up, Justice Wells. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Speak up, yes. I have a hearing aid in but I'll listen intentively. MR. GITTENS: Q. Not a problem. Okay. We've mentioned on a couple of occasions the extreme cost of taking matters to Court, but I want to suggest to you the reality is – and I'm going to put a figure on it, you can agree or disagree, but if you have a sense you can tell the Board, please. My understanding is less than two percent of the matters that are filed in the Court ever get to a trial. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Personal injury matters, I would say you're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	lower when we're talking about personal injury, we're talking about damages. Do you – have you experienced people coming with inflated ideas, either to trial or to settlement conferences, when you or the lawyers had to talk them back? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes, I have experienced that. I mean, who knows what the general public thinks or where it gets its opinions, but obviously the media report huge settlements in the millions for things in the US particularly and it's easy for a member of the public to have a very inflated idea of his or her entitlement in a Court. So, I have myself observed people whose, you know, idea of what they ought to be recompensed or paid as a result of injuries is as high as five or six times, perhaps more than they would actually get at a trial. In other words, outside the range. MR. GITTENS:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Wells here, I'd like not to lose the opportunity to ask him these three questions. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Have I got something that aids hearing? MR. GITTENS: Q. I'll speak up, Justice Wells. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Speak up, yes. I have a hearing aid in but I'll listen intentively. MR. GITTENS: Q. Not a problem. Okay. We've mentioned on a couple of occasions the extreme cost of taking matters to Court, but I want to suggest to you the reality is – and I'm going to put a figure on it, you can agree or disagree, but if you have a sense you can tell the Board, please. My understanding is less than two percent of the matters that are filed in the Court ever get to a trial. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Personal injury matters, I would say you're correct. I never thought of a particular	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	lower when we're talking about personal injury, we're talking about damages. Do you – have you experienced people coming with inflated ideas, either to trial or to settlement conferences, when you or the lawyers had to talk them back? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes, I have experienced that. I mean, who knows what the general public thinks or where it gets its opinions, but obviously the media report huge settlements in the millions for things in the US particularly and it's easy for a member of the public to have a very inflated idea of his or her entitlement in a Court. So, I have myself observed people whose, you know, idea of what they ought to be recompensed or paid as a result of injuries is as high as five or six times, perhaps more than they would actually get at a trial. In other words, outside the range.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Wells here, I'd like not to lose the opportunity to ask him these three questions. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Have I got something that aids hearing? MR. GITTENS: Q. I'll speak up, Justice Wells. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Speak up, yes. I have a hearing aid in but I'll listen intentively. MR. GITTENS: Q. Not a problem. Okay. We've mentioned on a couple of occasions the extreme cost of taking matters to Court, but I want to suggest to you the reality is – and I'm going to put a figure on it, you can agree or disagree, but if you have a sense you can tell the Board, please. My understanding is less than two percent of the matters that are filed in the Court ever get to a trial. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Personal injury matters, I would say you're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	lower when we're talking about personal injury, we're talking about damages. Do you – have you experienced people coming with inflated ideas, either to trial or to settlement conferences, when you or the lawyers had to talk them back? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes, I have experienced that. I mean, who knows what the general public thinks or where it gets its opinions, but obviously the media report huge settlements in the millions for things in the US particularly and it's easy for a member of the public to have a very inflated idea of his or her entitlement in a Court. So, I have myself observed people whose, you know, idea of what they ought to be recompensed or paid as a result of injuries is as high as five or six times, perhaps more than they would actually get at a trial. In other words, outside the range. MR. GITTENS: Q. Okay.

Page 133 Page 135 MR. GITTENS: 1 issue of a statement of claim which brings 1 2 2 it within the ambit of the Court. So then, Q. Okay. The third question I have is in 3 relation to what I would call the 3 there will likely be a pre-trial conference 4 amalgamation of the cases that you've dealt 4 at which it would be decided, as I said 5 with and seen over the years, whether it be 5 earlier, whether there should be a 6 as a judge or as a mediator, you've 6 settlement conference or not. 7 mentioned that the insurance industry's 7 But once the insurance company's 8 representatives, whether it be the adjuster 8 lawyers are involved or at any level, the 9 or the lawyer acting for the insurance 9 insurance company is not forced to settle 10 industry -10 unless a Court says – makes a judgment of JUSTICE WELLS: some kind. They can say no at the outset. 11 11 12 A. Or the representative of the company. 12 They can say no when they get a statement of 13 MR. GITTENS: 13 claim. They can say no at the settlement 14 In Toronto or Montreal or wherever they 14 conference. They can say no at the 15 might be. 15 mediation MR. GITTENS: 16 JUSTICE WELLS: 16 Comes down very usually and is present. So, they are actively involved in that 17 17 18 MR. GITTENS: 18 process? JUSTICE WELLS: 19 They are all part of that negotiating 19 Q. 20 process where they also know what the range They are involved in the process and they 20 21 is for a particular type of injury and for 21 know perhaps – they know as well and perhaps 22 any of these settlements to have occurred, 22 better because they're dealing with it every they would have had to have participated and 23 day than lawyers sometimes for the 23 24 agreed to that amount of settlement? 24 plaintiff. But, that's what they do. So, 25 JUSTICE WELLS: 25 yes, there is no settlement without their Page 134 Page 136 1 A. Oh, absolutely. 1 agreement. 2 MR. GITTENS: 2 MR. GITTENS: 3 3 So, I would suggest to you with somewhat So, finally, I take it the essence of what Q. 4 incongruous of them to come forward later 4 you're putting before the Board today is a 5 5 and say "we feel that these settlements are fundamental principled objection or concern about the fact that the litigation process 6 too great for any particular type of injury 6 7 and it's causing us losses" where they have 7 which allows people who have minor injuries 8 participated themselves in the setting of 8 or whatever their beliefs might be an 9 9 that amount or whatever that damage claim opportunity to express themselves, get their 10 would be. Do you see anything incongruous 10 day in court, before resolving these issues? about that? 11 JUSTICE WELLS: 11 12 JUSTICE WELLS: 12 If it goes to a settlement conference, see A. plaintiffs themselves very often, usually Well, let me describe the process as I 13 13 A. 14 understand it. In some cases, it is the 14 perhaps I would say, want to be heard by a 15 15 judge. There is a difference between being claimant himself or herself who deals with 16 the insurance company's representative. 16 heard in a settlement conference and giving usually an adjuster, and they may settle the 17 sworn evidence in a courtroom, but to a lot 17 18 matter at that level. The individual may go 18 of plaintiffs, I think to most of them as to a lawyer and the lawyer will interact 19 19 individuals, the fact that they have their 20 with the lawyers for the insurance company 20 say and that a judge is there listening is 21 or issue a statement of claim and along the 21 important. If you think of it, you know, we 22 way that may be settled. That's how most of 22 all, when we're involved in something, 23 them were settled back in the '70s and '60s 23 especially as personal and immediate as 24 when I started doing this sort of work in 24 injury, we all want to have our say before a

25

the '60s. It may then be escalated by the

25

neutral person. If we think about it, any

September 27, 2018

1	nber 27, 2018		201 / Automobile Insurance Review
1 .	Page 137		Page 139
1	of us had an injury, would you rather have	1	MR. GILLINGHAM:
2	your say before a judge, either in a	2	Q. Justice Wells, you touched on the advantages
3	courtroom or a settlement conference room,	3	of going to trial and I guess giving people
4	or would you rather have somebody say to you	4	an opportunity to have their say in Court
5	"well, there's no point in you saying	5	and to sort of add to the level of judicial
6	anything because in your type of injury,	6	precedent that we have. You also have the
7	there's a cap". Well, I know where I'd	7	unique experience of having a mediation and
8	rather be and I think I know where most	8	arbitration practice after your departure
9	people would rather be, getting the	9	from the Bench. What would be the relative
10	treatment – I don't mean to pun here, but	10	advantages of a mediation? Why do parties
11	getting the treatment from the system of a	11	come to you looking for mediation generally?
12	specific look at their injuries and what the	12	JUSTICE WELLS:
13	result of their injuries is or will likely	13	A. They would come, in my experience, on the
14	be and have a decision made or an agreement	14	recommendations of their lawyer and the
15	come to on that basis rather than a cap on	15	agreement of the lawyer for the insurance
16	any stage of the proceedings. That's my	16	company. So, both sides have to agree to a
17	view. Now, you may not be surprised I've 59	17	mediation and both sides have to agree to a
18	years this fall as lawyer and judge and I	18	settlement conference, although there is a
19	guess that's the way I think.	19	caveat on that because the first line judge
20	MR. GITTENS:	20	in the judicial system would be the one to
21	Q. Thank you, Justice Wells. Thank you, Madam	21	order a settlement conference. But if one
22	Chair.	22	side didn't want it, I don't think they'd be
23	(4:00 p.m.)	23	even there.
24	CHAIR:	24	MR. GILLINGHAM:
25	Q. Thank you, Mr. Gittens. Mr. Fraize?	25	Q. Right. And so it's a consensual process
	Page 138		D 140
1	1 uge 190		Page 140
1	FRAIZE, Q.C.:	1	between both parties? There's a benefit, I
1 2	<u> </u>	1 2	=
1	FRAIZE, Q.C.:		between both parties? There's a benefit, I
2	FRAIZE, Q.C.: Q. No questions.	2	between both parties? There's a benefit, I suppose, to both parties in coming to a
2 3	FRAIZE, Q.C.: Q. No questions. CHAIR:	2 3	between both parties? There's a benefit, I suppose, to both parties in coming to a mediation?
2 3 4	FRAIZE, Q.C.: Q. No questions. CHAIR: Q. Thank you. Mr. Gillingham?	2 3 4	between both parties? There's a benefit, I suppose, to both parties in coming to a mediation? JUSTICE WELLS:
2 3 4 5	FRAIZE, Q.C.: Q. No questions. CHAIR: Q. Thank you. Mr. Gillingham? MR. GILLINGHAM:	2 3 4 5	between both parties? There's a benefit, I suppose, to both parties in coming to a mediation? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes, it's the settlement conference or the
2 3 4 5 6	FRAIZE, Q.C.: Q. No questions. CHAIR: Q. Thank you. Mr. Gillingham? MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Thank you, Justice Wells. Just to introduce	2 3 4 5 6	between both parties? There's a benefit, I suppose, to both parties in coming to a mediation? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes, it's the settlement conference or the mediation and you know, settlement
2 3 4 5 6 7	FRAIZE, Q.C.: Q. No questions. CHAIR: Q. Thank you. Mr. Gillingham? MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Thank you, Justice Wells. Just to introduce myself, my name is Michael Gillingham. I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7	between both parties? There's a benefit, I suppose, to both parties in coming to a mediation? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes, it's the settlement conference or the mediation and you know, settlement conferences have, in my experience anyway
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	FRAIZE, Q.C.: Q. No questions. CHAIR: Q. Thank you. Mr. Gillingham? MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Thank you, Justice Wells. Just to introduce myself, my name is Michael Gillingham. I'm here for the Insurance Bureau of Canada. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	between both parties? There's a benefit, I suppose, to both parties in coming to a mediation? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes, it's the settlement conference or the mediation and you know, settlement conferences have, in my experience anyway and I was doing a lot of them for the last six years that I was on the Bench, I think we were running at between 85 and 90 percent
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	FRAIZE, Q.C.: Q. No questions. CHAIR: Q. Thank you. Mr. Gillingham? MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Thank you, Justice Wells. Just to introduce myself, my name is Michael Gillingham. I'm here for the Insurance Bureau of Canada. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes. MR. GILLINGHAM:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	between both parties? There's a benefit, I suppose, to both parties in coming to a mediation? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes, it's the settlement conference or the mediation and you know, settlement conferences have, in my experience anyway and I was doing a lot of them for the last six years that I was on the Bench, I think we were running at between 85 and 90 percent success overall, but I could go as far as to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	FRAIZE, Q.C.: Q. No questions. CHAIR: Q. Thank you. Mr. Gillingham? MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Thank you, Justice Wells. Just to introduce myself, my name is Michael Gillingham. I'm here for the Insurance Bureau of Canada. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. So, I just have a couple of brief questions-	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	between both parties? There's a benefit, I suppose, to both parties in coming to a mediation? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes, it's the settlement conference or the mediation and you know, settlement conferences have, in my experience anyway and I was doing a lot of them for the last six years that I was on the Bench, I think we were running at between 85 and 90 percent success overall, but I could go as far as to estimate 90 percent or more in personal
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	FRAIZE, Q.C.: Q. No questions. CHAIR: Q. Thank you. Mr. Gillingham? MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Thank you, Justice Wells. Just to introduce myself, my name is Michael Gillingham. I'm here for the Insurance Bureau of Canada. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. So, I just have a couple of brief questions-JUSTICE WELLS:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	between both parties? There's a benefit, I suppose, to both parties in coming to a mediation? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes, it's the settlement conference or the mediation and you know, settlement conferences have, in my experience anyway and I was doing a lot of them for the last six years that I was on the Bench, I think we were running at between 85 and 90 percent success overall, but I could go as far as to estimate 90 percent or more in personal injury work as distinct from other sorts of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	FRAIZE, Q.C.: Q. No questions. CHAIR: Q. Thank you. Mr. Gillingham? MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Thank you, Justice Wells. Just to introduce myself, my name is Michael Gillingham. I'm here for the Insurance Bureau of Canada. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. So, I just have a couple of brief questions-JUSTICE WELLS: A. All right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	between both parties? There's a benefit, I suppose, to both parties in coming to a mediation? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes, it's the settlement conference or the mediation and you know, settlement conferences have, in my experience anyway and I was doing a lot of them for the last six years that I was on the Bench, I think we were running at between 85 and 90 percent success overall, but I could go as far as to estimate 90 percent or more in personal injury work as distinct from other sorts of cases. When it comes to mediation on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	FRAIZE, Q.C.: Q. No questions. CHAIR: Q. Thank you. Mr. Gillingham? MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Thank you, Justice Wells. Just to introduce myself, my name is Michael Gillingham. I'm here for the Insurance Bureau of Canada. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. So, I just have a couple of brief questions-JUSTICE WELLS: A. All right. MR. GILLINGHAM:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	between both parties? There's a benefit, I suppose, to both parties in coming to a mediation? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes, it's the settlement conference or the mediation and you know, settlement conferences have, in my experience anyway and I was doing a lot of them for the last six years that I was on the Bench, I think we were running at between 85 and 90 percent success overall, but I could go as far as to estimate 90 percent or more in personal injury work as distinct from other sorts of cases. When it comes to mediation on personal injury matters, the success rate,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	FRAIZE, Q.C.: Q. No questions. CHAIR: Q. Thank you. Mr. Gillingham? MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Thank you, Justice Wells. Just to introduce myself, my name is Michael Gillingham. I'm here for the Insurance Bureau of Canada. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. So, I just have a couple of brief questions-JUSTICE WELLS: A. All right. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q on some of the topics you've touched on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	between both parties? There's a benefit, I suppose, to both parties in coming to a mediation? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes, it's the settlement conference or the mediation and you know, settlement conferences have, in my experience anyway and I was doing a lot of them for the last six years that I was on the Bench, I think we were running at between 85 and 90 percent success overall, but I could go as far as to estimate 90 percent or more in personal injury work as distinct from other sorts of cases. When it comes to mediation on personal injury matters, the success rate, in my opinion, and in my experience and in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	FRAIZE, Q.C.: Q. No questions. CHAIR: Q. Thank you. Mr. Gillingham? MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Thank you, Justice Wells. Just to introduce myself, my name is Michael Gillingham. I'm here for the Insurance Bureau of Canada. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. So, I just have a couple of brief questions-JUSTICE WELLS: A. All right. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q on some of the topics you've touched on here today and if I'm not speaking up, let	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	between both parties? There's a benefit, I suppose, to both parties in coming to a mediation? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes, it's the settlement conference or the mediation and you know, settlement conferences have, in my experience anyway and I was doing a lot of them for the last six years that I was on the Bench, I think we were running at between 85 and 90 percent success overall, but I could go as far as to estimate 90 percent or more in personal injury work as distinct from other sorts of cases. When it comes to mediation on personal injury matters, the success rate, in my opinion, and in my experience and in my opinion, because there are lots of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	FRAIZE, Q.C.: Q. No questions. CHAIR: Q. Thank you. Mr. Gillingham? MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Thank you, Justice Wells. Just to introduce myself, my name is Michael Gillingham. I'm here for the Insurance Bureau of Canada. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. So, I just have a couple of brief questions-JUSTICE WELLS: A. All right. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q on some of the topics you've touched on here today and if I'm not speaking up, let me know. People tell me I speak too loud.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	between both parties? There's a benefit, I suppose, to both parties in coming to a mediation? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes, it's the settlement conference or the mediation and you know, settlement conferences have, in my experience anyway and I was doing a lot of them for the last six years that I was on the Bench, I think we were running at between 85 and 90 percent success overall, but I could go as far as to estimate 90 percent or more in personal injury work as distinct from other sorts of cases. When it comes to mediation on personal injury matters, the success rate, in my opinion, and in my experience and in my opinion, because there are lots of mediators – I don't know if there are lots,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	FRAIZE, Q.C.: Q. No questions. CHAIR: Q. Thank you. Mr. Gillingham? MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Thank you, Justice Wells. Just to introduce myself, my name is Michael Gillingham. I'm here for the Insurance Bureau of Canada. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. So, I just have a couple of brief questions-JUSTICE WELLS: A. All right. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q on some of the topics you've touched on here today and if I'm not speaking up, let me know. People tell me I speak too loud. JUSTICE WELLS:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	between both parties? There's a benefit, I suppose, to both parties in coming to a mediation? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes, it's the settlement conference or the mediation and you know, settlement conferences have, in my experience anyway and I was doing a lot of them for the last six years that I was on the Bench, I think we were running at between 85 and 90 percent success overall, but I could go as far as to estimate 90 percent or more in personal injury work as distinct from other sorts of cases. When it comes to mediation on personal injury matters, the success rate, in my opinion, and in my experience and in my opinion, because there are lots of mediators – I don't know if there are lots, but there are others anyway – is very, very
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	FRAIZE, Q.C.: Q. No questions. CHAIR: Q. Thank you. Mr. Gillingham? MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Thank you, Justice Wells. Just to introduce myself, my name is Michael Gillingham. I'm here for the Insurance Bureau of Canada. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. So, I just have a couple of brief questions-JUSTICE WELLS: A. All right. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q on some of the topics you've touched on here today and if I'm not speaking up, let me know. People tell me I speak too loud. JUSTICE WELLS: A. I can hear you all right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	between both parties? There's a benefit, I suppose, to both parties in coming to a mediation? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes, it's the settlement conference or the mediation and you know, settlement conferences have, in my experience anyway and I was doing a lot of them for the last six years that I was on the Bench, I think we were running at between 85 and 90 percent success overall, but I could go as far as to estimate 90 percent or more in personal injury work as distinct from other sorts of cases. When it comes to mediation on personal injury matters, the success rate, in my opinion, and in my experience and in my opinion, because there are lots of mediators – I don't know if there are lots, but there are others anyway – is very, very high because people who have a sense of how
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	FRAIZE, Q.C.: Q. No questions. CHAIR: Q. Thank you. Mr. Gillingham? MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Thank you, Justice Wells. Just to introduce myself, my name is Michael Gillingham. I'm here for the Insurance Bureau of Canada. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. So, I just have a couple of brief questions-JUSTICE WELLS: A. All right. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q on some of the topics you've touched on here today and if I'm not speaking up, let me know. People tell me I speak too loud. JUSTICE WELLS: A. I can hear you all right. MR. GILLINGHAM:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	between both parties? There's a benefit, I suppose, to both parties in coming to a mediation? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes, it's the settlement conference or the mediation and you know, settlement conferences have, in my experience anyway and I was doing a lot of them for the last six years that I was on the Bench, I think we were running at between 85 and 90 percent success overall, but I could go as far as to estimate 90 percent or more in personal injury work as distinct from other sorts of cases. When it comes to mediation on personal injury matters, the success rate, in my opinion, and in my experience and in my opinion, because there are lots of mediators – I don't know if there are lots, but there are others anyway – is very, very high because people who have a sense of how to deal with others and how to reason with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	FRAIZE, Q.C.: Q. No questions. CHAIR: Q. Thank you. Mr. Gillingham? MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Thank you, Justice Wells. Just to introduce myself, my name is Michael Gillingham. I'm here for the Insurance Bureau of Canada. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. So, I just have a couple of brief questions-JUSTICE WELLS: A. All right. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q on some of the topics you've touched on here today and if I'm not speaking up, let me know. People tell me I speak too loud. JUSTICE WELLS: A. I can hear you all right. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Yeah, I tend to be a little loud.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	between both parties? There's a benefit, I suppose, to both parties in coming to a mediation? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes, it's the settlement conference or the mediation and you know, settlement conferences have, in my experience anyway and I was doing a lot of them for the last six years that I was on the Bench, I think we were running at between 85 and 90 percent success overall, but I could go as far as to estimate 90 percent or more in personal injury work as distinct from other sorts of cases. When it comes to mediation on personal injury matters, the success rate, in my opinion, and in my experience and in my opinion, because there are lots of mediators – I don't know if there are lots, but there are others anyway – is very, very high because people who have a sense of how to deal with others and how to reason with others and explain the situation as to what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	FRAIZE, Q.C.: Q. No questions. CHAIR: Q. Thank you. Mr. Gillingham? MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Thank you, Justice Wells. Just to introduce myself, my name is Michael Gillingham. I'm here for the Insurance Bureau of Canada. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. So, I just have a couple of brief questions-JUSTICE WELLS: A. All right. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q on some of the topics you've touched on here today and if I'm not speaking up, let me know. People tell me I speak too loud. JUSTICE WELLS: A. I can hear you all right. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Yeah, I tend to be a little loud. JUSTICE WELLS:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	between both parties? There's a benefit, I suppose, to both parties in coming to a mediation? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes, it's the settlement conference or the mediation and you know, settlement conferences have, in my experience anyway and I was doing a lot of them for the last six years that I was on the Bench, I think we were running at between 85 and 90 percent success overall, but I could go as far as to estimate 90 percent or more in personal injury work as distinct from other sorts of cases. When it comes to mediation on personal injury matters, the success rate, in my opinion, and in my experience and in my opinion, because there are lots of mediators – I don't know if there are lots, but there are others anyway – is very, very high because people who have a sense of how to deal with others and how to reason with others and explain the situation as to what they might get in Court, some people do this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	FRAIZE, Q.C.: Q. No questions. CHAIR: Q. Thank you. Mr. Gillingham? MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Thank you, Justice Wells. Just to introduce myself, my name is Michael Gillingham. I'm here for the Insurance Bureau of Canada. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. So, I just have a couple of brief questions-JUSTICE WELLS: A. All right. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q on some of the topics you've touched on here today and if I'm not speaking up, let me know. People tell me I speak too loud. JUSTICE WELLS: A. I can hear you all right. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Yeah, I tend to be a little loud.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	between both parties? There's a benefit, I suppose, to both parties in coming to a mediation? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes, it's the settlement conference or the mediation and you know, settlement conferences have, in my experience anyway and I was doing a lot of them for the last six years that I was on the Bench, I think we were running at between 85 and 90 percent success overall, but I could go as far as to estimate 90 percent or more in personal injury work as distinct from other sorts of cases. When it comes to mediation on personal injury matters, the success rate, in my opinion, and in my experience and in my opinion, because there are lots of mediators – I don't know if there are lots, but there are others anyway – is very, very high because people who have a sense of how to deal with others and how to reason with others and explain the situation as to what

	nber 27, 2018		2017 Automobile Insurance Review
	Page 141		Page 143
1	essential part of the mediation or	1	A. And lawyers have to be paid. They have also
2	settlement conference process. So, that's	2	to make a living. And these things add up
3	why the success rate is so high.	3	and so, the other thing is the trials,
4	MR. GILLINGHAM:	4	because of all this, are getting more
5	Q. And the parties, I suppose it would be fair	5	expensive and that, I think, is a deterrent
6	to say, are looking to control the expenses,	6	in some people's minds and of course, there
7	the expense that it would cost to go to a	7	are contingency arrangements that are
8	trial?	8	entered into where the lawyer actually takes
9	JUSTICE WELLS:	9	the risk. Different lawyers have different
10	A. Yes.	10	opinions on all, as I put it, these hoops to
11	MR. GILLINGHAM:	11	jump through and I remember when we adopted
12	Q. Potentially, I guess, they have more control	12	discoveries, I was working on a matter with
13	in the predictability of the outcome as well	13	a very senior Ontario lawyer, litigator who
14	in a mediation because either side can -	14	afterwards became a judge, and he said to me
15	JUSTICE WELLS:	15	– he was a lawyer then, as was I, he said to
16	A. That's right. Mediations are not that	16	me "you're out of your minds" and it was the
17	expensive.	17	length of discoveries, you know, and all
18	MR. GILLINGHAM:	18	these hoops which now lawyers and their
19	Q. Correct. My understanding as well, much	19	clients have to go through have lengthened
20	more limited mind you. And the time,	20	the process and lengthening the process
21	Justice Wells, to get to a trial, we've	21	causes further expense, and that's why I
22	talked about settlement conferences and pre-	22	said to the Board what I want to talk about
23	trial conferences. Of course there are many	23	to you is not so much the trial process, but
24	other potential steps under our Rules of	24	the effect that the trial process and the
25	Court, interrogatories, discoveries,	25	results of judgments from the highest court
	Page 142		Page 144
1	Page 142 applications to court. In your experience.	1	Page 144 in the country down through to the trial
1 2	applications to court. In your experience,	1 2	in the country down through to the trial
2	applications to court. In your experience, what are the timeframes from when somebody	2	in the country down through to the trial courts is available to everyone and can
2 3	applications to court. In your experience, what are the timeframes from when somebody commences their claim to get to trial? Is	2 3	in the country down through to the trial courts is available to everyone and can influence the settlement process, and it
2 3 4	applications to court. In your experience, what are the timeframes from when somebody commences their claim to get to trial? Is it a long – we've talked about the expense,	2 3 4	in the country down through to the trial courts is available to everyone and can influence the settlement process, and it does. It has to, because if it gets outside
2 3 4 5	applications to court. In your experience, what are the timeframes from when somebody commences their claim to get to trial? Is it a long – we've talked about the expense, but of course, my understanding is it can	2 3 4 5	in the country down through to the trial courts is available to everyone and can influence the settlement process, and it does. It has to, because if it gets outside what is a reasonable range, the lawyer for
2 3 4 5 6	applications to court. In your experience, what are the timeframes from when somebody commences their claim to get to trial? Is it a long – we've talked about the expense, but of course, my understanding is it can take a very long time to get to trial as	2 3 4 5 6	in the country down through to the trial courts is available to everyone and can influence the settlement process, and it does. It has to, because if it gets outside what is a reasonable range, the lawyer for the defendant or the lawyer for the
2 3 4 5 6 7	applications to court. In your experience, what are the timeframes from when somebody commences their claim to get to trial? Is it a long – we've talked about the expense, but of course, my understanding is it can take a very long time to get to trial as well. Is that accurate?	2 3 4 5 6 7	in the country down through to the trial courts is available to everyone and can influence the settlement process, and it does. It has to, because if it gets outside what is a reasonable range, the lawyer for the defendant or the lawyer for the plaintiff is going to say "forget it. We're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	applications to court. In your experience, what are the timeframes from when somebody commences their claim to get to trial? Is it a long – we've talked about the expense, but of course, my understanding is it can take a very long time to get to trial as well. Is that accurate? JUSTICE WELLS:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	in the country down through to the trial courts is available to everyone and can influence the settlement process, and it does. It has to, because if it gets outside what is a reasonable range, the lawyer for the defendant or the lawyer for the plaintiff is going to say "forget it. We're wasting our time".
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	applications to court. In your experience, what are the timeframes from when somebody commences their claim to get to trial? Is it a long – we've talked about the expense, but of course, my understanding is it can take a very long time to get to trial as well. Is that accurate? JUSTICE WELLS: A. I think so. When I was a young lawyer, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	in the country down through to the trial courts is available to everyone and can influence the settlement process, and it does. It has to, because if it gets outside what is a reasonable range, the lawyer for the defendant or the lawyer for the plaintiff is going to say "forget it. We're wasting our time". MR. GILLINGHAM:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	applications to court. In your experience, what are the timeframes from when somebody commences their claim to get to trial? Is it a long – we've talked about the expense, but of course, my understanding is it can take a very long time to get to trial as well. Is that accurate? JUSTICE WELLS: A. I think so. When I was a young lawyer, you could get a matter to trial in the Supreme	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	in the country down through to the trial courts is available to everyone and can influence the settlement process, and it does. It has to, because if it gets outside what is a reasonable range, the lawyer for the defendant or the lawyer for the plaintiff is going to say "forget it. We're wasting our time". MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. And Justice, so let's take a situation where
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	applications to court. In your experience, what are the timeframes from when somebody commences their claim to get to trial? Is it a long – we've talked about the expense, but of course, my understanding is it can take a very long time to get to trial as well. Is that accurate? JUSTICE WELLS: A. I think so. When I was a young lawyer, you could get a matter to trial in the Supreme Court, whatever it was, by going to see a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	in the country down through to the trial courts is available to everyone and can influence the settlement process, and it does. It has to, because if it gets outside what is a reasonable range, the lawyer for the defendant or the lawyer for the plaintiff is going to say "forget it. We're wasting our time". MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. And Justice, so let's take a situation where the parties go to trial and there's a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	applications to court. In your experience, what are the timeframes from when somebody commences their claim to get to trial? Is it a long – we've talked about the expense, but of course, my understanding is it can take a very long time to get to trial as well. Is that accurate? JUSTICE WELLS: A. I think so. When I was a young lawyer, you could get a matter to trial in the Supreme Court, whatever it was, by going to see a judge and saying "would you be able to hear	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	in the country down through to the trial courts is available to everyone and can influence the settlement process, and it does. It has to, because if it gets outside what is a reasonable range, the lawyer for the defendant or the lawyer for the plaintiff is going to say "forget it. We're wasting our time". MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. And Justice, so let's take a situation where the parties go to trial and there's a successful litigant and you mentioned
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	applications to court. In your experience, what are the timeframes from when somebody commences their claim to get to trial? Is it a long – we've talked about the expense, but of course, my understanding is it can take a very long time to get to trial as well. Is that accurate? JUSTICE WELLS: A. I think so. When I was a young lawyer, you could get a matter to trial in the Supreme Court, whatever it was, by going to see a judge and saying "would you be able to hear this or would you recommend another judge	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	in the country down through to the trial courts is available to everyone and can influence the settlement process, and it does. It has to, because if it gets outside what is a reasonable range, the lawyer for the defendant or the lawyer for the plaintiff is going to say "forget it. We're wasting our time". MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. And Justice, so let's take a situation where the parties go to trial and there's a successful litigant and you mentioned earlier that there could potentially be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	applications to court. In your experience, what are the timeframes from when somebody commences their claim to get to trial? Is it a long – we've talked about the expense, but of course, my understanding is it can take a very long time to get to trial as well. Is that accurate? JUSTICE WELLS: A. I think so. When I was a young lawyer, you could get a matter to trial in the Supreme Court, whatever it was, by going to see a judge and saying "would you be able to hear this or would you recommend another judge for this?" There were only three judges all	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	in the country down through to the trial courts is available to everyone and can influence the settlement process, and it does. It has to, because if it gets outside what is a reasonable range, the lawyer for the defendant or the lawyer for the plaintiff is going to say "forget it. We're wasting our time". MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. And Justice, so let's take a situation where the parties go to trial and there's a successful litigant and you mentioned earlier that there could potentially be costs awarded.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	applications to court. In your experience, what are the timeframes from when somebody commences their claim to get to trial? Is it a long – we've talked about the expense, but of course, my understanding is it can take a very long time to get to trial as well. Is that accurate? JUSTICE WELLS: A. I think so. When I was a young lawyer, you could get a matter to trial in the Supreme Court, whatever it was, by going to see a judge and saying "would you be able to hear this or would you recommend another judge for this?" There were only three judges all together. And you could get a trial in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	in the country down through to the trial courts is available to everyone and can influence the settlement process, and it does. It has to, because if it gets outside what is a reasonable range, the lawyer for the defendant or the lawyer for the plaintiff is going to say "forget it. We're wasting our time". MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. And Justice, so let's take a situation where the parties go to trial and there's a successful litigant and you mentioned earlier that there could potentially be costs awarded. JUSTICE WELLS:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	applications to court. In your experience, what are the timeframes from when somebody commences their claim to get to trial? Is it a long – we've talked about the expense, but of course, my understanding is it can take a very long time to get to trial as well. Is that accurate? JUSTICE WELLS: A. I think so. When I was a young lawyer, you could get a matter to trial in the Supreme Court, whatever it was, by going to see a judge and saying "would you be able to hear this or would you recommend another judge for this?" There were only three judges all together. And you could get a trial in about three months. But as time passed,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	in the country down through to the trial courts is available to everyone and can influence the settlement process, and it does. It has to, because if it gets outside what is a reasonable range, the lawyer for the defendant or the lawyer for the plaintiff is going to say "forget it. We're wasting our time". MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. And Justice, so let's take a situation where the parties go to trial and there's a successful litigant and you mentioned earlier that there could potentially be costs awarded. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	applications to court. In your experience, what are the timeframes from when somebody commences their claim to get to trial? Is it a long – we've talked about the expense, but of course, my understanding is it can take a very long time to get to trial as well. Is that accurate? JUSTICE WELLS: A. I think so. When I was a young lawyer, you could get a matter to trial in the Supreme Court, whatever it was, by going to see a judge and saying "would you be able to hear this or would you recommend another judge for this?" There were only three judges all together. And you could get a trial in about three months. But as time passed, there were more hoops to jump through for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	in the country down through to the trial courts is available to everyone and can influence the settlement process, and it does. It has to, because if it gets outside what is a reasonable range, the lawyer for the defendant or the lawyer for the plaintiff is going to say "forget it. We're wasting our time". MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. And Justice, so let's take a situation where the parties go to trial and there's a successful litigant and you mentioned earlier that there could potentially be costs awarded. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes. MR. GILLINGHAM:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	applications to court. In your experience, what are the timeframes from when somebody commences their claim to get to trial? Is it a long – we've talked about the expense, but of course, my understanding is it can take a very long time to get to trial as well. Is that accurate? JUSTICE WELLS: A. I think so. When I was a young lawyer, you could get a matter to trial in the Supreme Court, whatever it was, by going to see a judge and saying "would you be able to hear this or would you recommend another judge for this?" There were only three judges all together. And you could get a trial in about three months. But as time passed, there were more hoops to jump through for litigants and lawyers and I think, whereas	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	in the country down through to the trial courts is available to everyone and can influence the settlement process, and it does. It has to, because if it gets outside what is a reasonable range, the lawyer for the defendant or the lawyer for the plaintiff is going to say "forget it. We're wasting our time". MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. And Justice, so let's take a situation where the parties go to trial and there's a successful litigant and you mentioned earlier that there could potentially be costs awarded. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. So, just to sort of clarify for the Board,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	applications to court. In your experience, what are the timeframes from when somebody commences their claim to get to trial? Is it a long – we've talked about the expense, but of course, my understanding is it can take a very long time to get to trial as well. Is that accurate? JUSTICE WELLS: A. I think so. When I was a young lawyer, you could get a matter to trial in the Supreme Court, whatever it was, by going to see a judge and saying "would you be able to hear this or would you recommend another judge for this?" There were only three judges all together. And you could get a trial in about three months. But as time passed, there were more hoops to jump through for litigants and lawyers and I think, whereas you mentioned interrogatories and all that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	in the country down through to the trial courts is available to everyone and can influence the settlement process, and it does. It has to, because if it gets outside what is a reasonable range, the lawyer for the defendant or the lawyer for the plaintiff is going to say "forget it. We're wasting our time". MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. And Justice, so let's take a situation where the parties go to trial and there's a successful litigant and you mentioned earlier that there could potentially be costs awarded. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. So, just to sort of clarify for the Board, costs are not typically the total cost that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	applications to court. In your experience, what are the timeframes from when somebody commences their claim to get to trial? Is it a long – we've talked about the expense, but of course, my understanding is it can take a very long time to get to trial as well. Is that accurate? JUSTICE WELLS: A. I think so. When I was a young lawyer, you could get a matter to trial in the Supreme Court, whatever it was, by going to see a judge and saying "would you be able to hear this or would you recommend another judge for this?" There were only three judges all together. And you could get a trial in about three months. But as time passed, there were more hoops to jump through for litigants and lawyers and I think, whereas you mentioned interrogatories and all that sort of thing, all these are hoops through	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	in the country down through to the trial courts is available to everyone and can influence the settlement process, and it does. It has to, because if it gets outside what is a reasonable range, the lawyer for the defendant or the lawyer for the plaintiff is going to say "forget it. We're wasting our time". MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. And Justice, so let's take a situation where the parties go to trial and there's a successful litigant and you mentioned earlier that there could potentially be costs awarded. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. So, just to sort of clarify for the Board, costs are not typically the total cost that it takes to go to trial, of course, they're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	applications to court. In your experience, what are the timeframes from when somebody commences their claim to get to trial? Is it a long – we've talked about the expense, but of course, my understanding is it can take a very long time to get to trial as well. Is that accurate? JUSTICE WELLS: A. I think so. When I was a young lawyer, you could get a matter to trial in the Supreme Court, whatever it was, by going to see a judge and saying "would you be able to hear this or would you recommend another judge for this?" There were only three judges all together. And you could get a trial in about three months. But as time passed, there were more hoops to jump through for litigants and lawyers and I think, whereas you mentioned interrogatories and all that sort of thing, all these are hoops through which a client must jump, you know, through	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	in the country down through to the trial courts is available to everyone and can influence the settlement process, and it does. It has to, because if it gets outside what is a reasonable range, the lawyer for the defendant or the lawyer for the plaintiff is going to say "forget it. We're wasting our time". MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. And Justice, so let's take a situation where the parties go to trial and there's a successful litigant and you mentioned earlier that there could potentially be costs awarded. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. So, just to sort of clarify for the Board, costs are not typically the total cost that it takes to go to trial, of course, they're not full indemnity? Is that correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	applications to court. In your experience, what are the timeframes from when somebody commences their claim to get to trial? Is it a long – we've talked about the expense, but of course, my understanding is it can take a very long time to get to trial as well. Is that accurate? JUSTICE WELLS: A. I think so. When I was a young lawyer, you could get a matter to trial in the Supreme Court, whatever it was, by going to see a judge and saying "would you be able to hear this or would you recommend another judge for this?" There were only three judges all together. And you could get a trial in about three months. But as time passed, there were more hoops to jump through for litigants and lawyers and I think, whereas you mentioned interrogatories and all that sort of thing, all these are hoops through which a client must jump, you know, through his or her lawyer.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	in the country down through to the trial courts is available to everyone and can influence the settlement process, and it does. It has to, because if it gets outside what is a reasonable range, the lawyer for the defendant or the lawyer for the plaintiff is going to say "forget it. We're wasting our time". MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. And Justice, so let's take a situation where the parties go to trial and there's a successful litigant and you mentioned earlier that there could potentially be costs awarded. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. So, just to sort of clarify for the Board, costs are not typically the total cost that it takes to go to trial, of course, they're not full indemnity? Is that correct? JUSTICE WELLS:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	applications to court. In your experience, what are the timeframes from when somebody commences their claim to get to trial? Is it a long – we've talked about the expense, but of course, my understanding is it can take a very long time to get to trial as well. Is that accurate? JUSTICE WELLS: A. I think so. When I was a young lawyer, you could get a matter to trial in the Supreme Court, whatever it was, by going to see a judge and saying "would you be able to hear this or would you recommend another judge for this?" There were only three judges all together. And you could get a trial in about three months. But as time passed, there were more hoops to jump through for litigants and lawyers and I think, whereas you mentioned interrogatories and all that sort of thing, all these are hoops through which a client must jump, you know, through his or her lawyer. MR. GILLINGHAM:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	in the country down through to the trial courts is available to everyone and can influence the settlement process, and it does. It has to, because if it gets outside what is a reasonable range, the lawyer for the defendant or the lawyer for the plaintiff is going to say "forget it. We're wasting our time". MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. And Justice, so let's take a situation where the parties go to trial and there's a successful litigant and you mentioned earlier that there could potentially be costs awarded. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. So, just to sort of clarify for the Board, costs are not typically the total cost that it takes to go to trial, of course, they're not full indemnity? Is that correct? JUSTICE WELLS: A. No, ordinary costs are not the full costs of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	applications to court. In your experience, what are the timeframes from when somebody commences their claim to get to trial? Is it a long – we've talked about the expense, but of course, my understanding is it can take a very long time to get to trial as well. Is that accurate? JUSTICE WELLS: A. I think so. When I was a young lawyer, you could get a matter to trial in the Supreme Court, whatever it was, by going to see a judge and saying "would you be able to hear this or would you recommend another judge for this?" There were only three judges all together. And you could get a trial in about three months. But as time passed, there were more hoops to jump through for litigants and lawyers and I think, whereas you mentioned interrogatories and all that sort of thing, all these are hoops through which a client must jump, you know, through his or her lawyer.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	in the country down through to the trial courts is available to everyone and can influence the settlement process, and it does. It has to, because if it gets outside what is a reasonable range, the lawyer for the defendant or the lawyer for the plaintiff is going to say "forget it. We're wasting our time". MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. And Justice, so let's take a situation where the parties go to trial and there's a successful litigant and you mentioned earlier that there could potentially be costs awarded. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. So, just to sort of clarify for the Board, costs are not typically the total cost that it takes to go to trial, of course, they're not full indemnity? Is that correct? JUSTICE WELLS:

September 27, 2018

Bepter	mber 27, 2018		2017 Automobile Insurance Review
	Page 145		Page 147
1	moment, I'll explain this a bit better. If,	1	can get through people who draw out
2	for instance, I'm a plaintiff and I want	2	litigation unwisely.
3	\$50,000 and you are prepared, as a	3	MR. GILLINGHAM:
4	defendant, to offer 45,000 or 40,000, if	4	Q. Sure. Justice, I'd just like to switch
5	when the trial is over – now the Judge	5	gears a little bit and talk about, I guess,
6	doesn't know or is not allowed to know	6	the issue of a cap or potential cap.
7	anything about such offers, but if the	7	JUSTICE WELLS:
8	judgment ends up by being less than what the	8	A. Yes.
9	defendant offered, then the plaintiff can be	9	MR. GILLINGHAM:
10	liable for costs on a solicitor and own	10	Q. There's been some discussion about that.
11	client basis, which is higher, much higher	11	And I guess a cap would be a limitation, if
12	than the usual costs and that can happen to	12	you will, on the person's right to recover
13	either side because the judge knows nothing	13	general non-pecuniary damages if their
14	about and is not allowed to know. It's a	14	injury should fall within a certain class or
15	sealed envelope in the Registry with these	15	category.
16	offers in them and the judge does not see	16	JUSTICE WELLS:
17	them before the trial or before his decision	17	
		18	A. Yes. MR. GILLINGHAM:
18	or her decision. So, yes, costs can be very		
19	significant to either side if they make a	19	Q. And you mentioned the Crown Proceedings Act,
20	poor assessment of what might happen.	20	sort of your own personal experience and
21	MR. GILLINGHAM:	21	your involvement with that piece of
22	Q. And I suppose the point I was attempting to	22	legislation. Is it true there are still
23	make was they certainly don't represent,	23	other pieces of legislation, many I would
24	however, the full expense, I would gather,	24	submit, which can actually restrict a
25	of what it could cost the parties to go to	25	person's right to recovery?
	_		
	Page 146		Page 148
1	Page 146 trial?	1	Page 148 JUSTICE WELLS:
2	Page 146 trial? JUSTICE WELLS:	1 2	Page 148 JUSTICE WELLS: A. Oh yes. The one that comes immediately to
	Page 146 trial? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Oh, no, no, because even if you're paying	1 2 3	Page 148 JUSTICE WELLS: A. Oh yes. The one that comes immediately to mind is the Workers Compensation Board.
2	Page 146 trial? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Oh, no, no, because even if you're paying the other side costs including own counsel,	1 2 3 4	Page 148 JUSTICE WELLS: A. Oh yes. The one that comes immediately to
2 3	Page 146 trial? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Oh, no, no, because even if you're paying	1 2 3	Page 148 JUSTICE WELLS: A. Oh yes. The one that comes immediately to mind is the Workers Compensation Board. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Correct.
2 3 4	Page 146 trial? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Oh, no, no, because even if you're paying the other side costs including own counsel,	1 2 3 4	Page 148 JUSTICE WELLS: A. Oh yes. The one that comes immediately to mind is the Workers Compensation Board. MR. GILLINGHAM:
2 3 4 5	Page 146 trial? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Oh, no, no, because even if you're paying the other side costs including own counsel, the party is still paying his or her own	1 2 3 4 5	Page 148 JUSTICE WELLS: A. Oh yes. The one that comes immediately to mind is the Workers Compensation Board. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Correct.
2 3 4 5 6	Page 146 trial? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Oh, no, no, because even if you're paying the other side costs including own counsel, the party is still paying his or her own lawyer or the insurance company whoever, so	1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 148 JUSTICE WELLS: A. Oh yes. The one that comes immediately to mind is the Workers Compensation Board. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Correct. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Comes immediately to mind where they have caps. But they, as I understand it, and I
2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 146 trial? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Oh, no, no, because even if you're paying the other side costs including own counsel, the party is still paying his or her own lawyer or the insurance company whoever, so that it would be these costs plus these	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 148 JUSTICE WELLS: A. Oh yes. The one that comes immediately to mind is the Workers Compensation Board. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Correct. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Comes immediately to mind where they have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 146 trial? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Oh, no, no, because even if you're paying the other side costs including own counsel, the party is still paying his or her own lawyer or the insurance company whoever, so that it would be these costs plus these other costs, you know.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 148 JUSTICE WELLS: A. Oh yes. The one that comes immediately to mind is the Workers Compensation Board. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Correct. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Comes immediately to mind where they have caps. But they, as I understand it, and I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 146 trial? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Oh, no, no, because even if you're paying the other side costs including own counsel, the party is still paying his or her own lawyer or the insurance company whoever, so that it would be these costs plus these other costs, you know. MR. GILLINGHAM:	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 148 JUSTICE WELLS: A. Oh yes. The one that comes immediately to mind is the Workers Compensation Board. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Correct. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Comes immediately to mind where they have caps. But they, as I understand it, and I have limited knowledge obviously of the Workers Compensation Board, but I think they go into the injury very, very carefully
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 146 trial? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Oh, no, no, because even if you're paying the other side costs including own counsel, the party is still paying his or her own lawyer or the insurance company whoever, so that it would be these costs plus these other costs, you know. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Correct.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 148 JUSTICE WELLS: A. Oh yes. The one that comes immediately to mind is the Workers Compensation Board. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Correct. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Comes immediately to mind where they have caps. But they, as I understand it, and I have limited knowledge obviously of the Workers Compensation Board, but I think they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 146 trial? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Oh, no, no, because even if you're paying the other side costs including own counsel, the party is still paying his or her own lawyer or the insurance company whoever, so that it would be these costs plus these other costs, you know. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Correct. JUSTICE WELLS:	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 148 JUSTICE WELLS: A. Oh yes. The one that comes immediately to mind is the Workers Compensation Board. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Correct. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Comes immediately to mind where they have caps. But they, as I understand it, and I have limited knowledge obviously of the Workers Compensation Board, but I think they go into the injury very, very carefully
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 146 trial? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Oh, no, no, because even if you're paying the other side costs including own counsel, the party is still paying his or her own lawyer or the insurance company whoever, so that it would be these costs plus these other costs, you know. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Correct. JUSTICE WELLS: A. So, that's what makes litigation and can	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 148 JUSTICE WELLS: A. Oh yes. The one that comes immediately to mind is the Workers Compensation Board. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Correct. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Comes immediately to mind where they have caps. But they, as I understand it, and I have limited knowledge obviously of the Workers Compensation Board, but I think they go into the injury very, very carefully before choosing call it a cap if you wish,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 146 trial? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Oh, no, no, because even if you're paying the other side costs including own counsel, the party is still paying his or her own lawyer or the insurance company whoever, so that it would be these costs plus these other costs, you know. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Correct. JUSTICE WELLS: A. So, that's what makes litigation and can make litigation very, very expensive. I	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 148 JUSTICE WELLS: A. Oh yes. The one that comes immediately to mind is the Workers Compensation Board. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Correct. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Comes immediately to mind where they have caps. But they, as I understand it, and I have limited knowledge obviously of the Workers Compensation Board, but I think they go into the injury very, very carefully before choosing call it a cap if you wish, but they give it pretty careful treatment I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 146 trial? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Oh, no, no, because even if you're paying the other side costs including own counsel, the party is still paying his or her own lawyer or the insurance company whoever, so that it would be these costs plus these other costs, you know. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Correct. JUSTICE WELLS: A. So, that's what makes litigation and can make litigation very, very expensive. I mean, I've had to award sometimes – the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 148 JUSTICE WELLS: A. Oh yes. The one that comes immediately to mind is the Workers Compensation Board. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Correct. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Comes immediately to mind where they have caps. But they, as I understand it, and I have limited knowledge obviously of the Workers Compensation Board, but I think they go into the injury very, very carefully before choosing call it a cap if you wish, but they give it pretty careful treatment I think. But I would also say this, as a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 146 trial? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Oh, no, no, because even if you're paying the other side costs including own counsel, the party is still paying his or her own lawyer or the insurance company whoever, so that it would be these costs plus these other costs, you know. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Correct. JUSTICE WELLS: A. So, that's what makes litigation and can make litigation very, very expensive. I mean, I've had to award sometimes – the longest trial I ever had was 200 sitting	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 148 JUSTICE WELLS: A. Oh yes. The one that comes immediately to mind is the Workers Compensation Board. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Correct. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Comes immediately to mind where they have caps. But they, as I understand it, and I have limited knowledge obviously of the Workers Compensation Board, but I think they go into the injury very, very carefully before choosing call it a cap if you wish, but they give it pretty careful treatment I think. But I would also say this, as a practising lawyer, I saw in my office a lot
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 146 trial? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Oh, no, no, because even if you're paying the other side costs including own counsel, the party is still paying his or her own lawyer or the insurance company whoever, so that it would be these costs plus these other costs, you know. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Correct. JUSTICE WELLS: A. So, that's what makes litigation and can make litigation very, very expensive. I mean, I've had to award sometimes – the longest trial I ever had was 200 sitting days, where I had other trials of 90 days, shall we say, and that builds up a lot of	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 148 JUSTICE WELLS: A. Oh yes. The one that comes immediately to mind is the Workers Compensation Board. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Correct. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Comes immediately to mind where they have caps. But they, as I understand it, and I have limited knowledge obviously of the Workers Compensation Board, but I think they go into the injury very, very carefully before choosing call it a cap if you wish, but they give it pretty careful treatment I think. But I would also say this, as a practising lawyer, I saw in my office a lot of people who didn't like the awards of the Workers Compensation Board, but of course
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 146 trial? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Oh, no, no, because even if you're paying the other side costs including own counsel, the party is still paying his or her own lawyer or the insurance company whoever, so that it would be these costs plus these other costs, you know. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Correct. JUSTICE WELLS: A. So, that's what makes litigation and can make litigation very, very expensive. I mean, I've had to award sometimes – the longest trial I ever had was 200 sitting days, where I had other trials of 90 days, shall we say, and that builds up a lot of costs and sometimes the parties will agree	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 148 JUSTICE WELLS: A. Oh yes. The one that comes immediately to mind is the Workers Compensation Board. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Correct. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Comes immediately to mind where they have caps. But they, as I understand it, and I have limited knowledge obviously of the Workers Compensation Board, but I think they go into the injury very, very carefully before choosing call it a cap if you wish, but they give it pretty careful treatment I think. But I would also say this, as a practising lawyer, I saw in my office a lot of people who didn't like the awards of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 146 trial? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Oh, no, no, because even if you're paying the other side costs including own counsel, the party is still paying his or her own lawyer or the insurance company whoever, so that it would be these costs plus these other costs, you know. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Correct. JUSTICE WELLS: A. So, that's what makes litigation and can make litigation very, very expensive. I mean, I've had to award sometimes – the longest trial I ever had was 200 sitting days, where I had other trials of 90 days, shall we say, and that builds up a lot of costs and sometimes the parties will agree to say to the judge formally, "we would like	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 148 JUSTICE WELLS: A. Oh yes. The one that comes immediately to mind is the Workers Compensation Board. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Correct. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Comes immediately to mind where they have caps. But they, as I understand it, and I have limited knowledge obviously of the Workers Compensation Board, but I think they go into the injury very, very carefully before choosing call it a cap if you wish, but they give it pretty careful treatment I think. But I would also say this, as a practising lawyer, I saw in my office a lot of people who didn't like the awards of the Workers Compensation Board, but of course were not able to do anything about it. MR. GILLINGHAM:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 146 trial? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Oh, no, no, because even if you're paying the other side costs including own counsel, the party is still paying his or her own lawyer or the insurance company whoever, so that it would be these costs plus these other costs, you know. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Correct. JUSTICE WELLS: A. So, that's what makes litigation and can make litigation very, very expensive. I mean, I've had to award sometimes – the longest trial I ever had was 200 sitting days, where I had other trials of 90 days, shall we say, and that builds up a lot of costs and sometimes the parties will agree to say to the judge formally, "we would like you to assess the costs" when they know	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 148 JUSTICE WELLS: A. Oh yes. The one that comes immediately to mind is the Workers Compensation Board. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Correct. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Comes immediately to mind where they have caps. But they, as I understand it, and I have limited knowledge obviously of the Workers Compensation Board, but I think they go into the injury very, very carefully before choosing call it a cap if you wish, but they give it pretty careful treatment I think. But I would also say this, as a practising lawyer, I saw in my office a lot of people who didn't like the awards of the Workers Compensation Board, but of course were not able to do anything about it. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Sure. And I suppose, Justice, to even take
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 146 trial? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Oh, no, no, because even if you're paying the other side costs including own counsel, the party is still paying his or her own lawyer or the insurance company whoever, so that it would be these costs plus these other costs, you know. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Correct. JUSTICE WELLS: A. So, that's what makes litigation and can make litigation very, very expensive. I mean, I've had to award sometimes – the longest trial I ever had was 200 sitting days, where I had other trials of 90 days, shall we say, and that builds up a lot of costs and sometimes the parties will agree to say to the judge formally, "we would like you to assess the costs" when they know which way the costs are going to go, and it	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 148 JUSTICE WELLS: A. Oh yes. The one that comes immediately to mind is the Workers Compensation Board. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Correct. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Comes immediately to mind where they have caps. But they, as I understand it, and I have limited knowledge obviously of the Workers Compensation Board, but I think they go into the injury very, very carefully before choosing call it a cap if you wish, but they give it pretty careful treatment I think. But I would also say this, as a practising lawyer, I saw in my office a lot of people who didn't like the awards of the Workers Compensation Board, but of course were not able to do anything about it. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Sure. And I suppose, Justice, to even take it more generally, we have a Limitations of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 146 trial? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Oh, no, no, because even if you're paying the other side costs including own counsel, the party is still paying his or her own lawyer or the insurance company whoever, so that it would be these costs plus these other costs, you know. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Correct. JUSTICE WELLS: A. So, that's what makes litigation and can make litigation very, very expensive. I mean, I've had to award sometimes – the longest trial I ever had was 200 sitting days, where I had other trials of 90 days, shall we say, and that builds up a lot of costs and sometimes the parties will agree to say to the judge formally, "we would like you to assess the costs" when they know which way the costs are going to go, and it hurt me to do it, but I have assessed costs	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 148 JUSTICE WELLS: A. Oh yes. The one that comes immediately to mind is the Workers Compensation Board. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Correct. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Comes immediately to mind where they have caps. But they, as I understand it, and I have limited knowledge obviously of the Workers Compensation Board, but I think they go into the injury very, very carefully before choosing call it a cap if you wish, but they give it pretty careful treatment I think. But I would also say this, as a practising lawyer, I saw in my office a lot of people who didn't like the awards of the Workers Compensation Board, but of course were not able to do anything about it. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Sure. And I suppose, Justice, to even take it more generally, we have a Limitations of Actions Act, of course, or a Limitations Act
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Page 146 trial? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Oh, no, no, because even if you're paying the other side costs including own counsel, the party is still paying his or her own lawyer or the insurance company whoever, so that it would be these costs plus these other costs, you know. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Correct. JUSTICE WELLS: A. So, that's what makes litigation and can make litigation very, very expensive. I mean, I've had to award sometimes – the longest trial I ever had was 200 sitting days, where I had other trials of 90 days, shall we say, and that builds up a lot of costs and sometimes the parties will agree to say to the judge formally, "we would like you to assess the costs" when they know which way the costs are going to go, and it hurt me to do it, but I have assessed costs of up to \$600,000 on a 90-day trial, 91-day	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Page 148 JUSTICE WELLS: A. Oh yes. The one that comes immediately to mind is the Workers Compensation Board. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Correct. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Comes immediately to mind where they have caps. But they, as I understand it, and I have limited knowledge obviously of the Workers Compensation Board, but I think they go into the injury very, very carefully before choosing call it a cap if you wish, but they give it pretty careful treatment I think. But I would also say this, as a practising lawyer, I saw in my office a lot of people who didn't like the awards of the Workers Compensation Board, but of course were not able to do anything about it. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Sure. And I suppose, Justice, to even take it more generally, we have a Limitations of Actions Act, of course, or a Limitations Act I guess is the appropriate citation. That
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 146 trial? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Oh, no, no, because even if you're paying the other side costs including own counsel, the party is still paying his or her own lawyer or the insurance company whoever, so that it would be these costs plus these other costs, you know. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Correct. JUSTICE WELLS: A. So, that's what makes litigation and can make litigation very, very expensive. I mean, I've had to award sometimes – the longest trial I ever had was 200 sitting days, where I had other trials of 90 days, shall we say, and that builds up a lot of costs and sometimes the parties will agree to say to the judge formally, "we would like you to assess the costs" when they know which way the costs are going to go, and it hurt me to do it, but I have assessed costs	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 148 JUSTICE WELLS: A. Oh yes. The one that comes immediately to mind is the Workers Compensation Board. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Correct. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Comes immediately to mind where they have caps. But they, as I understand it, and I have limited knowledge obviously of the Workers Compensation Board, but I think they go into the injury very, very carefully before choosing call it a cap if you wish, but they give it pretty careful treatment I think. But I would also say this, as a practising lawyer, I saw in my office a lot of people who didn't like the awards of the Workers Compensation Board, but of course were not able to do anything about it. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Sure. And I suppose, Justice, to even take it more generally, we have a Limitations of Actions Act, of course, or a Limitations Act

	nber 27, 2018		2017 Automobile Insurance Review
	Page 149		Page 151
1	they do not file in time. I think you	1	six or seven – no, probably ten or twelve
2	mentioned two years.	2	years ago now, the Limitation of Actions Act
3	JUSTICE WELLS:	3	was amended to take away any limitation
4	A. Absolutely. That is the bugbear of any	4	periods on suing for sexual assault. Now, I
5	lawyer in that field. When I was in that	5	haven't looked at that Act for some time,
6	field as a lawyer, I had a certain cabinet	6	but yes, it allows – what I can't remember,
7	by my left-hand on the side of my desk in	7	and somebody here would know, whether that
8	which all personal injury matters were and I		applies only to infants, you know, people
	reviewed that cabinet every week and	9	below a certain age who might be sexually
10	thankfully I never missed a limitation	10	assaulted as children or any sexual assault.
111	period. So, yes, limitation periods can	11	I'd have to defer to someone else here who's
12	restrict a person's right to get before the	12	more familiar with that Act because I
13	Courts.	13	haven't looked at it for several years.
14	MR. GILLINGHAM:	14	MR. GILLINGHAM:
		15	
15	Q. And the selection, for example -		Q. I wouldn't be able to answer that either,
16	JUSTICE WELLS:	16	Justice. I honestly do not know. But in
17	A. But of course, they then have a right not to	17	addition, we've mentioned the Limitations
18	sue the proposed defendant, but to sue their	18	Act and the Workers Compensation Act, but
19	lawyer.	19	there are certainly other examples. So, for
20	MR. GILLINGHAM:	20	example, if let's say that I want to build a
21	Q. For missing the limitation period.	21	house and I'm living in a watershed. There
22	JUSTICE WELLS:	22	could potentially be regulation, maybe
23	A. For missing the limitation period, which is	23	municipal regulation or provincial
24	the dread of any lawyer with that process	24	legislation, which could restrict my ability
25	that you might miss a limitation period.	25	to do something which my neighbour in
	Page 150		D 152
	-		Page 152
1	MR. GILLINGHAM:	1	another community or down the street could
1 2	-	2	-
	MR. GILLINGHAM:		another community or down the street could
2	MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. I can confirm that's the fear that's always	2	another community or down the street could potentially do, build a shed, dig a well or
2 3	MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. I can confirm that's the fear that's always in the back of my mind certainly.	2 3	another community or down the street could potentially do, build a shed, dig a well or so on.
2 3 4	MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. I can confirm that's the fear that's always in the back of my mind certainly. JUSTICE WELLS:	2 3 4	another community or down the street could potentially do, build a shed, dig a well or so on. JUSTICE WELLS:
2 3 4 5	MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. I can confirm that's the fear that's always in the back of my mind certainly. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes. MR. GILLINGHAM:	2 3 4 5	another community or down the street could potentially do, build a shed, dig a well or so on. JUSTICE WELLS: A. I agree with you, but it would not limit
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. I can confirm that's the fear that's always in the back of my mind certainly. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. But take the two-year limitation period, for	2 3 4 5 6	another community or down the street could potentially do, build a shed, dig a well or so on. JUSTICE WELLS: A. I agree with you, but it would not limit your right to go to Court and challenge the
2 3 4 5	MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. I can confirm that's the fear that's always in the back of my mind certainly. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. But take the two-year limitation period, for example, that selection of two years,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	another community or down the street could potentially do, build a shed, dig a well or so on. JUSTICE WELLS: A. I agree with you, but it would not limit your right to go to Court and challenge the decision. MR. GILLINGHAM:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. I can confirm that's the fear that's always in the back of my mind certainly. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. But take the two-year limitation period, for example, that selection of two years, there's nothing particularly magical about	2 3 4 5 6 7	another community or down the street could potentially do, build a shed, dig a well or so on. JUSTICE WELLS: A. I agree with you, but it would not limit your right to go to Court and challenge the decision. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Sure, yeah.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. I can confirm that's the fear that's always in the back of my mind certainly. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. But take the two-year limitation period, for example, that selection of two years, there's nothing particularly magical about that number, is there? The Legislature just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	another community or down the street could potentially do, build a shed, dig a well or so on. JUSTICE WELLS: A. I agree with you, but it would not limit your right to go to Court and challenge the decision. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Sure, yeah. JUSTICE WELLS:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. I can confirm that's the fear that's always in the back of my mind certainly. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. But take the two-year limitation period, for example, that selection of two years, there's nothing particularly magical about that number, is there? The Legislature just chose two years instead of two and a half or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	another community or down the street could potentially do, build a shed, dig a well or so on. JUSTICE WELLS: A. I agree with you, but it would not limit your right to go to Court and challenge the decision. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Sure, yeah. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Whereas a cap would limit your right, as I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. I can confirm that's the fear that's always in the back of my mind certainly. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. But take the two-year limitation period, for example, that selection of two years, there's nothing particularly magical about that number, is there? The Legislature just chose two years instead of two and a half or two and three-quarters or ten months, any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	another community or down the street could potentially do, build a shed, dig a well or so on. JUSTICE WELLS: A. I agree with you, but it would not limit your right to go to Court and challenge the decision. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Sure, yeah. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Whereas a cap would limit your right, as I understand it, to go to Court challenging
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. I can confirm that's the fear that's always in the back of my mind certainly. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. But take the two-year limitation period, for example, that selection of two years, there's nothing particularly magical about that number, is there? The Legislature just chose two years instead of two and a half or two and three-quarters or ten months, any claim beyond two years is out essentially.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	another community or down the street could potentially do, build a shed, dig a well or so on. JUSTICE WELLS: A. I agree with you, but it would not limit your right to go to Court and challenge the decision. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Sure, yeah. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Whereas a cap would limit your right, as I understand it, to go to Court challenging the application of the cap. Wouldn't it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. I can confirm that's the fear that's always in the back of my mind certainly. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. But take the two-year limitation period, for example, that selection of two years, there's nothing particularly magical about that number, is there? The Legislature just chose two years instead of two and a half or two and three-quarters or ten months, any claim beyond two years is out essentially. Is that your understanding?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	another community or down the street could potentially do, build a shed, dig a well or so on. JUSTICE WELLS: A. I agree with you, but it would not limit your right to go to Court and challenge the decision. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Sure, yeah. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Whereas a cap would limit your right, as I understand it, to go to Court challenging the application of the cap. Wouldn't it? MR. GILLINGHAM:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. I can confirm that's the fear that's always in the back of my mind certainly. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. But take the two-year limitation period, for example, that selection of two years, there's nothing particularly magical about that number, is there? The Legislature just chose two years instead of two and a half or two and three-quarters or ten months, any claim beyond two years is out essentially. Is that your understanding? JUSTICE WELLS:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	another community or down the street could potentially do, build a shed, dig a well or so on. JUSTICE WELLS: A. I agree with you, but it would not limit your right to go to Court and challenge the decision. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Sure, yeah. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Whereas a cap would limit your right, as I understand it, to go to Court challenging the application of the cap. Wouldn't it? MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Yes, yeah.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. I can confirm that's the fear that's always in the back of my mind certainly. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. But take the two-year limitation period, for example, that selection of two years, there's nothing particularly magical about that number, is there? The Legislature just chose two years instead of two and a half or two and three-quarters or ten months, any claim beyond two years is out essentially. Is that your understanding? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	another community or down the street could potentially do, build a shed, dig a well or so on. JUSTICE WELLS: A. I agree with you, but it would not limit your right to go to Court and challenge the decision. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Sure, yeah. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Whereas a cap would limit your right, as I understand it, to go to Court challenging the application of the cap. Wouldn't it? MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Yes, yeah. JUSTICE WELLS:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. I can confirm that's the fear that's always in the back of my mind certainly. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. But take the two-year limitation period, for example, that selection of two years, there's nothing particularly magical about that number, is there? The Legislature just chose two years instead of two and a half or two and three-quarters or ten months, any claim beyond two years is out essentially. Is that your understanding? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes. MR. GILLINGHAM:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	another community or down the street could potentially do, build a shed, dig a well or so on. JUSTICE WELLS: A. I agree with you, but it would not limit your right to go to Court and challenge the decision. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Sure, yeah. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Whereas a cap would limit your right, as I understand it, to go to Court challenging the application of the cap. Wouldn't it? MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Yes, yeah. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. I can confirm that's the fear that's always in the back of my mind certainly. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. But take the two-year limitation period, for example, that selection of two years, there's nothing particularly magical about that number, is there? The Legislature just chose two years instead of two and a half or two and three-quarters or ten months, any claim beyond two years is out essentially. Is that your understanding? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Yes. So, even a meritorious claim, somebody	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	another community or down the street could potentially do, build a shed, dig a well or so on. JUSTICE WELLS: A. I agree with you, but it would not limit your right to go to Court and challenge the decision. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Sure, yeah. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Whereas a cap would limit your right, as I understand it, to go to Court challenging the application of the cap. Wouldn't it? MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Yes, yeah. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes. MR. GILLINGHAM:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. I can confirm that's the fear that's always in the back of my mind certainly. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. But take the two-year limitation period, for example, that selection of two years, there's nothing particularly magical about that number, is there? The Legislature just chose two years instead of two and a half or two and three-quarters or ten months, any claim beyond two years is out essentially. Is that your understanding? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Yes. So, even a meritorious claim, somebody has a good claim, they could essentially	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	another community or down the street could potentially do, build a shed, dig a well or so on. JUSTICE WELLS: A. I agree with you, but it would not limit your right to go to Court and challenge the decision. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Sure, yeah. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Whereas a cap would limit your right, as I understand it, to go to Court challenging the application of the cap. Wouldn't it? MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Yes, yeah. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. And I guess to round back to a piece of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. I can confirm that's the fear that's always in the back of my mind certainly. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. But take the two-year limitation period, for example, that selection of two years, there's nothing particularly magical about that number, is there? The Legislature just chose two years instead of two and a half or two and three-quarters or ten months, any claim beyond two years is out essentially. Is that your understanding? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Yes. So, even a meritorious claim, somebody has a good claim, they could essentially lose the right to recover under that claim	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	another community or down the street could potentially do, build a shed, dig a well or so on. JUSTICE WELLS: A. I agree with you, but it would not limit your right to go to Court and challenge the decision. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Sure, yeah. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Whereas a cap would limit your right, as I understand it, to go to Court challenging the application of the cap. Wouldn't it? MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Yes, yeah. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. And I guess to round back to a piece of legislation that sort of began this, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. I can confirm that's the fear that's always in the back of my mind certainly. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. But take the two-year limitation period, for example, that selection of two years, there's nothing particularly magical about that number, is there? The Legislature just chose two years instead of two and a half or two and three-quarters or ten months, any claim beyond two years is out essentially. Is that your understanding? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Yes. So, even a meritorious claim, somebody has a good claim, they could essentially lose the right to recover under that claim due to a limitations issue?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	another community or down the street could potentially do, build a shed, dig a well or so on. JUSTICE WELLS: A. I agree with you, but it would not limit your right to go to Court and challenge the decision. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Sure, yeah. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Whereas a cap would limit your right, as I understand it, to go to Court challenging the application of the cap. Wouldn't it? MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Yes, yeah. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. And I guess to round back to a piece of legislation that sort of began this, the Crown Proceedings Act or whatever
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. I can confirm that's the fear that's always in the back of my mind certainly. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. But take the two-year limitation period, for example, that selection of two years, there's nothing particularly magical about that number, is there? The Legislature just chose two years instead of two and a half or two and three-quarters or ten months, any claim beyond two years is out essentially. Is that your understanding? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Yes. So, even a meritorious claim, somebody has a good claim, they could essentially lose the right to recover under that claim due to a limitations issue? (4:15 p.m.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	another community or down the street could potentially do, build a shed, dig a well or so on. JUSTICE WELLS: A. I agree with you, but it would not limit your right to go to Court and challenge the decision. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Sure, yeah. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Whereas a cap would limit your right, as I understand it, to go to Court challenging the application of the cap. Wouldn't it? MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Yes, yeah. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. And I guess to round back to a piece of legislation that sort of began this, the Crown Proceedings Act or whatever permutation it may be called now, I believe
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. I can confirm that's the fear that's always in the back of my mind certainly. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. But take the two-year limitation period, for example, that selection of two years, there's nothing particularly magical about that number, is there? The Legislature just chose two years instead of two and a half or two and three-quarters or ten months, any claim beyond two years is out essentially. Is that your understanding? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Yes. So, even a meritorious claim, somebody has a good claim, they could essentially lose the right to recover under that claim due to a limitations issue? (4:15 p.m.) JUSTICE WELLS:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	another community or down the street could potentially do, build a shed, dig a well or so on. JUSTICE WELLS: A. I agree with you, but it would not limit your right to go to Court and challenge the decision. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Sure, yeah. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Whereas a cap would limit your right, as I understand it, to go to Court challenging the application of the cap. Wouldn't it? MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Yes, yeah. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. And I guess to round back to a piece of legislation that sort of began this, the Crown Proceedings Act or whatever permutation it may be called now, I believe my current understanding of that piece of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. I can confirm that's the fear that's always in the back of my mind certainly. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. But take the two-year limitation period, for example, that selection of two years, there's nothing particularly magical about that number, is there? The Legislature just chose two years instead of two and a half or two and three-quarters or ten months, any claim beyond two years is out essentially. Is that your understanding? JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Yes. So, even a meritorious claim, somebody has a good claim, they could essentially lose the right to recover under that claim due to a limitations issue? (4:15 p.m.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	another community or down the street could potentially do, build a shed, dig a well or so on. JUSTICE WELLS: A. I agree with you, but it would not limit your right to go to Court and challenge the decision. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Sure, yeah. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Whereas a cap would limit your right, as I understand it, to go to Court challenging the application of the cap. Wouldn't it? MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. Yes, yeah. JUSTICE WELLS: A. Yes. MR. GILLINGHAM: Q. And I guess to round back to a piece of legislation that sort of began this, the Crown Proceedings Act or whatever permutation it may be called now, I believe

Septer	mber 27, 2018		2017 Automobile Insurance Review
	Page 153		Page 155
1	the Crown for. Is that correct?	1	BROWNE, Q.C.:
2	JUSTICE WELLS:	2	Q. Judge Wells, how are you? Good to see you
3	A. I have no doubt. I'm not familiar with it.	3	again.
4	I don't dispute that at all.	4	JUSTICE WELLS:
5	MR. GILLINGHAM:	5	A. Yes, Mr. Browne.
6	Q. Sure. So, for example, liening Crown land,	6	BROWNE, Q.C.:
1 7	I don't know if that's always been a portion	7	Q. Just now someone was talking about lawyers
8	of that piece of legislation, but the	8	and about lawyer is sued because they missed
9	ability to lien the Crown in terms of	9	the limitation. He goes to his insurer and
10	mechanics lien is a restriction in that	10	there's a deductible, quite often I think
11	piece of legislation. Are you -	11	it's \$5,000.
12	JUSTICE WELLS:	12	JUSTICE WELLS:
13	A. Yes, I've looked at that, had occasion to	13	A. I believe that's what it is now, you know.
14	look at that in the Crown Lands Act, yes.	14	BROWNE, Q.C.:
15	MR. GILLINGHAM:	15	Q. And there's a deductible in a lot of things.
16	Q. So, a builder or someone who may be	16	In your home insurance, there's a deductible
17	constructing works on Crown land, that	17	and right now there's a deductible in
18	particular avenue, a lien at least, that	18	personal injury of \$2500.
19	option may not be available to them?	19	JUSTICE WELLS:
20	JUSTICE WELLS:	20	A. Yes.
21	A. No. And as my memory of the Act is that you	21	BROWNE, Q.C.:
22	don't – you cannot now acquire squatter's	22	Q. As representing consumers, we're attempting
23	rights against the Crown, but if you have	23	to balance interests here. Some people are
24	occupied property for a certain number of	24	finding their insurance costs too high. The
25	years, you have a good basis for applying	25	insurance industry says it's on account of
23			`
1	Page 154	1	Page 156
	for the Crown grant, but that doesn't give	1	claims; the claims are too high, a lot of
$\frac{1}{2}$	you an absolute right to get it. MR. GILLINGHAM:	2	personal injury on the go. And therefore
3		3	we're trying to come up with some moderate
4	Q. Sure.	4	accommodation, I guess. That's one of the
5	JUSTICE WELLS:	5	reasons we're here for. One of the
6	A. But you don't accrue squatter's rights and a	6	reference points, the reference question,
7	clear title against the Crown as you used to	7	said should there be a deductible, to
8	be able to.	8	increase the deductible from 2500 to 7500 or
9	MR. GILLINGHAM:	9	thereabouts. Do you have any comments on
10	Q. Sure. So, there are examples, I suppose, of	10	that? Would a deductible suit all the
11	– I believe the term you may have used was	11	interests perhaps?
12	taking something out of Court, the	12	JUSTICE WELLS:
13	legislation, I guess, taking something out	13	A. My personal preference would be – well, I
14	of the hands of Courts, there are examples	14	don't think you could retain both a cap and
15	of that in various other areas of the law,	15	a deductible. My personal preference would
16	right?	16	be to see a deductible there and if
17	JUSTICE WELLS:	17	increased in a reasonable way, not to treble
18	A. No doubt.	18	or something like that, but if it increased,
19	MR. GILLINGHAM:	19	I would find that less objectionable than a
1 -	Q. Sure. That's all the questions I had,	20	cap.
20	_ ,		
21	Justice Wells.	21	BROWNE, Q.C.:
21 22	JUSTICE WELLS:	22	Q. Yes, thank you, Judge Wells.
21 22 23	JUSTICE WELLS: A. Okay, thank you.	22 23	Q. Yes, thank you, Judge Wells. O'FLAHERTY, Q.C.:
21 22	JUSTICE WELLS:	22	Q. Yes, thank you, Judge Wells.

Page 157 Wells. Good afternoon, Justice Wells. Can 1 to make, but I was not part of the reasoning 1 2 2 of that rule. vou hear me? JUSTICE WELLS: 3 3 O'FLAHERTY, Q.C.: 4 4 Okay. And you don't recall from your Good afternoon. decision making what the genesis or purpose 5 O'FLAHERTY, Q.C.: 5 6 There have been a number of references to a 6 of the rule was? 7 rule which permits a lawyer to make an offer 7 JUSTICE WELLS: 8 on the part of his or her client, the 8 Not offhand, no. 9 unreasonable refusal of which may have 9 O'FLAHERTY, Q.C.: 10 significant financial repercussions for the 10 Q. Okay. refusing party, correct? JUSTICE WELLS: 11 11 12 12 JUSTICE WELLS: No. Just state the rule again for me. 13 Yes, that's what I was talking about. The 13 O'FLAHERTY, Q.C.: offer must go to Court in a sealed envelope 14 14 It's Rule 20A of the Rules of the Supreme and is not known to the Judge, to any judge. 15 15 Court JUSTICE WELLS: 16 O'FLAHERTY, Q.C.: 16 Right. So, this is a good opportunity for 17 17 Yes Α us to clarify something for the Board and 18 18 O'FLAHERTY, Q.C.: for the parties. That rule was brought in 19 19 And it was the rule that was brought in after I was called to the Bar and while you 20 20 which permitted an offer to be made from one 21 were a member of the Bench. So, it was 21 party -22 after 1990. 22 JUSTICE WELLS: 23 JUSTICE WELLS: 23 Oh yes, yes, yes. O'FLAHERTY, Q.C.: 24 Yes, it was, yes. 24 A. 25 O'FLAHERTY, Q.C.: 25 O. Yes. Page 160 Page 158 1 Q. And the source of the rule, just so you can 1 JUSTICE WELLS: 2 let the Board know, the rules come from the 2 A. That has been used, absolutely. Rules Committee, correct? 3 3 O'FLAHERTY, Q.C.: 4 4 JUSTICE WELLS: Yes, and I was really asking you if you had Q. 5 5 any recollection of why the Court felt that A. Yes 6 O'FLAHERTY, Q.C.: 6 it would be of any assistance to the 7 And so effectively, it emanates from the 7 practice to bring that rule in. 8 Bench and with the assistance of the 8 JUSTICE WELLS: 9 9 I think, I suspect – I wasn't part of it, profession, the Bar, correct? A. 10 JUSTICE WELLS: 10 but I suspect that rule was made to deter Yes, that's correct. 11 unreasonable positions taken by one side or 11 O'FLAHERTY, Q.C.: 12 the other. In other words, if it looked as 12 Can you share with the Board what is your though this was a \$50,000 matter that I had 13 13 Q. knowledge of why it was felt necessary by and I was trying to get 100,000, that rule 14 14 15 15 could operate against me if I persisted. the Rules Committee of the Court to introduce that particular rule? 16 So, I think it was to cause lawyers and 16 JUSTICE WELLS: 17 their clients to be more realistic. 17 18 A. I don't know, Mr. O'Flaherty, if I can 18 O'FLAHERTY, Q.C.: 19 answer that. I was never a member of the 19 And to encourage settlement? 20 Rules Committee. 20 JUSTICE WELLS: 21 O'FLAHERTY, Q.C.: 21 Yeah. A. 22 Q. Okav. 22 O'FLAHERTY, Q.C.: JUSTICE WELLS: 23 23 Q. Thank you, Justice Wells. 24 A. And what their reasoning was for any rule, I 24 **COMMISSIONER NEWMAN:** 25 suppose they thought it was the right rule 25 No questions.

Page 161 Page 163 COMMISSIONER OXFORD: 1 **CERTIFICATE** 2 O. No, no questions. 3 CHAIR: I, Cindy Sooley, hereby certify that the foregoing is 4 I have no questions. Thank you very much, O. a true and correct transcript in the matter of a 2017 5 Justice Wells, for taking the time to come Automobile Insurance Review hearing heard before the 6 and give us your views. Board of Commissioners of Public Utilities on the 27th 7 JUSTICE WELLS: day of September, 2018 and was transcribed by me to 8 Been a pleasure to talk here before you. the best of my ability by means of a sound apparatus. CHAIR: 9 10 Q. Thank you very much. I guess, did you have Dated at St. John's, Newfoundland and Labrador this 1st anything to follow up, Mr. Wadden? 11 day of October, 2018 12 MR. WADDEN: 13 No, Chair. Q. 14 CHAIR: Cindy Sooley 15 Q. Which brings us to the end of the hearing phase of this review. I'd like to thank 16 everyone for their contribution and 17 18 cooperation over the past few months. It's been very helpful. We've heard some very 19 20 thoughtful presentations that I'm sure will 21 be of great use to the Board as we prepare 22 our report. Our schedule provides for submissions by the parties October 12th. Is 23 24 that my understanding? 25 MR. WADDEN: Page 162 1 Q. That's correct. 2 CHAIR: 3 Okay. And after that, I guess, we have a Ο. 4 bit of work to do. Thank you very much. 5 BROWNE, Q.C.: 6 Thank you. Q. 7 (UPON CONCLUSION AT 4:25 P.M.) 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

Α

Ability - 40:15, 49:1, 69:8, 151:24, 153:9 Able - 24:23, 63:22, 66:6, 68:23, 74:24, 95:2, 111:15, 124:23, 138:24, 142:12, 148:18, 151:15, 154:8 Above - 37:3, 47:18, 123:2, 123:9, 123:10 Absolute - 154:2 Abstract - 92:8 Abundance - 14:7 Accepted - 48:5 Access - 119:21, 119:23, 129:12 Accessories - 74:2 Accident - 1:17, 2:16, 3:12, 3:20, 34:11, 37:2, 90:1, 90:9, 90:12, 90:15, 105:16, 117:4, 118:9 Accidents - 5:21, 15:5, 21:1, 30:8, 30:16, 30:21, 30:22, 34:25, 35:3, 36:1, 61:12, 70:15, 71:2, 72:6, 75:5, 84:12, 86:25, 87:9, 87:24, 91:22, 92:16, 95:25, 103:5 Accommodation -156:4 **Account -** 155:25 **Accrue - 154:6** Accurate - 142:7 **Achieve -** 111:19, 131:9 **Achieved - 112:12 Acquire -** 153:22 **Across - 18:5 Act -** 4:21, 5:6, 5:8, 5:10, 16:4, 43:17, 45:18, 46:4, 47:1, 47:16, 48:2, 48:12, 61:8, 63:15, 82:2, 91:11, 92:5, 101:9, 125:22, 126:2, 126:18, 127:1, 127:2, 147:19, 148:22, 151:2, 151:5, 151:12,

151:18, 152:21, 153:14, 153:21 Acted - 104:7. 128:23, 128:24, 129:1 Acting - 117:16, 133:9 Action - 62:10, 115:1 **Actions -** 148:22, 151:2 Active - 10:13, 10:24, 11:18, 44:4, 44:19, 44:21, 101:12 **Actively - 135:17** Acts - 13:20 Actual - 36:25, 50:3, 104:12 **Add -** 83:8, 114:6, 139:5, 143:2 **Adding -** 76:10 **Addition -** 10:12, 72:19, 91:24, 151:17 Additions - 75:14 **Address -** 65:9, 114:6 Addressed - 45:8, 47:16 **Adjuster - 133:8.** 134:17 Adjustments - 5:9 **Admit -** 80:14 Admitting - 80:16 **Adopted -** 143:11 **Advance - 126:25** Advancements - 5 Advantage - 118:1 Advantages - 139: 2, 139:10 **Advise -** 28:16, 80:22 Advisory - 5:11, 10:16 Advocate's - 1:12, 1:13 **Affairs -** 27:18 Affecting - 11:5, 11:9 **Afford -** 80:12 Afternoon - 77:6, 157:1, 157:4 **Against -** 120:14, 126:1, 129:3,

153:23, 154:7, 160:15 Age - 29:1, 151:9 Agencies - 5:12, 19:18, 72:13 Agency - 25:16, 83:10 Agaressive - 14:4 Agree - 75:8, 76:14, 111:23, 130:16, 139:16, 139:17, 146:18, 152:5 Agreed - 113:23, 126:22, 133:24 Agreement - 136:1 , 137:14, 139:15 **Agrees - 119:18 Aid -** 130:9 Aids - 130:5 **Airbags -** 75:12 **Airplane -** 54:1, 54:2 **Alberta - 103:16** Alcohol - 68:8 Align - 15:6 Allandale - 34:16 **Allow -** 52:19, 82:2, 115:15, 118:6 Allowed - 44:13, 145:6, 145:14 **Allowing - 107:6** Allows - 19:22, 136:7, 151:6 Alone - 109:25, 127:4 Altogether - 109:2 Amalgamation - 1 33:4 **Ambit - 135:2 Amend - 117:24 Amended -** 151:3 Amendments - 5:9 Amongst - 3:6 Amount - 8:22, 31:6, 35:13, 45:17, 55:24, 84:18, 103:20, 113:24, 116:23, 119:11, 119:12, 133:24, 134:9 **Analyse -** 56:4, 58:20 Analysed - 56:2 **Ancient - 127:13** Anecdotally - 61:9, 70:23 **Angle -** 1:21, 54:25 Anticipate - 76:10 Anticipating - 74:2 Anticipation - 50:9 Antiquated - 47:1 **Anytime - 86:6 Anyway -** 66:25. 77:5, 99:13, 100:12, 102:22, 110:18, 140:7, 140:19 Apparatus - 57:3 Apparatuses - 59: **Appeal -** 108:7, 119:21, 120:2, 124:3, 125:15, 146:25 **Appeals - 119:19** Appear - 10:20, 82:12, 85:5 Appearance - 82:1 **Appeared - 126:24**, 126:25 Application - 152:1 **Applications - 142**: **Applied - 112:2.** 116:19 **Applies -** 116:17, 151:8 **Applying -** 153:25 **Appoint - 125:5** Appointed - 103:1 Appreciate - 11:24, 57:10, 79:25 Approach - 7:19, 13:5, 13:21, 54:25 Approached - 49:2 2, 49:24 Appropriate - 112: 8, 148:23 **Appropriately** - 10 6:18 Approval - 50:11 Approves - 37:10 Approximate - 55: 20, 86:12 Approximately - 6 7:17, 86:11 Arbitrary - 127:24 Arbitration - 110:1

Arbitrations - 102: 18, 110:8, 110:16, 110:21 Area - 35:9, 35:11, 35:20, 35:22, 38:16, 60:14, 60:21, 67:13, 70:16, 86:15 Areas - 16:2, 28:1, 31:5, 32:23, 33:1, 33:4, 59:15, 64:24, 79:11, 79:12, 154:15 Aren't - 41:12 **Argument - 119:13 Arise -** 65:12 **Arrangements - 14** 3:7 Arresting - 67:16 **Arriving -** 114:9 **Aspect - 16:8.** 70:11, 118:18 **Assault - 150:25**, 151:4, 151:10 **Assaulted - 151:10 Assembly - 126:14** Assess - 146:20 **Assessed -** 146:22 Assessment - 145: 20 Assigned - 3:2 Assist - 19:23, 34:2, 43:14, 60:6, 72:17, 74:3 Assistance - 84:1, 158:8, 160:6 Assistants - 100:5 **Assisted - 78:19** Assisting - 49:25 Associated - 52:21 Association - 79:1 **ATIPP -** 43:7 Attempting - 145:2 2, 155:22 Attention - 47:4 **Attorney - 100:1**, 100:3, 100:5, 125:23 Attributable - 90:1 **Authority -** 55:13, 62:11, 62:12 Authorization - 55: 7, 62:13, 62:18 Automated - 96:18 Automobile - 96:2. 103:4, 121:24

5, 139:8

Automotive - 1:16 Available - 29:1, 54:21, 56:18, 57:12, 63:21, 114:24, 144:2, 153:19 Avalon - 7:12, 42:11, 49:23, 67:13, 68:1 **Avenue -** 34:21, 34:24, 35:10, 35:22, 153:18 **AVL -** 96:20 **Award - 146:14 Awarded -** 144:14 **Awards -** 113:17, 131:18, 148:16 Aware - 8:20, 9:23, 9:25, 13:3, 42:6, 65:15, 74:21 Awareness - 6:1, 7:21, 9:15, 9:20, 11:2, 12:6, 13:1, 15:7, 19:21, 21:17, 25:18, 63:8, 66:12, 69:7

В

Back - 1:3, 4:21, 29:10, 30:10, 34:22, 35:7, 41:10, 45:19, 47:7, 51:13, 80:22, 85:19, 90:14, 92:14, 99:3, 102:25, 113:5, 116:1, 132:6, 134:23, 150:3, 152:19 Background - 2:5 **Bail -** 115:10 **Balance -** 94:7. 94:12, 94:18, 155:23 **Ballpark - 131:25 Bang -** 30:6 **Bar -** 99:14, 99:17, 99:19, 101:13, 101:14, 101:22, 125:21, 126:9, 157:20, 158:9 Based - 52:20, 87:8 Basis - 23:17, 31:8, 104:10, 115:22, 122:9, 137:15, 145:11, 153:25

Became - 6:5,

100:18, 100:19, 101:12, 101:13, 101:17, 102:7, 143:14 **Become - 18:1**, 116:11 **Becomes - 81:23** Becoming - 11:19 Bee - 126:12 **Began -** 102:20, 103:25, 104:10, 107:18, 109:23, 110:4, 114:5, 125:5, 152:20 Behind - 63:23, 105:3 **Behold -** 82:12 Belief - 131:17 **Beliefs - 136:8 Below -** 123:2, 123:10, 151:9 **Bench -** 101:17, 101:23, 102:4, 102:6, 102:14, 103:9, 103:15, 110:14, 110:19, 125:7, 125:8, 125:14, 139:9, 140:9, 157:21, 158:8 **Benefit - 140:1** Bias - 129:2 **Big -** 12:11, 13:25, 14:2, 18:9, 27:24, 40:13, 41:2, 79:3 Biggest - 26:24. 26:25, 30:24, 31:10, 31:11, 62:25, 63:1, 65:5, 65:19 Bill - 63:19, 66:21 **Binding -** 108:10 Bit - 2:5, 15:13, 18:18, 20:1, 24:12, 36:12, 46:19, 49:2, 73:9, 84:3, 98:25, 99:3, 121:7, 121:9, 145:1, 147:5, 162:4 Black - 54:1 Blackmarsh - 35:2 **Blame - 44:23** Bloomed - 7:7 **Board -** 1:11, 5:11. 20:6, 53:21, 70:25,

71:15, 89:2, 95:24,

113:1, 121:10,

121:12, 122:19,

124:9, 124:13, 128:15, 130:18, 136:4, 143:22, 144:18, 148:3, 148:10, 148:17, 157:18, 158:2, 158:13, 161:21 **Bodily -** 93:15 **Body - 10:2 Boland - 49:21** Bolstering - 49:15 **Bonnet - 126:12 Born - 99:15 Both -** 4:25, 7:12, 54:7, 65:16, 112:10, 115:4, 115:5, 115:11, 129:2, 139:16, 139:17, 140:1, 140:2, 156:14 **Bothers - 129:5 Bottom -** 51:19 **Box -** 20:9, 54:1 **Brakes - 39:8 Braking - 54:23**, 57:4 **Branch -** 38:8, 38:11 Breaches - 63:14 **Break -** 98:9. 98:11, 107:18 Breakdowns - 113: 22, 113:25 Breaking - 30:1 **Breath -** 68:9 Breathalyser - 68:1 Brief - 77:4, 77:5, 83:20, 98:19, 138:12 **Brings -** 92:13, 135:1. 161:15 **Broadest - 129:1 Broken - 117:3 Broker - 44:1 Brokers - 79:1 Brook -** 7:13 Brought - 7:3, 11:3, 35:12, 35:14, 64:12, 83:8, 157:19, 159:19 **Browne -** 154:25, 155:1, 155:5, 155:6, 155:14, 155:21, 156:21, 162:5 **Bugbear - 149:4**

Build - 28:25, 151:20, 152:2 Builder - 153:16 Building - 25:14 Builds - 146:17 Built - 40:3 Bumps - 59:22 Bureau - 77:15, 138:8 Business - 112:1 Businesses - 26:5 Buy - 75:17

C46 - 66:21 Cabinet - 149:6, 149:9 Cabs - 95:22 Call - 17:16, 30:17, 44:3, 44:18, 44:19, 44:23, 54:8, 54:9, 74:23, 86:13, 90:24, 133:3, 148:12 Called - 10:23, 18:3, 53:19, 99:14, 99:16, 99:24, 100:1, 100:4, 125:21, 126:6, 152:22, 157:20 **Caller -** 86:18 **Calling -** 51:16 **Calls -** 86:2, 86:4, 86:13 Calm - 59:24, 126:13 Calming - 32:11 Cameras - 52:21, 59:7 **Campaign - 10:14**, 11:25 Campaigns - 19:1, 21:18 Can - 1:6, 5:20, 6:1, 6:22, 6:24, 11:6, 12:20, 12:25, 13:1, 14:21, 15:12, 15:22, 17:4, 19:11, 20:5, 21:4, 23:6, 23:19, 24:7, 24:21, 26:8, 27:18, 28:3, 28:12, 29:11, 29:17, 29:18, 30:3, 30:9, 31:16, 32:10, 32:21, 32:24, 33:6, 34:1, 34:2, 34:18,

36:12, 40:2, 40:21, 42:15, 42:18, 42:20, 43:10, 44:23, 45:6, 47:4, 48:13, 49:2, 49:5, 50:24, 51:5, 52:12, 53:20, 53:22, 54:22, 55:2, 56:5. 56:16, 58:10, 58:20, 59:5, 59:19, 59:20, 61:1, 61:10, 62:9, 65:1, 66:4, 66:5, 66:23, 70:2, 70:25, 71:15, 74:8, 76:9, 78:4, 78:14, 81:10, 83:10, 83:14, 83:15, 83:16, 85:12, 88:16, 88:19, 92:24, 93:5, 96:22, 97:6, 97:13, 98:25, 99:9, 99:11, 100:15, 103:8, 115:11, 115:25, 116:6, 117:13, 119:12, 119:13, 120:16, 123:7, 123:8, 124:1, 128:1, 129:9, 130:16, 130:17, 135:11, 135:12, 135:13, 135:14, 138:20, 141:14, 142:5, 144:2, 145:9, 145:12, 145:18, 146:12, 146:25, 147:1, 147:24, 148:24, 149:11, 150:2, 152:25, 157:1, 158:1, 158:13, 158:18 Canada - 18:14, 77:16, 84:12, 108:9, 119:22, 120:3, 121:1, 124:4, 125:16, 131:21, 138:8 Canadian - 2:18, 101:13, 101:14, 101:22, 131:20 Cannabis - 63:22, 64:1, 64:13, 65:2, 66:23 Can't - 44:23, 51:12, 62:15, 72:5, 80:12, 82:24, 98:23, 117:15,

118:5, 124:5, 151:6 Cap - 122:16, 123:1, 123:2, 123:3, 123:4, 123:6, 123:9, 123:10, 123:11, 127:7, 127:24, 128:11, 129:5. 129:10, 129:13, 131:22, 137:7, 137:15, 147:6, 147:11, 148:12, 152:11, 152:13, 156:14, 156:20 Capacities - 53:8 **Capita -** 67:14 Caps - 121:17, 122:4, 148:8 **Capture -** 54:10 **Captured - 42:5**, 56:10 Car - 76:8 **Card -** 43:25, 44:19 Care - 4:5, 46:11, 47:4 Careful - 148:13 Carefully - 148:11 Carried - 47:7 Carrying - 80:5, 81:14 Cars - 16:2, 55:22, 65:7, 75:15, 82:24, 96:22, 97:2 Case - 3:13, 29:23, 35:7, 39:20, 50:24, 54:10, 60:9, 106:17, 107:19, 109:4, 111:4, 111:7, 114:17, 127:2, 144:24 Cases - 33:9, 55:8, 55:12, 56:9, 80:24, 108:11, 121:24, 126:8, 131:14, 133:4, 134:14, 140:14 Catch - 48:19, 48:20 **Catching -** 41:18, 41:20 Categories - 122:5, 122:9 **Category - 147:15 Caucus - 115:12** Caucusing - 109:1 3, 109:15, 109:23 Caught - 13:16,

47:19, 48:14 Cause - 47:13, 61:12, 160:16 Caused - 21:4, 110:17 Causes - 7:25. 143:21 **Causing - 134:7 Caution - 73:23 Caveat - 139:19 CBC -** 10:17, 10:22, 10:25, 11:25 **CDR - 96:23** Cellphone - 26:10 **Centre -** 97:1 **Centuries - 124:21 Century - 116:25** Certain - 13:18, 19:14, 20:24, 40:6, 51:13, 53:7, 57:11, 84:18, 85:5, 113:24, 122:5, 147:14, 149:6, 151:9, 152:24, 153:24 Certainly - 34:5, 52:25, 53:4, 58:13, 61:14, 76:13, 128:17, 129:2, 145:23, 150:3, 151:19 **CHAIR -** 1:2, 1:9, 69:13, 69:21, 69:25, 76:17, 76:18, 76:22, 93:25, 97:16, 97:20, 97:23, 98:3, 98:7, 98:13, 98:17, 129:15, 129:21, 137:22, 137:24, 138:3, 154:24, 156:24, 161:3, 161:9, 161:13, 161:14, 162:2 Challenge - 152:6 Challenging - 152: 12 **Chance - 112:5** Change - 32:22, 48:3, 71:8, 124:2 Changed - 31:2 Changes - 4:20, 31:2, 32:10, 33:6, 43:16, 45:18, 46:6, 46:20, 48:11, 82:25, 127:20 **Charge -** 2:24, 6:6

22:15, 47:18,

Chart - 93:4 **Chatted - 128:11 Checks - 19:2** Chief - 49:21, 50:6, 51:16, 103:23 **Child - 78:5 Children - 122:6**, 151:10 Children's - 78:7 **Choosing - 148:12 Chose -** 131:1, 150:11 **Christmas - 18:24**, 18:25 **Chunk - 20:18** Circumstance - 84 :24 **Citation - 148:23 Cite -** 81:5 Citizen - 128:6, 128:16 Citizens - 122:7 **City -** 1:10, 2:12, 5:3, 9:13, 24:16, 30:19, 32:4, 32:7, 33:5, 33:10, 33:14, 52:23, 59:8, 59:16, 59:18, 72:14, 72:15, 77:9 Civil - 93:15. 100:25, 101:1, 101:2, 101:10, 103:20, 104:13 **Claim -** 117:19, 117:24, 120:4, 125:25, 134:9, 134:21, 135:1, 135:13, 142:3, 148:25, 150:13, 150:18, 150:19, 150:20 **Claimant - 134:15** Claimants - 103:4 **Claims -** 93:14, 105:11, 113:8, 122:12, 123:15, 156:1 Clarification - 156: **Clarify - 144:18**, 157:18 **Clarity -** 85:13 Class - 147:14 Classification - 84: **Clause -** 87:18

100:10, 109:12, 110:24, 115:12, 115:13, 143:19, 160:17 **Close -** 76:8, 95:17 **Closely - 24:13**, 25:15, 32:4, 32:6, 95:4 **Closer - 26:15** Code - 84:12 Colleagues - 105:4 **Collect - 37:1,** 59:21, 79:10, 79:12 **College - 2:18** Collision - 2:17, 2:20, 37:13, 54:15, 54:18, 54:20, 54:24, 55:1, 55:23, 56:21, 57:6, 62:2, 62:7, 71:21, 84:25, 86:19, 90:17, 91:1 Collisions - 6:25, 7:2, 7:9, 8:22, 8:23, 11:15, 18:11, 20:10, 20:11, 20:18, 21:24, 30:1, 32:25, 33:3, 33:4, 33:14, 33:24, 34:13, 55:10, 55:25, 57:12, 64:3, 75:25, 78:23, 86:3, 87:20, 89:17, 92:21, 92:24, 92:25, 93:6 **Colorado -** 64:11 Column - 89:6, 89:11, 89:16, 89:21, 93:5, 93:9 Come - 1:20, 1:23, 12:4, 21:8, 22:15, 26:8, 29:10, 33:9, 42:16, 50:9, 50:10, 52:12, 52:18, 56:17, 56:19, 60:18, 61:8, 63:13, 63:20, 73:3, 95:23, 100:15, 115:4, 118:22, 124:19, 128:6, 129:13, 134:4, 137:15, 139:11, 139:13, 156:3, 158:2, 161:5 Comes - 15:25, 19:19, 27:19, 33:2, 34:2, 36:24, 38:10, 44:11, 51:13, 60:2, 60:25, 62:20, 63:4, 66:20, 66:22, 68:9,

69:8, 73:19, 80:22, 81:1, 84:3, 86:25, 95:19, 131:15, 133:17, 140:14, 148:2, 148:7 Coming - 18:24, 50:18, 63:19, 64:20, 64:22, 65:2, 65:8, 65:22, 65:23, 74:20, 75:15, 75:20, 98:22, 132:3, 140:2 Commanded - 82: Commences - 142: **Comment - 95:5** Commentary - 121 Comments - 124:1 2, 156:9 Commercial - 71:2 **COMMISSIONER -**97:21, 160:24, 161:1 Commissioners -98:17 Committed - 37:5, 55:5, 94:24 Committee - 5:4, 5:6, 5:7, 5:11, 45:21, 46:1, 46:4, 46:8, 46:22, 64:19, 97:9, 158:3, 158:15, 158:20 Committees - 4:25, 5:1, 5:2, 5:15 Common - 17:25, 27:4, 47:21 **Commonly -** 18:11 Commonwealth -34:21, 34:24, 35:10, 35:22 Communication -36:22 Communications -97:1 Communities - 27: Community - 9:12, 24:13, 25:21, 25:24, 26:2, 26:4, 26:15, 28:8, 28:10, 77:9, 152:1

Clients - 100:9,

Companies - 38:23

, 43:5, 54:8, 77:20,

78:1, 79:5, 82:20, 96:14, 96:17, 97:12, 104:7, 111:13, 113:21, 115:18, 119:7, 128:21, 129:3, 131:7 **Company -** 57:22, 58:4, 105:15, 106:4, 112:9, 115:2, 115:3, 133:12, 134:20, 135:9, 139:16, 146:6 Company's - 111:1 7, 118:21, 134:16, 135:7 Compared - 131:1 Compatible - 68:23 Compensate - 126: Compensation - 1 22:11, 148:3, 148:10, 148:17, 151:18 Competent - 115:1 Complaint - 26:25, 60:23, 79:11, 79:13 Complaints - 86:3, 86:16 Completed - 2:17 Compliance - 14:3, 22:9, 22:20, 22:23, 22:25, 23:1, 40:11 Compliant - 39:7 Components - 94: **Comprise -** 120:12 **Computer - 54:21** Computerized - 26 Concentration - 67 :14 Concept - 18:17, 53:7, 53:18, 65:18, 76:14 **Concepts - 15:13** Concern - 59:15, 64:3, 65:6, 136:5 Concerned - 108:1 Concerning - 7:14, 64:16 **Concerns - 1:13**, 26:21, 38:4, 64:1, 70:4 Concluded - 37:8

Conclusion - 37:10 162:7 Conference - 105: 6, 105:24, 106:7, 106:15, 106:19, 106:21, 106:25, 107:2, 114:11, 118:4, 118:7, 135:3, 135:6, 135:14, 136:12, 136:16, 137:3, 139:18, 139:21, 140:5, 141:2 Conferences - 103 :11, 103:17, 104:1, 104:14, 104:16, 105:2, 105:10, 110:7, 110:17, 132:5, 140:7, 141:22, 141:23 **Confirm - 150:2** Congested - 60:14 **Connect -** 63:15 Connection - 45:5 Consensual - 139: 25 Consent - 55:8, 55:17, 57:24 Consequences - 2 8:18, 28:22, 47:6, 81:13 **Consider - 114:5** Consideration - 12 1:18 Considered - 113:2 Consistent - 30:22. 31:14, 67:20 Constable - 4:12. 80:3 Constabulary - 2:2 5, 3:3, 86:15 Constantly - 32:8 Constructing - 153 :17 Construction - 31: 4, 35:11, 35:23, 36:4, 53:8, 53:13, 79:5 Consultations - 10 2:19 **Consumer - 1:12**, 1:13 Consumers - 57:2 5, 155:22 Consumers' - 74:2

Consuming - 65:4 **Contact - 12:7**, 44:12, 86:7 Contacted - 38:7 **Contacts - 86:16 Contemporaries -**100:12, 110:10 Contingency - 143 **Continue -** 23:4, 23:16, 27:10, 39:25, 63:8, 74:15 Continued - 25:2, 74:10 Continuing - 11:14 Contributing - 30:2 5, 31:11, 32:25, 55:3, 62:1, 76:3 Contribution - 161: 17 **Control -** 52:6, 141:6, 141:12 Controlled - 35:17 **Controls -** 35:18 Controversy - 122: 25 Conventional - 10: 16, 68:10 Conversation - 40: 25, 128:10 Conveyance - 101: Cooperation - 161: 18 **Corner -** 7:13 Corporate - 6:8. 6:10, 6:11, 14:22, 20:3, 20:23, 24:7, 24:9, 83:22, 85:20, 87:7, 88:6 Corporations - 124 :18 Cost - 52:21, 60:1, 81:1, 96:15, 97:10, 130:13, 141:7, 144:19, 145:25 Costs - 81:4, 116:13, 120:1, 120:12, 120:13, 120:14, 120:20, 120:22, 144:14, 144:19, 144:23, 145:10, 145:12, 145:18, 146:4, 146:7, 146:8, 146:18, 146:20, 146:21, 146:22,

155:24 Couldn't - 57:17, 105:23, 107:22, 125:4, 126:2 Council - 26:22 Councils - 9:13, 24:17, 26:17, 77:9 Counsel - 107:8. 108:17, 108:18, 117:16, 144:24, 146:4 Counterparts - 95: **Country -** 18:5, 63:12, 67:15, 96:16, 144:1 Couple - 18:22, 21:6, 23:9, 29:15, 30:18, 36:14, 40:6, 46:9, 58:23, 69:16, 128:19, 130:13, 138:12 Course - 12:11, 15:21, 20:22, 22:21, 33:20, 38:8, 48:2, 48:12, 52:21, 53:13, 60:18, 68:20, 81:19, 85:20, 93:13, 99:15, 100:10, 101:17, 108:10, 108:14, 108:22, 108:23, 114:1, 115:10, 119:5, 120:7, 128:7, 141:23, 142:5, 143:6, 144:20, 148:17, 148:22, 149:17 Court - 56:17, 80:24, 80:25, 81:11, 82:9, 82:12, 82:13, 99:1, 99:6, 103:1, 103:15, 104:25, 105:25, 106:8, 108:5, 108:6, 108:8, 108:9, 111:10, 113:7, 115:14, 115:15, 118:4, 118:6, 118:17, 118:24, 119:17, 119:20, 119:22, 120:1. 120:2, 120:3, 120:12, 121:1, 121:3, 123:7, 124:4, 125:7,

125:9, 125:10, 125:16, 125:17, 125:18, 127:3, 127:9, 127:11, 127:12, 127:18, 127:23, 128:1, 129:9, 129:12, 130:14. 130:20. 130:24, 131:2, 131:3, 131:4, 131:6, 131:16, 131:21, 132:15, 135:2, 135:10, 136:10, 139:4, 140:23, 141:25, 142:1, 142:11, 143:25, 146:24, 152:6, 152:12, 154:12, 157:14, 158:15, 159:15, 160:5 Courtroom - 136:1 7, 137:3 Courts - 111:14, 113:24, 115:4, 117:5, 120:5, 121:4, 124:3, 124:17, 125:11, 125:15, 144:2, 149:13, 154:14 Cove - 60:10, 60:12 Cover - 94:13 Coverage - 41:9 Covers - 94:16, 94:17 **Crash -** 54:6, 54:8, 96:23 Created - 15:4 **Criminal -** 84:12, 100:6, 100:24, 104:13 Cross - 10:21, 32:16, 127:16 Crown - 125:22, 126:1, 126:2, 126:5, 126:10, 126:17, 126:18, 127:1, 147:19, 152:21, 153:1, 153:6, 153:9, 153:14, 153:17, 153:23, 154:1, 154:7 **Crushed -** 42:12 Curb - 59:24

Current - 4:14,

23:5, 27:18, 152:23 Currently - 5:2, 10:23, 49:22, 62:11 Curtail - 59:6 Curtain - 75:12 Customers - 57:24 Cut - 86:4 CV - 128:19

D

Daily - 31:8, 61:11 Damage - 37:4, 71:22, 84:18, 90:9, 91:6, 92:2, 113:17, 134:9 **Damages - 71:17**, 116:4, 121:23, 122:11, 122:12, 132:2. 147:13 Data - 22:6, 37:1, 37:11, 37:16, 53:20, 53:24, 54:6, 54:8, 54:9, 54:15, 54:20, 54:22, 56:1, 56:6, 56:7, 56:18, 57:12, 57:15, 58:18, 58:24, 59:21, 62:19, 79:10, 79:12, 82:23, 84:3, 87:6, 92:13, 95:23, 96:23, 97:5 **Date -** 54:4 Day - 1:10, 4:10, 17:9, 33:23, 34:9, 34:23, 35:9, 107:3, 107:5, 108:21, 115:21, 126:23, 135:23, 136:10, 146:23 **Days -** 26:12, 69:16, 101:2, 107:4, 146:16 **Deal -** 7:6, 7:23, 13:12, 14:9, 17:4, 19:11, 43:8, 61:1, 65:1, 65:24, 67:6, 67:23, 68:8, 69:1, 78:18, 78:20, 85:24, 86:21, 122:24, 124:1, 140:21 **Dealing - 25:17**, 51:22, 64:20, 65:20, 66:24, 67:17, 109:6, 111:22, 135:22 **Deals -** 5:7, 88:11,

134:15 **Dealt - 115:21**, 133:4 **Decide - 92:3,** 92:10 **Decided - 47:11**, 100:8, 106:17, 106:20, 109:1, 135:4 **Deciding - 124:17** Decision - 4:8. 102:23, 119:18, 124:3, 137:14, 145:17, 145:18. 152:7, 159:5 Decisions - 108:20 , 109:19, 111:13, 113:6, 114:13, 114:23, 116:3, 125:1, 127:17, 128:2, 129:12, 131:3, 131:4 **Decline -** 70:14 Decrease - 23:4, 71:1 Decreased - 23:8, 23:11, 67:19, 88:1, 93:6 Deductible - 155:1 0, 155:15, 155:16, 155:17, 156:7, 156:8, 156:10, 156:15, 156:16 **Defence - 117:25** Defendant - 144:6, 145:4, 145:9, 149:18 **Defer - 151:11 Deliver - 73:25 Demand - 62:12** Department - 83:5, 99:24, 99:25, 100:1, 100:24, 125:24 **Departure - 54:25**, 75:13, 139:8 **Deploy - 95:6 Deputy - 83:5 Describe -** 134:13 **Design -** 33:6, 60:5 Designed - 78:17 **Desk -** 149:7 **Deter -** 18:8, 31:3. 32:22, 160:10 Determine - 55:3, 62:14, 62:19, 79:13

Determined - 33:11

Deterred - 48:15 Deterrent - 13:7, 13:20, 14:17, 16:4, 47:9, 47:12, 48:14, 143:5 Deterrents - 52:12 Devastating - 11:1 7.47:6 **Develop - 90:13**, 124:20 Developed - 113:1 9, 125:14 Deviations - 150:2 **Device - 26:9**, 96:21 **Devices - 75:14** Dictated - 20:24 **Didn't -** 25:11. 25:15, 40:14, 55:10, 55:13, 55:14, 61:21, 107:10, 107:14, 111:8, 111:9, 113:21, 120:20, 124:19, 125:18, 125:22, 139:22, 148:16 Difference - 136:15 Different - 1:21, 3:18, 21:3, 28:9, 29:4, 32:6, 32:9, 39:18, 83:12, 143:9 **Difficult -** 11:13, 117:11, 124:25 **Dig - 152:2 Diminish - 85:21** Directed - 82:9 **Directly - 124:12 Disagree - 130:17** Discovered - 125:3 Discoveries - 141: 25, 143:12, 143:17 Discretion - 22:17 Discusses - 15:3, 34:10 Discussing - 35:8 Discussions - 46:2 2, 50:6, 73:15 **Disproportionate -**122:4 **Dispute - 103:5.** 153:4 **Disputes - 125:2 Distinct - 140:13** Distinguishable -

Distracted - 14:5. 25:23, 25:25, 26:7, 26:11, 27:23, 27:25, 28:14, 28:19, 61:6, 63:14, 70:22, 71:10, 74:12, 95:10 Distracting - 26:9 Division - 2:24. 6:7, 25:24, 26:3, 37:16, 125:9, 125:11 Divisions - 3:6 Doctor - 118:25, 119:1 **Doctors - 90:16**, 118:20, 118:21, 119:3 Document - 15:4, 15:11, 29:9, 30:5, 48:3, 65:10, 88:5, 92:23 **Documentation - 9** 1:2 Doesn't - 89:11, 94:13, 127:25, 145:6, 154:1 **Dollars - 72:2 Donaher - 1:10**, 1:18, 32:5, 52:14 **Donated - 25:23 Don't -** 1:4, 4:6, 14:6, 16:22, 23:17, 34:21, 35:1, 44:25, 50:21, 51:7, 51:12, 58:8, 62:10, 62:11, 64:7, 65:25, 69:17, 70:5, 71:23, 72:2, 74:11, 77:5, 91:6, 92:7, 92:8, 94:3, 95:9, 96:6, 97:19, 99:13, 101:7, 110:1, 118:6, 119:14, 120:23, 124:7, 127:8, 128:5, 128:17, 129:19, 137:10, 139:22, 140:18, 145:23, 153:4, 153:7, 153:22, 154:6, 156:14, 158:18, 159:4 **Doody - 41:1 Doubled -** 64:15 **Doubt -** 61:11, 153:3, 154:18 Downhill - 66:1 **Download - 55:18,**

56:4, 58:20 Downloaded - 55:2 1, 56:2, 56:24 Downloads - 55:11 Downward - 74:2, 74:10, 76:10 **Dozens - 110:10 Draft - 103:24 Draw - 147:1 DRE - 68:21 Dread - 149:24** Drifting - 75:1 **Drill -** 10:11, 29:20, 69:17 **Drive -** 67:4 **Driven - 10:23 Driver - 27:23**, 43:18, 68:3 **Drivers -** 28:11. 28:24, 31:3, 41:4, 42:9, 67:18, 80:1, 80:4 **Driver's -** 81:21, 82:1, 82:3, 82:7, 82:8 **Driving - 13:8,** 13:15, 14:3, 14:5, 14:19, 17:11, 18:8, 19:3, 25:23, 26:1, 26:7, 26:9, 26:11, 27:25, 28:14, 28:18, 28:23, 28:25, 39:17, 46:10, 47:3, 54:18, 61:6, 63:14, 67:15, 70:22, 71:10, 72:17, 74:12, 74:23, 86:2, 92:8, 95:10 **Drug -** 67:23, 68:3, 68:19 **Due -** 46:11, 47:4, 150:21 Ε

Each - 18:22, 42:7, 67:21, 72:8, 103:18 Earlier - 15:17, 39:14, 45:22, 77:7, 82:18, 92:15, 99:3, 135:5, 144:13 Early - 13:14, 22:11, 22:23, 28:11, 117:15 Easily - 124:19 Easy - 56:15, 105:2, 132:13

108:11

Educate - 8:5. 8:15, 9:2, 9:22 Educating - 7:21, 8:25 Education - 5:25, 8:5, 8:13, 8:14, 9:14, 9:15, 9:19, 11:1, 12:6, 12:25, 15:7, 19:21, 21:17, 63:8, 66:12, 69:7, 94:23, 96:7 Education/ Awareness - 11:23 Educational - 27:1 **Edward - 2:13** Effect - 63:20, 66:7, 81:25, 82:15, 122:5, 143:24 Effective - 13:7, 15:14, 52:23, 59:10, 116:5 Effectively - 19:13, 71:8, 107:10, 117:5, 158:7 Effects - 11:15, 26:8, 75:23 **Efforts -** 13:10, 51:20, 94:21 **Eight -** 67:25. 102:15 Elaborate - 115:25 **Elected -** 126:14 Electronic - 108:23 **Email -** 37:14 Emanates - 158:7 **Enacted - 60:22** Enacting - 7:22 Encourage - 160:1 **Encouraging - 107** :7 **Ends - 145:8 Enforce - 49:2** Enforcement - 5:1 2, 6:1, 7:23, 12:19, 12:23, 13:6, 13:10, 13:11, 13:24, 14:16, 15:8, 15:12, 16:10, 16:13, 16:16, 16:24, 17:2, 17:22, 18:21, 19:2, 19:17, 19:18, 19:24, 21:18, 21:25, 24:2, 25:18, 29:9, 29:11, 31:23, 34:3, 36:2, 38:10, 38:12,

42:17, 42:24, 48:11, 49:17, 49:19, 50:2, 52:17, 59:4, 62:10, 62:21, 63:16, 66:15, 66:22, 69:5, 69:6, 69:9, 74:4, 81:11, 94:23, 96:7 Enforcing - 16:25 Engage - 11:18, 19:15, 19:22, 28:8 Engineer - 32:3 Engineering - 33:1 Engineers - 59:17 England - 99:14, 99:17, 124:21, 125:10 Ensure - 39:7. 39:10, 68:14 **Entered -** 143:8 **Entirely -** 101:1 Entitlement - 132:1 5 **Envelope - 145:15**, 157:14 Equipment - 50:4, 54:13, 58:17, 75:15, 75:20, 96:12, 96:16, 96:24 Equivalent - 110:2 Escalated - 134:25 **Essence - 136:3** Essential - 141:1 Essentially - 35:25 , 150:13, 150:19 Establish - 109:5, 109:7, 109:9, 125:18 Established - 125: 1. 131:13 Establishing - 115: 6, 125:17 **Estate -** 101:5 **Estimate - 140:12** Evaluation - 67:24, 68:19 Eventually - 114:8 Everlasting - 28:21 Everybody - 1:3, 2:3, 12:12, 52:3, 53:21, 61:9, 68:25, 108:10, 108:19, 111:1, 119:18, 120:7, 126:21 Everybody's - 15:2

Everyone - 1:11,

31:16, 41:5, 114:24, 144:2, 161:17 Everything - 16:25, 29:12, 61:5, 69:4, 96:22, 101:3, 113:23, 116:16, 119:23, 121:3, 125:5. 131:25 **Evidence -** 62:16, 118:20, 119:10, 119:11, 136:17 Ex - 126:6 Examination - 99:2 **Example - 4:18**, 17:8, 22:5, 29:19, 34:3, 88:18, 149:15, 150:8, 151:20, 153:6 **Examples - 30:19**, 151:19, 154:10, 154:14 **Exceeded - 120:18 Exceeds - 71:22**, 84:18, 92:2 **Except - 123:7** Excessive - 46:13 Executive - 6:11, 49:11, 49:13 **Exhaust - 40:11** Expectations - 63: Expected - 90:17 **Expense - 141:7**, 142:4, 143:21, 145:24 **Expenses - 114:4**, 114:6, 116:16, 141:6 Expensive - 72:6. 110:23, 110:25, 111:11, 119:25, 141:17, 143:5, 146:13, 146:25 Experience - 96:7. 114:21, 114:22, 116:25, 123:13, 139:7, 139:13, 140:7, 140:16, 142:1, 147:20 Experienced - 132: 3, 132:8 **Expert - 118:20 Explain -** 72:16, 103:8, 107:15, 112:24, 140:22,

Explained - 103:16 Explaining - 12:22 **Exposure -** 123:15 **Expound -** 120:23 **Express - 136:9** Expression - 127:1 3, 127:16, 131:6 Extended - 66:21 **Extent -** 71:17 **Extra -** 19:1, 19:2, 75:17 **Extract - 57:15 Extreme -** 130:13 F

Face - 12:22, 28:2 Faceted - 36:24 Factoring - 74:14 Factors - 32:25. 55:4 Fair - 13:9, 20:18, 45:17, 51:19, 57:21, 141:5 Fairly - 31:14, 31:17, 82:5, 104:10, 109:17, 117:13, 118:13, 125:3 **Fall -** 69:1, 105:2, 112:11, 123:1, 123:2, 123:9, 123:10, 137:18, 147:14 **Falls -** 95:16, 123:9 Fantastic - 75:21 Far - 50:5, 109:20, 140:11 Fatal - 88:12, 88:14, 89:6, 89:19, 93:9 Fatalities - 76:1 **Fatality -** 64:13 **Favour - 102:21** Fear - 64:2, 150:2 **Federal -** 64:21 Federally - 65:20 Feedback - 50:5. 50:17, 73:17, 79:4 Feel - 21:22, 107:12, 134:5 Feeling - 128:4 **Fell -** 112:4, 123:1 Felt - 107:8, 128:12, 158:14, 160:5

Feltham - 94:1,

94:2, 94:11, 94:20,

95:8, 95:21, 96:5, 97:14, 97:17, 98:14, 98:16, 99:10, 101:24, 102:3, 102:10, 102:24, 105:8, 105:14, 105:20, 106:5. 106:12. 112:13, 112:18, 112:23, 113:4, 113:11, 113:15, 114:12, 115:24, 117:1, 121:5, 121:15, 121:22, 122:2, 122:15, 123:12, 123:22, 124:6, 124:14 Field - 2:20, 68:17, 149:5, 149:6 Figure - 41:6, 130:16 **Figures -** 70:5, 70:12, 70:24 **File -** 37:12, 149:1 Filed - 130:20 Files - 4:5, 4:9, 55:3 Filing - 117:25 **Final - 50:10 Finally - 136:3** Financial - 157:10 **Find -** 32:24, 112:4, 156:19 **Finding -** 155:24 Fine - 46:10, 46:24, 47:7, 47:10, 47:19, 48:13 **Fines -** 46:12 Firm - 25:22, 100:21 Firms - 104:7 First - 8:13, 18:3, 23:7, 23:11, 24:6, 29:25, 34:15, 68:19, 84:4, 99:23, 103:9, 106:16, 119:4, 125:2, 139:19 **Fishing -** 62:15 Five - 52:1, 54:17, 54:19, 56:8, 71:3, 87:22, 87:23, 98:9, 101:21, 132:18,

145:1

138:24

Flag - 35:18

Flesh - 24:12

Fixed - 10:5, 45:12

Flush - 12:20 Focus - 14:15, 18:9, 20:4, 28:24, 39:17 Focused - 14:12, 18:7, 25:13, 39:15, 39:19 Focuses - 1:14 Folks - 36:15, 69:18 Follow - 161:11 **Followed - 112:3 Food -** 116:18 Forced - 135:9 Forces - 18:4, 65:16 Forensic - 2:20 Foresaw - 122:23 Forget - 144:7 Form - 15:21, 54:6, 56:15, 60:4, 62:16, 62:18, 122:10 Formal - 110:13, 112:2 Formally - 146:19 Formats - 52:13 Former - 127:7 **Fortunate -** 59:16, 60:1 **Forward -** 11:4. 50:8, 53:5, 99:4, 134:4 Fostering - 25:14, 27:8, 40:4 Found - 7:24, 53:19, 104:16 Four - 13:25, 14:2, 14:3, 14:15, 18:9, 45:11, 56:3, 57:14, 59:23, 60:20, 70:14, 71:3, 75:10, 100:7 Fractious - 124:24 **Fraize -** 76:19, 76:20, 137:25, 138:1 **Frankly -** 64:6 Frequency - 95:25 Front - 76:8 Frontline - 40:9, 68:16, 68:22 **Fruition -** 50:10, 73:3 **Fulfil - 129:3 Full -** 68:21, 127:10, 128:2, 129:9, 144:21,

144:23, 145:24 Fully - 16:3, 65:15 Function - 129:4 Fundamental - 136 :5 Further - 124:5,

124:8, 143:21 G Game - 28:15 Gander - 95:16 Garnered - 20:4 Gears - 23:22, 147:5 General - 5:19, 8:19, 63:25, 86:3, 96:2, 100:2, 100:3, 100:5, 113:17, 116:4, 121:23, 122:12, 132:9, 147:13 Generally - 4:4, 4:10, 26:11, 33:5, 54:11, 54:12, 71:20, 71:25, 72:2, 75:8, 75:18, 75:22, 80:23, 139:11, 148:21 General's - 125:23 **Genesis -** 159:5 Geographically - 9 4:15 **Get -** 2:14, 5:18, 12:12, 13:17, 29:13, 37:20, 42:15, 45:12, 48:9, 48:14, 50:25, 51:7, 51:21, 52:5, 55:13, 56:24, 58:1, 58:22, 59:20, 62:12, 62:17, 63:23, 63:24, 66:20, 108:2, 108:16, 109:16, 110:22, 110:25, 112:10, 117:20, 118:13, 118:19, 118:20, 118:23, 119:15, 119:16, 120:11, 120:20, 127:9, 128:7, 129:9, 130:20, 132:20, 135:12, 136:9, 140:23, 141:21,

142:3, 142:6,

142:10, 142:15,

147:1, 149:12,

154:2, 160:14 **Gets -** 10:5, 118:2, 120:14, 132:10, 144:4 Gillingham - 76:23, 76:24, 77:2, 77:13, 77:19, 77:23, 79:15, 79:22, 80:9, 80:18, 81:3, 81:9, 82:17, 83:18, 83:25, 84:22, 85:3, 85:9, 85:15, 86:23, 87:5, 87:14, 88:13, 88:17, 89:3, 89:10, 89:15, 89:20, 89:24, 90:6, 91:13, 91:18, 92:12, 92:20, 93:3, 93:12, 93:19, 93:23, 94:1, 138:4, 138:5, 138:7, 138:11, 138:15, 138:21, 139:1, 139:24, 141:4, 141:11, 141:18, 142:23, 144:9, 144:17, 145:21, 146:9, 147:3, 147:9, 147:18, 148:4, 148:19, 149:14, 149:20, 150:1, 150:6, 150:17, 151:14, 152:8, 152:14, 152:18, 153:5, 153:15, 154:3, 154:9, 154:19, 154:25 Gittens - 69:23, 69:24, 70:10, 70:19, 71:6, 71:14, 72:4, 72:11, 73:7, 73:22, 74:7, 74:19, 76:4, 76:15, 76:19, 129:22, 129:23, 130:6, 130:11, 131:11, 132:22, 133:1, 133:13, 133:18, 134:2, 135:16, 136:2, 137:20, 137:25 Give - 8:4, 21:22, 24:21, 27:18, 28:13. 28:17. 28:21, 29:20, 47:24, 53:25, 55:16, 66:21,

85:12, 86:8, 100:9, 107:11, 111:20, 128:1, 148:13, 154:1, 161:6 Given - 47:10, 61:5, 119:20 Gives - 11:22 **Glass -** 66:6 **Go -** 1:5, 12:20, 14:25, 24:7, 26:19, 27:4, 27:5, 28:3, 31:10, 51:14, 51:15, 56:24, 62:17, 66:1, 74:16, 76:5, 82:9, 82:13, 100:8, 102:4, 106:8, 106:11, 106:15, 114:20, 120:9, 120:16, 120:21, 123:18, 124:5, 134:18, 140:11, 141:7, 143:19, 144:11, 144:20, 145:25, 146:21, 148:11, 152:6, 152:12, 156:2, 157:14 Goal - 6:14, 24:11, 85:19, 85:20 **Goals -** 6:12 **Going -** 1:5, 7:6, 9:5, 13:4, 13:19, 14:25, 23:25, 24:24, 27:9, 28:21, 29:10, 29:22, 30:8, 30:16, 30:20, 31:6, 31:8, 35:23, 39:2, 39:25, 45:10, 47:9, 51:14, 51:15, 52:5, 54:4, 55:19, 58:25, 60:11, 61:25, 62:25, 63:2, 63:5, 63:22, 65:9, 65:19, 66:7, 66:8, 66:11, 66:12, 66:15, 70:2, 71:8, 73:3, 73:5, 73:10, 76:6, 96:18, 97:3, 98:8, 100:14, 101:23, 102:25, 109:21, 111:18, 118:10, 120:8, 126:11, 129:11, 130:16, 139:3, 142:11, 144:7, 146:21 Gone - 21:2, 29:2, 42:12, 60:3, 76:1,

100:11 Good - 1:3, 11:22, 12:13, 13:20, 25:11, 28:25, 32:8, 40:23, 48:8, 59:16, 76:13, 83:8, 86:12, 98:6, 108:16, 112:5, 118:24, 120:18, 150:19, 153:25, 155:2, 157:1, 157:4, 157:17 Got - 21:10, 22:16, 23:25, 33:20, 34:24, 60:18, 64:11, 65:6, 73:12, 82:6, 82:13, 86:4, 102:19, 103:22, 106:16, 111:24, 111:25, 126:12, 126:15, 126:19, 126:21, 130:5, 144:25 **Governed - 129:10** Government - 47:2 4, 49:15, 50:7, 50:11, 63:9, 73:1 **GPS -** 96:21, 119:4 **Grand -** 95:16 Grant - 120:1, 154:1 **Graphic - 28:20 Grasp - 106:16**, 111:13 Gratefully - 79:2 Gratia - 126:6 Greater - 116:23 Greene - 100:18, 100:19 Grew - 99:16, 125:20 Group - 26:21, 29:2, 39:20, 63:4, 63:11 **Groups -** 4:18, 9:14, 9:22, 10:10, 10:16, 10:17, 12:14, 19:18, 19:19, 24:16, 25:12, 26:20, 27:1, 28:9, 29:4, 50:5, 77:8, 77:9, 83:11, 83:12 **Grow - 109:21** Guess - 3:19, 39:2, 39:21, 43:20, 51:19, 76:25, 77:4,

66:23, 80:4, 82:11,

81:5, 81:11, 81:12,

82:19, 83:20, 84:2, 84:5, 86:24, 87:1, 87:16, 88:7, 92:13, 92:22, 93:4, 93:6, 94:19, 102:11, 127:6, 137:19, 139:3, 141:12, 147:5. 147:11. 148:23, 152:19, 154:13, 156:4, 161:10, 162:3 Guide - 128:2, 129:12 **Guides - 127:11 Gushue - 110:9 Guys -** 8:9, 75:2

Н **Habits - 13:8.** 14:19, 18:9 **Half** - 14:11, 94:17, 101:21, 116:24, 150:11 **Halley - 100:20** Hammer - 22:17 **Hand -** 9:16, 69:19, 131:19, 131:20, 149:7 Handed - 13:23, 22:19 Handled - 4:11 **Hands -** 107:13, 154:14 Happening - 6:25, 8:1, 8:24, 61:22, 62:7, 66:25, 71:24, 72:6, 72:7 Harbour - 26:18, 27:5, 27:6 Hard - 9:18, 105:3, 107:21 **Hasn't -** 31:1 Haven't - 42:5, 78:14, 78:15, 126:19, 151:5, 151:13 Head - 111:21 **Hear -** 77:6, 98:23, 99:9, 99:13, 118:9, 119:5, 119:6, 119:10, 119:12, 125:4, 125:6, 127:6, 130:24, 138:20, 142:12, 157:2 Heard - 38:2,

40:12, 136:14,

136:16, 161:19 **Hearing -** 33:23, 107:14, 113:25, 126:22, 130:5, 130:9, 161:15 Hearings - 41:3 **Heat - 38:3 Heaviest -** 34:11. 34:13 **Heavily -** 60:14 **Heavy -** 13:22, 22:19, 31:17, 33:24, 35:3, 54:23, 66:13, 66:15 Heck - 30:19 Helicopter - 102:17 Help - 24:12, 31:24, 33:7, 106:7, 115:5 **Helped -** 114:22 Helpful - 59:11, 161:19 Hemisphere - 127: 15 He's - 122:23 Hickman - 121:11, 123:8 Hickman's - 122:2 **High -** 15:11, 16:1, 41:4, 41:25, 51:24, 52:4, 68:13, 73:11, 73:15, 73:16, 79:11, 80:15, 85:24, 86:19, 96:15, 105:1, 105:7, 109:7, 110:4, 110:6, 125:10, 132:18, 140:20, 141:3, 155:24, 156:1 Higher - 46:10, 95:25, 120:23, 145:11 **Highest - 22:8**, 22:23, 22:25, 28:1, 67:13, 67:14, 143:25 **Highway -** 1:16, 4:21, 5:6, 5:8, 5:9, 6:15, 6:19, 6:21, 14:1, 15:24, 19:17, 24:11, 32:17, 38:10, 38:11, 43:17, 45:18, 46:4, 46:25, 47:16, 48:1, 48:12, 48:20, 60:5, 61:8, 82:2,

84:6, 85:22, 91:10,

92:5 Highways - 7:1, 16:7 **Historically - 3:10** History - 125:17 **Hits - 131:5 Holidays - 17:9** Home - 122:7. 155:16 **Honest - 8:14 Honestly -** 151:16 Hoops - 142:17, 142:20, 143:10, 143:18 Hope - 23:3, 23:16, 64:6 **Hopeful - 74:15** Hopefully - 10:4, 76:13 Hoping - 31:9, 65:25, 74:16 **Hospital - 114:3** Hospitals - 87:10 Hot - 30:17 Hour - 47:17, 69:14 House - 126:14, 151:21 Houses - 60:15 **Huge -** 11:9, 14:6, 47:6, 62:19, 97:9, 132:11 **Hughes - 128:9 Human - 50:3 Humps -** 59:9 **Hundreds - 104:8** Hurt - 146:22

IBAN - 83:12 IBC - 77:2, 78:3, 78:11, 78:19, 83:12 **I'd -** 1:21, 83:21, 84:4, 95:2, 104:20, 128:15, 129:24, 130:1, 137:7, 147:4, 151:11, 161:16 Ideas - 132:4 Identified - 10:25, 59:15 Identifying - 9:6, 78:13, 78:21 **I'II -** 20:12, 47:23, 73:23, 77:3, 77:4, 84:7, 98:19, 130:7, 130:10, 145:1 Illegal - 17:1

:3

:10

85:22

Improper - 13:7,

Improve - 1:16,

6:15, 22:20, 84:5

Improved - 24:10

Improvement - 51:

Improvements - 75

Improving - 6:19,

6:20, 15:24, 40:4,

48:1, 49:16, 58:18,

14:18, 18:8

l'm - 1:4, 2:20, 5:2, 5:4, 5:10, 5:15, 23:25, 30:18, 32:3, 32:5, 33:22, 40:23, 42:5, 43:7, 44:20, 44:24, 48:15, 49:12, 54:4, 55:19, 58:3, 61:9, 64:7, 65:3, 65:25, 66:1, 68:12, 74:21, 77:1, 84:1, 91:25, 98:8, 112:22, 113:1, 116:10, 117:23, 122:19, 123:3, 128:16, 130:15, 138:7, 138:17, 138:25, 145:2, 151:21, 153:3, 161:20 Images - 28:20 Immediate - 136:2 **Immediately - 148**: 2, 148:7 Impact - 18:4, 18:18, 70:4 Impaired - 14:3, 17:11, 19:3, 39:17, 67:4, 67:15, 67:17, 68:3, 84:13, 86:2 Implement - 6:3 Implemented - 60: 19 Importance - 85:22 **Important - 12:19**, 13:2, 53:11, 107:16, 111:16, 124:17, 136:21 **Importantly -** 5:21 Impounding - 42:7, 42:9 Impression - 73:12 **Imprisonment - 82**

Inaccurate - 61:25 Incidents - 7:25, 11:5, 84:8, 84:10, 84:15, 85:5, 85:25, 86:1, 86:10, 86:20, 90:23 Incongruous - 134 :4. 134:10 **Increase - 11:14**, 39:11, 49:1, 59:1, 59:2, 64:2, 64:7, 70:7, 87:23, 156:8 Increased - 21:25, 47:2, 116:14, 116:16, 116:24, 127:3, 156:17, 156:18 Increases - 81:18 Increasing - 11:15, 49:16, 50:1, 69:8, 70:23 Indemnity - 144:21 Indicated - 84:9, 84:24, 95:24, 112:20 Industry - 38:5, 96:9, 128:21, 133:10, 155:25 **Industry's** - 133:7 Inevitably - 26:22, 27:3 Infant - 78:8 **Infants -** 151:8 **Inflated -** 132:4, 132:14 **Inflation - 116:17 Inflationary - 116:1 Influence -** 113:6, 131:2, 144:3 Initiated - 22:12 Initiates - 6:12 Initiating - 23:20 Initiative - 38:3, 38:14, 49:20, 63:3, 72:20, 73:20 Initiatives - 7:23, 9:24, 13:11, 14:12, 14:16, 19:12, 19:20, 22:1, 25:18, 29:5, 32:7, 37:22, 40:6, 72:16, 74:3, 77:10, 77:24, 78:4, 78:12, 83:14, 95:7 Injured - 89:25, 92:1, 103:4, 105:16, 117:4,

123:3 **Injuries - 88:7**, 89:17, 89:19, 92:25, 93:7, 93:15, 116:7, 117:6, 118:13, 118:15, 123:5, 132:18, 136:7. 137:12. 137:13 **Injury -** 37:4, 64:13, 75:25, 84:23, 87:25, 88:9, 89:6, 89:12, 89:23, 91:8, 91:21, 103:3, 103:21, 105:11, 107:3, 109:4, 109:9, 110:11, 113:7, 114:8, 116:5, 117:3, 118:8, 119:15, 121:24, 122:11, 123:3, 123:15, 129:7, 130:22, 131:18, 132:2, 133:21, 134:6, 136:24, 137:1, 137:6, 140:13, 140:15, 147:14, 148:11, 149:8, 155:18, 156:2 **Input - 10:9 Inquiry -** 102:17 Insignificant - 82:5 Insofar - 108:12 Inspect - 40:10, 40:15, 78:7 Inspected - 38:15, 38:17 Inspecting - 78:6 Inspection - 38:21 Inspections - 39:5 Inspectors - 39:21, 40:8 Installed - 78:9, 82:23, 97:8 Insurance - 41:8, 42:4, 43:5, 43:9, 43:12, 43:25, 44:4, 44:13, 44:18, 44:21, 56:23, 57:22, 58:3, 77:15, 77:20, 78:1, 78:25, 80:5, 81:4, 81:6, 81:14, 82:20, 92:9, 104:7, 105:10, 105:15, 106:4, 111:12, 111:17,

112:9, 113:21, 115:2, 115:3, 115:18, 118:21, 119:7, 121:10, 128:20, 128:21, 129:3, 131:7, 133:7, 133:9, 134:16. 134:20. 135:7, 135:9, 138:8, 139:15, 146:6, 155:16, 155:24, 155:25 Insurance's - 111:8 **Insured -** 43:19 Insurer - 44:1, 155:9 Insurers - 42:20, 103:6 **Insuring -** 96:15 Intended - 15:3 **Intends -** 98:20 Intentively - 130:1 U **Interact - 103:2**, 134:19 Interactions - 86:1 Interest - 9:13, 77:8 Interesting - 53:19. 98:4, 101:15 Interestingly - 124: 22 **Interests -** 155:23, 156:11 Internally - 10:1 Interpretation - 10 8:13 Interpreting - 108: Interrogatories - 1 41:25, 142:19 Intersection - 17:1 0, 52:20, 60:5 Intersections - 32: 16, 34:12, 35:3, 35:5 Introduce - 1:6, 2:3, 76:25, 98:14, 138:6, 158:16 Invariably - 106:2 Investigated - 55:2 Investigating - 37: Investigation - 2:1 6, 37:11, 56:22

Investigations - 3: Investigative - 4:7, 4:11 **Investigatively - 5** 5.5 Involvement - 3:20 . 3:24. 4:2. 42:20. 45:16, 45:17, 103:10, 147:21 **Involves -** 93:16 **Island -** 2:14 Isn't - 22:6, 41:15, 44:4 Issue - 11:10, 11:11, 12:11, 13:12, 13:14, 26:1, 26:25, 30:24, 31:10, 33:10, 40:13, 45:7, 47:14, 47:15, 52:19, 58:8, 60:16, 60:24, 62:20, 65:2, 65:19, 69:2, 70:21, 70:22, 74:11, 74:12, 79:24, 82:10, 86:6, 96:14, 117:18, 120:3, 122:21, 134:21, 135:1, 147:6, 150:21 **Issued - 81:12** Issues - 7:24, 9:6, 9:25, 10:25, 11:4, 11:8, 13:3, 14:9, 16:6, 24:10, 26:20, 27:7, 32:13, 33:21, 51:22, 59:25, 60:8, 60:25, 61:2, 65:12, 74:17, 79:21, 129:24, 136:10 **Issuing - 117:23** It'll - 42:22 **It's -** 9:18, 11:7, 11:8, 11:11, 11:12, 11:16, 12:3, 12:11, 13:8, 13:22, 15:10, 17:1, 17:24, 18:3, 18:7, 19:6, 22:6, 23:6, 24:2, 24:8, 26:6, 26:24, 27:4, 28:4, 28:14, 29:1, 29:11, 29:12, 33:10, 35:1, 36:24, 37:3, 37:12, 40:3, 42:22, 44:3, 46:3, 46:11, 51:12, 51:24, 54:12, 54:16, 55:23, 56:1, 56:7,

56:8, 56:10, 56:15, 57:11, 58:10, 58:23, 59:16, 59:19, 60:12, 60:13, 60:18, 61:19, 61:22, 61:24, 62:25, 63:18, 64:15. 65:14. 65:19, 65:23, 66:7, 66:25, 67:19, 68:13, 68:18, 68:24, 71:23, 71:25, 72:1, 73:2, 73:4, 73:15, 73:18, 73:20, 76:6, 78:8, 78:9, 79:14, 81:24, 83:8, 83:11, 85:18, 85:23, 86:12, 86:19, 90:10, 90:20, 91:2, 96:13, 96:20, 97:2, 97:9, 97:11, 99:13, 108:23, 112:16, 116:14, 116:15, 119:25, 120:12, 123:4, 124:1, 127:5, 129:5, 132:13, 134:7, 139:25, 140:5, 145:14, 155:11, 155:25, 159:14, 161:18 **I've -** 2:8, 2:9, 2:19, 3:1, 60:3, 69:13, 73:17, 102:22, 114:25, 115:7, 121:14, 128:20, 131:9, 132:25, 137:17, 146:14, 153:13 J Jim - 128:9

Jim - 128:9 Job - 17:2, 32:8, 63:10 Joe - 49:21 John's - 5:3, 29:23, 38:16 Joining - 2:11 Joint - 40:5 Jointly - 19:23, 65:17 Joke - 28:15, 110:18 Judge - 55:15, 103:3, 103:12, 103:14, 104:4, 104:9, 106:16, 107:14, 107:15, 107:22, 109:1, 109:12, 109:14, 111:2, 117:12, 118:18, 118:23, 120:17, 123:8, 127:8. 128:13. 128:24, 131:18, 133:6, 136:15, 136:20, 137:2, 137:18, 139:19, 142:12, 142:13, 143:14, 145:5, 145:13, 145:16, 146:19, 155:2, 156:22, 157:15 Judges - 103:13, 104:3, 108:25, 110:2, 110:3, 116:12, 125:8, 142:14 Judge's - 124:2 Judgment - 114:9, 135:10, 145:8 Judgments - 108:3 , 108:4, 108:5, 108:6, 108:7, 116:9, 116:19, 117:9, 117:10, 127:10, 143:25 Judicial - 55:6, 62:13, 62:18, 102:12, 103:9, 108:3, 108:14, 108:20, 109:19, 110:12, 113:6, 114:13, 114:23, 139:5, 139:20 July - 2:10 **Jump -** 29:14, 142:17, 142:21, 143:11 June - 2:22, 2:23, 3:1, 4:3, 4:21, 43:17, 45:19 Jurisdiction - 5:22, 7:12, 39:6, 41:21, 49:18, 70:18, 70:20, 71:2, 86:10, 94:6, 94:8, 125:12, 125:19, 127:3 Jurisdictions - 8:2 4, 20:10, 52:16,

84:10, 87:20, 88:1,

94:25, 103:13,

131:21

Juristat - 29:15, 30:11, 40:21, 41:12, 61:17, 87:7, 88:5, 88:16, 88:19 Justice - 83:6, 98:15, 98:18, 98:21, 99:2, 99:6, 99:8. 99:12. 99:24. 99:25, 100:3, 100:13, 102:1, 102:5, 102:13, 103:1, 103:7, 103:23, 105:12, 105:18, 105:22, 106:10, 106:14, 110:9, 112:14, 112:15, 112:21, 112:25, 113:9, 113:13, 113:18, 114:18, 116:8, 117:7, 121:6, 121:11, 121:13, 121:20, 121:25, 122:13, 122:18, 122:19, 122:20, 123:20, 123:24, 124:7, 124:10, 124:16, 129:18, 129:25, 130:4, 130:7, 130:8, 130:21, 132:7, 132:24, 133:11, 133:16, 133:25, 134:12, 135:19, 136:11, 137:21, 138:6, 138:9, 138:13, 138:19, 138:23, 139:2, 139:12, 140:4, 141:9, 141:15, 141:21, 142:8, 142:25, 144:10, 144:15, 144:22, 146:2, 146:11, 147:4, 147:7, 147:16, 148:1, 148:6, 148:20, 149:3, 149:16, 149:22, 150:4, 150:15, 150:23, 151:16, 152:4, 152:10, 152:16, 153:2, 153:12, 153:20, 154:5, 154:17, 154:21, 154:22, 155:4, 155:12, 155:19,

156:12, 156:25, 157:1, 157:3, 157:12, 157:23, 158:4, 158:10, 158:17, 158:23, 159:7, 159:11, 159:16, 159:22, 160:1, 160:8, 160:20, 160:23, 161:5, 161:7

Κ

Kenmount - 30:3, 30:7, 33:12, 33:16 Kevin - 77:1 **Key -** 24:2, 29:12, 59:4, 69:5 **Keys - 36:1** Killers - 13:25. 14:2, 18:10 Kilometres - 47:17 **Kinds -** 116:13, 117:6, 122:4 King - 125:4, 125:5, 125:7 Kings - 124:23, 124:25, 125:2 Knowledge - 59:11 , 94:5, 148:9, 158:14 Knowledgeable - 1 08:18, 115:18, 115:20 **Known -** 91:4, 114:15, 115:7, 120:17, 157:15 **Knows -** 65:13, 108:19, 132:9,

L

Kudos - 47:24

145:13

Labrador - 1:18, 5:5, 7:13, 79:2 Labradoreans - 72 :18 **Lack -** 6:23, 19:6 Land - 153:6, 153:17 **Lands - 153:14 Lane -** 75:1, 75:13 **Lanes -** 32:16 Large - 10:22, 55:23 **Larger -** 63:4 **Late -** 100:13,

110:9 **Later -** 90:11, 99:18, 112:1, 117:24, 134:4 **Lawyer - 104:5**, 106:2, 106:3, 111:18, 117:22, 118:19, 120:9, 125:23, 127:7, 128:8, 133:9, 134:19, 137:18, 139:14, 139:15, 142:9, 142:22, 143:8, 143:13, 143:15, 144:5, 144:6, 146:6, 148:15, 149:5, 149:6, 149:19, 149:24, 155:8, 157:7 **Lawyers -** 93:16, 104:6, 107:13, 109:5, 109:12, 111:16, 113:2, 113:20, 114:19, 115:3, 115:11, 115:20, 118:2, 118:19, 120:11, 128:8, 131:7, 132:6, 134:20, 135:8, 135:23, 140:24, 142:18, 143:1, 143:9, 143:18, 155:7, 160:16 Layperson - 82:5 **Learn - 107:20 Learnt -** 101:15, 107:20, 107:22 **Leaving - 100:23 Left -** 32:14, 33:3, 33:12, 33:15, 110:14, 110:18, 149:7 **Leg - 117:3 Legal -** 100:4, 120:12 Legalization - 64:1 Legislated - 101:2 Legislation - 43:8, 63:11, 63:14, 64:20, 64:22, 65:8, 65:22, 70:3, 80:21, 92:6,

152:20, 152:24, 153:8, 153:11, 154:13 Legislative - 52:18, 121:17 Legislature - 150:1 **Lenath - 143:17** Lengthened - 143: 19 Lengthening - 143: 20 Let's - 8:3, 20:1, 20:9, 24:6, 28:2, 33:14, 90:10, 102:15, 117:12, 123:4, 144:10, 150:25, 151:20 **Letter -** 112:20, 113:5, 121:12, 121:14, 122:20, 122:22 Level - 30:22, 68:19, 73:12, 73:15, 100:4, 122:16, 134:18, 135:8, 139:5 **Liability - 126:18**, 127:2 **Liable -** 145:10 **Liberty -** 50:23 License - 81:21, 82:1, 82:4, 82:7, 82:8 Licensing - 38:22 Lien - 153:9, 153:10, 153:18 **Liening - 153:6** Lieu - 126:6 **Light - 28:4**, 108:15 **Lights - 97:3** Likewise - 47:14 **Limit -** 46:15, 119:9, 119:10, 119:12, 125:19, 131:22, 152:5, 152:11 Limitation - 117:17 , 147:11, 149:10, 149:11, 149:21, 149:23, 149:25, 150:7, 151:2, 151:3, 155:9 Limitations - 148:2 1, 148:22, 150:21, 151:17

Limited - 15:22, 141:20, 148:9 Limits - 109:18, 116:5 Line - 10:21, 20:22, 51:19, 60:7, 87:16, 119:24, 139:19 **List -** 27:13, 31:13. 31:14, 106:21, 106:22 **Listening - 136:20 Litigant -** 144:12 Litigants - 120:6, 142:18 Litigation - 101:2, 101:10, 103:21, 104:12, 110:24, 122:25, 123:8, 131:14, 136:6, 146:12, 146:13, 147:2 **Litigator -** 143:13 **Lloyd -** 100:13 **Lo -** 82:12 **Load - 35:3** Local - 67:11, 99:21 Located - 97:4 **Locators -** 96:19 **Long -** 2:5, 17:24, 18:13, 18:16, 74:10, 112:16, 118:15, 125:13, 125:16, 142:4, 142:6 Longer - 18:18 Longest - 146:15 **Look -** 6:19, 6:20, 7:5, 8:3, 14:14, 16:5, 16:18, 18:6, 18:19, 20:9, 20:17, 21:1, 24:6, 28:4, 29:16, 29:25, 31:13, 32:2, 33:5, 33:15, 34:25, 41:11, 42:14, 47:8, 49:15, 49:25, 51:24, 55:8, 55:24, 61:16, 66:6, 67:9, 86:15, 88:16, 92:22, 97:12, 97:13, 116:12, 117:23, 137:12, 153:14 Looked - 7:4, 7:15, 7:20, 8:12, 13:25, 30:10, 35:7, 47:23,

147:22, 147:23,

148:24, 151:24,

48:1, 60:20,

103:18, 151:5, 151:13, 153:13, 160:12 Looking - 5:8, 17:10, 19:10, 33:22, 44:18, 49:23, 50:25, 64:8, 66:5, 73:2, 73:5, 74:9, 84:1, 88:22, 89:1, 139:11, 141:6 Lose - 130:1, 150:20 Losing - 120:14 Loss - 114:2, 116:15 Losses - 134:7 **Lot -** 3:19, 35:17, 35:22, 41:14, 46:25, 50:17, 55:8, 55:12, 56:9, 67:6, 67:11, 72:21, 73:24, 74:22, 75:14, 75:16, 75:18, 80:8, 80:12, 80:14, 81:19, 87:8, 90:21, 91:3, 95:20, 96:17, 100:6, 100:24, 100:25, 101:16, 104:2, 110:19, 111:12, 115:16, 124:24, 126:15, 128:8, 136:17, 140:8, 140:24, 146:17, 148:15, 155:15, 156:1 Lots - 32:15, 140:17, 140:18 Loud - 138:18, 138:22 Low - 61:18, 109:7, 122:16 **Lower -** 31:6, 35:5, 132:1

М

Lowest - 22:25

Madam - 1:8, 69:13, 69:25, 76:17, 97:20, 137:21, 156:24 **MADD - 19:18**, 24:16 **Magical - 150:9 Main -** 1:13, 1:14, 7:25, 10:11, 21:5, 31:23, 60:11 Majority - 80:15,

127:12 Make - 9:23, 9:25, 91:7, 124:12, 125:1, 126:5, 143:2, 145:19, 145:23, 146:13, 157:7, 159:1 Making - 4:8. 72:17, 159:5 Manage - 4:5 Many - 141:23, 147:23 Marijuana - 64:12, 67:5, 70:3, 70:21, 71:11, 74:11 Marked - 16:3 Matters - 86:22, 92:1, 103:10, 107:3, 109:2, 109:3, 110:11, 116:21, 125:24, 130:14, 130:19, 130:22, 130:25, 140:15, 149:8, 150:25 Maxed - 23:18, 23:19 Means - 43:24, 56:6, 83:16 Meant - 105:4 Measures - 1:15, 6:21, 15:3, 20:24, 61:7, 82:20, 94:22 Measuring - 6:23 **Meat -** 48:10 Mechanics - 153:1 Mechanisms - 112: **Media -** 10:13, 10:14, 10:15, 10:16, 12:8, 18:25, 49:5, 49:10, 67:11, 132:11 Medians - 59:9, 59:22 Mediation - 107:1, 110:23, 111:11, 111:25, 114:11, 135:15, 139:7, 139:10, 139:11, 139:17, 140:3, 140:6, 140:14, 141:1, 141:14

Mediations - 102:1

8, 110:21, 141:16

Mediator - 111:3,

112:7, 128:25, 133:6 Mediators - 140:18 Medical - 90:15, 114:4, 116:15 **Meet -** 5:13, 26:19, 28:12 **Meeting -** 9:21, 26:17 Meetings - 9:11 Member - 5:3, 5:4, 5:10, 108:6, 126:9, 132:13, 157:21, 158:19 Members - 8:16, 11:5, 14:10, 28:9, 40:10, 49:17, 78:20, 95:15 **Memory -** 153:21 Men - 51:21 Merged - 100:25 Meritorious - 148:2 5. 150:18 Message - 79:3 Messages - 66:11, 79:6 Messaging - 12:13, 66:8, 66:10 Met - 9:9, 9:10 **Metal -** 42:12 **Metro -** 30:2, 34:11 Michael - 77:2, 138:7 Mild - 117:14 Miller - 103:15 Millions - 132:12 **Mindful -** 73:23 Minds - 143:6, 143:16 Minimum - 46:11 Minister - 100:2 Ministers - 83:5 Minor - 90:9, 91:6, 136:7 Miss - 149:25 Missed - 149:10, 155:8 Missing - 149:21, 149:23 Moderate - 156:3 Moms - 122:7 **Monday -** 18:16,

40:7, 60:23, 82:13, 90:11, 99:18, 118:8, 126:23, 142:16, 150:12, 161:18 **Montreal - 133:14** Morning - 1:3, 13:15, 13:17, 49:6, 107:17. 118:25 Motor - 37:2, 37:15, 37:21, 38:7, 38:9, 70:15, 76:11, 82:22, 84:11, 86:2, 86:18, 87:19, 87:24, 90:1, 90:8, 114:3, 116:15 Motorcycles - 40:1 0.40:13 Motoring - 28:2. 87:2 Motorists - 79:25 **Mount -** 38:16 **Move -** 53:13, 65:13 Moved - 6:16, 53:5 Movement - 9:7. 27:2, 27:3 Movements - 48:2 Moving - 27:12, 34:7, 50:8, 50:16, 53:7, 73:20 Much - 1:8, 1:23, 4:3, 23:19, 35:5, 46:12, 47:12, 54:12, 76:16, 94:3, 95:24, 97:25, 106:25, 120:20, 141:19, 143:23, 145:11, 161:4, 161:10, 162:4 Multi - 36:24 Municipal - 4:25. 19:17, 73:1, 151:23 Municipalities - 49 :22, 49:25, 59:25, 60:4, 79:19 Municipality - 34:1 Ν

Nag - 118:15

Nalcor - 29:2

Narrow - 60:13

National - 5:2,

128:9

Named - 103:14. 17:25, 18:1, 64:19 129:9 Nationally - 101:14

128:22 Navigators - 127:1 Near - 38:24 **Nearby - 35:10** Nearing - 53:6 Necessary - 158:1 Neck - 90:13, 117:4 Needed - 10:9. 47:2, 48:3 Negotiate - 114:16 Negotiated - 104:5 Negotiating - 113:2 0, 133:19 Neighbour - 151:2 **Neutral - 128:24**, 128:25, 136:25 New - 28:11, 65:21, 72:19, 75:3, 80:21 Newer - 54:3, 74:21 Newfoundland - 1: 17, 2:25, 3:3, 5:5, 22:8, 46:4, 79:1, 86:14, 99:16, 99:20, 108:4, 126:19 Newfoundlanders **-** 72:18 **NEWMAN - 160:24** Nil - 35:5 Nine - 14:22, 15:2, 102:15 NL - 19:17, 38:9, 39:20, 40:7, 40:17 Nobles - 124:24 Nobody - 66:5. 91:20, 126:24 Noise - 40:13 Non - 14:3, 22:8, 88:11, 88:14, 89:6, 93:9, 113:17, 119:8, 147:13 **North -** 127:15 Northeast - 7:12, 42:10, 49:23, 67:13, 68:1 Noted - 34:22, 58:17, 122:3 Notes - 121:17 Notice - 82:11. 126:24, 127:1 **Notify -** 43:10 Notion - 129:5,

104:19, 104:22

Money - 75:17,

Monitors - 96:25

Months - 27:6,

120:2

10:15, 38:13,

40:17, 77:15

Notwithstanding -52:17 November - 2:22, 6:7, 6:17 **NTV - 10:17** Numbers - 7:7, 7:13, 11:13, 21:8, 21:10, 21:24, 23:3. 23:4, 23:5, 23:8, 31:8, 31:9, 41:7, 41:11, 41:13, 42:3, 50:2, 50:24, 51:7, 55:9, 61:16, 61:18, 64:7, 67:10, 74:15, 85:14, 88:2, 91:22, 96:10

0

Objection - 128:16, 136:5 Objectionable - 15 6:19 Objective - 6:15, 24:13, 27:19, 49:21, 68:24 Objectives - 17:22 Observation - 88:4 **Observed -** 132:16 **Obstructions -** 60: **Obtain -** 55:7, 62:18 **Occasion - 153:13** Occasions - 60:3, 128:20, 130:13 **Occupied - 153:24** Occur - 83:1 Occurred - 55:15, 113:25, 127:20, 133:22 Occurring - 75:6 October - 17:24, 18:2, 18:3, 63:18, 63:19, 66:14, 161:23 O'dea - 100:20, 100:21 Offence - 37:4, 55:14 Offences - 46:25, 55:4, 81:19 Offer - 120:18, 120:19, 120:20, 145:4, 157:7,

157:14, 159:20

145:9

Offered - 79:18,

Offers - 120:16, 145:7, 145:16 Office - 4:17, 111:21, 148:15 **Officer -** 2:8, 43:19, 44:24, 64:19, 67:5, 68:21, 80:3 Officers - 8:15. 8:19, 8:20, 9:1, 9:10, 11:12, 11:16, 13:3, 19:9, 19:17, 22:14, 26:2, 28:8, 37:8, 38:12, 40:9, 49:18, 56:3, 63:3, 64:18, 64:23, 64:24, 67:22, 67:24, 68:7, 68:14, 68:17, 68:22, 78:6, 78:17 Officially - 45:6 Officials - 19:22 **O'flaherty** - 97:18, 156:23, 157:5, 157:16, 157:25, 158:6, 158:12, 158:18, 158:21, 159:3, 159:9, 159:13, 159:18, 159:24, 160:3, 160:18, 160:22 Often - 40:2, 52:16, 113:16, 118:22, 122:12, 125:24, 126:21, 131:10, 136:13, 155:10 Oftentimes - 3:11, 4:17 Old - 68:10 **Ombuds -** 128:22 One - 1:12, 6:14, 6:21, 6:22, 11:7, 12:7, 13:4, 15:9, 16:17, 17:9, 17:23, 17:25, 18:2, 18:13, 18:23, 21:23, 25:19, 25:21, 26:19, 27:25, 28:7, 31:22, 33:22, 34:15, 34:16, 41:2, 45:1, 46:9, 46:23, 48:13, 52:13, 60:9, 60:23, 62:25, 68:12, 70:2, 72:14, 74:8, 75:16, 78:4, 78:10, 81:5, 83:7, 85:19, 87:15,

88:22, 88:24, 92:1,

96:12, 96:16, 101:6, 105:15, 116:6, 117:11, 121:16, 122:3, 122:21, 124:12, 126:19, 126:23, 139:20, 139:21, 148:2. 150:24. 156:4, 156:5, 159:20, 160:11 Ones - 41:17, 41:20, 123:16 Ongoing - 12:1, 12:3 **Ontario - 143:13 Onus -** 43:18, 80:22 **Onward -** 57:13 **Open -** 10:21, 83:13, 83:17, 129:16 Opening - 1:4, 87:17 **Openly -** 64:6 **Operate -** 63:21, 160:15 **Operates -** 36:13 Operating - 43:11 Operation - 3:3, 18:4, 18:18, 18:22 Operational - 17:1 7, 18:6 **Operationally - 39:** 14, 39:19, 42:17 Operations - 18:22 19:24 **Opinion -** 140:16, 140:17 **Opinions - 132:10**, 143:10 **Opportunities - 27**: **Optimism -** 73:16 Optimistic - 64:8, 66:2 **Option - 153:19 Options -** 74:24, 75:17 Order - 19:13, 126:23, 139:21 **Organ - 128:1 Organizations - 9**: **Oriented - 75:19** Otherwise - 114:4 **Ottawa -** 2:19 **Ours -** 95:13

Ourselves - 21:24. 40:15, 125:10 Outcome - 141:13 Outdated - 47:1 Outer - 13:14 **Outlet - 49:10** Outlets - 10:16. 12:8. 12:9. 67:11 **Outline - 98:19** Outset - 135:11 **Outward -** 53:14 **Overly -** 66:2 Own - 8:15, 8:16, 9:1, 11:5, 11:12, 11:16, 13:3, 25:16, 33:21, 65:4, 71:24, 107:9, 120:10, 120:22, 127:21, 145:10, 146:4, 146:5, 147:20 Owner - 56:23 **OXFORD** - 97:21, 161:1 Ρ Package - 113:23 **Paid -** 132:17, 143:1 Pain - 90:13, 114:7 **Pan -** 40:22 Paradise - 38:16 Parameter - 42:23 Parameters - 58:19 , 58:22, 107:19 Paraplegic - 131:2 Park - 74:24 **Parking -** 32:15 Partaken - 78:15 Participated - 133: 23, 134:8 Participation - 87:

Particularly - 104:6

, 115:17, 132:12,

Parties - 45:11,

139:10, 140:1,

144:11, 145:25,

146:18, 157:19,

Partner - 10:10,

Partnered - 9:11,

140:2, 141:5,

161:23

19:16

91:5, 106:7, 107:6,

107:7, 111:5, 124:9,

150:9

Partnering - 52:3 Partners - 24:14, 25:21, 26:4, 26:16, 50:19, 73:1, 77:8 Partnership - 40:3 Partnerships - 12: 13, 25:7, 25:12 **Party -** 41:5, 91:4, 120:14, 146:5, 157:11, 159:21 Passed - 49:10, 142:16 Passenger - 39:3, 96:1 Past - 90:22, 102:22, 161:18 **Patrol -** 3:3, 8:19, 9:10, 40:9, 97:2 **Pattern - 112:3 Paul - 1:19** Pay - 120:7 Paying - 146:3, 146:5 **Payment - 126:6 Pearl - 38:16** Pecuniary - 113:17 , 114:2, 147:13 **Pedal - 23:22** Pedestrians - 9:8, 60:14 **Penalty -** 63:13 People - 11:18, 16:5, 19:10, 19:14, 29:21, 32:15, 35:18, 36:2, 39:1, 39:9, 55:25, 56:16, 57:14, 61:10, 61:19, 65:3, 67:4, 69:20, 80:8, 80:13, 80:15, 81:4, 81:20, 81:25, 87:9, 91:25, 92:6, 92:10, 92:15, 97:7, 100:4, 107:8, 110:8, 110:14, 111:12, 115:1, 117:13, 117:14, 118:12, 118:14, 120:5, 120:9, 121:2, 122:6, 125:5, 127:11, 127:18, 132:3, 132:16, 136:7, 137:9, 138:18, 139:3, 140:20, 140:23, 147:1,

148:16, 151:8, 155:23 **People's - 143:6** Percent - 20:20, 42:11, 70:14, 71:1, 84:9, 87:22, 87:23, 88:2, 130:19, 140:10, 140:12 Percentage - 23:10 , 70:6, 86:19, 130:24 Perhaps - 2:4, 5:20, 5:22, 8:3, 12:18, 71:10, 78:14, 90:11, 96:16, 99:2, 104:8, 115:19, 115:25, 116:18, 122:25, 132:19, 135:21, 136:14, 156:11 **Period -** 20:23, 66:2, 66:13, 91:11, 117:17, 149:11, 149:21, 149:23, 149:25, 150:7 Periods - 149:11, 151:4 **Permits - 157:7 Permitted - 159:20** Permutation - 152: Perplexed - 34:10 **Persisted - 160:15 Person -** 37:9, 43:11, 61:20, 62:8, 86:7, 90:23, 136:25 Personal - 75:25, 86:16, 87:25, 88:6, 88:8, 89:23, 103:21, 107:3, 109:4, 109:9, 110:11, 113:7, 116:25, 118:7, 119:15, 121:24, 129:7, 130:22, 131:18, 132:1, 136:23, 140:12, 140:15, 147:20, 149:8, 155:18, 156:2, 156:13, 156:15 Personally - 11:7, 11:11 **Persons - 124:1** Person's - 147:12. 147:25, 149:12 Perspective - 74:2

1, 98:22, 114:14 **Persuade -** 115:13 Persuading - 115:1 Petty - 26:18, 27:5, 27:6 **Phase - 161:16 Phillip - 34:17** Phone - 48:19, 62:9, 62:13, 62:15 **Phones -** 61:10 **Photo -** 52:16 **Photos -** 52:20 **Picture - 11:22** Piece - 8:5, 8:13, 9:14, 11:2, 11:23, 19:21, 60:13, 63:1, 63:9, 96:12, 96:16, 96:24, 147:21, 148:24, 152:19, 152:23, 153:8, 153:11 Pieces - 6:22, 54:13, 147:23 Pillar - 12:17, 12:19 Pillars - 5:24, 20:25, 69:6 Pilot - 49:24 **Pinpoint - 21:5** Place - 5:23, 6:9, 6:13, 7:6, 7:23, 9:24, 10:12, 10:22, 13:11, 13:18, 15:5, 25:20, 35:18, 38:23, 48:5, 58:19, 59:22, 61:1, 85:23, 119:24 **Places - 126:20 Plaintiff** - 105:19, 105:21, 106:1, 114:20, 119:2, 120:19, 135:24, 144:7, 145:2, 145:9 **Plaintiffs - 115:17**, 120:10, 128:24, 131:8, 136:13, 136:18 **Plaintiff's - 111:6**, 117:16 Plan - 6:8, 6:10, 6:11, 6:18, 7:5, 7:17, 14:22, 17:22, 18:6, 20:3, 20:23, 24:7, 24:9, 83:22, 85:20, 87:7

Plans - 17:17, 88:6

Play - 66:20 **Played - 3:18 Pleased - 73:9 Pleasure - 161:8 Points - 23:10**, 47:20, 63:13, 81:18, 81:22, 156:6 Police - 2:8, 2:12. 2:18, 5:3, 8:15, 18:4, 25:16, 26:1, 37:6, 42:24, 43:11, 44:24, 49:1, 52:19, 56:19, 62:8, 65:16, 79:3, 80:3, 83:10, 84:8, 84:15, 96:19 **Policed - 7:11 Policing - 15:21**, 26:20, 55:5 Politicians - 73:24 Poor - 145:20 Population - 7:10, 94:16, 94:18, 96:2 **Portion -** 37:16, 153:7 **Portions - 32:9** Positioned - 78:8 **Positions - 160:11** Positive - 50:4, 50:17, 73:2, 73:18 Positively - 73:21 Possession - 56:1 **Posted -** 46:14 **Potential - 82:20.** 91:20, 141:24, 147:6 Potentially - 82:23, 84:19, 87:10, 141:12, 144:13, 151:22, 152:2 **Pouch -** 60:10, 60:12 **Power - 124:23 Powers -** 66:22 Practically - 43:24 **Practice - 99:22**, 100:8, 100:13, 139:8, 160:7 Practised - 101:22 Practising - 101:4, 104:5, 117:22, 148:15 **Pre -** 106:15. 135:3, 141:22 Preamble - 6:5 Precedent - 139:6

Predict - 70:2 **Predictability - 141** :13 Preference - 156:1 3. 156:15 **Prepare - 104:18**, 104:19, 104:20, 161:21 Prepared - 66:4, 104:17, 145:3 Preparing - 65:1, 104:21 Prescribed - 117:5, 117:9 Present - 116:2, 133:17 Presentation - 28: **Presentations - 26** :5. 161:20 Presenter - 1:6. 98:15, 98:18 Presidencies - 101 :19 **President - 101:14**, 101:18, 101:20 Presumably - 58:3, 58:25, 94:5 **Prevent - 33:15** Preventing - 36:1 Prevention - 1:17 Previous - 2:10, 30:11, 47:16, 54:17, 85:19, 108:3 Previously - 3:9, 27:22 **Price - 116:18 Prince -** 2:13, 34:16 Principled - 136:5 **Prior -** 4:3, 25:12, 27:6. 40:14 **Priorities -** 6:12 **Priority -** 51:24, 52:4, 68:13, 68:25 **Prison -** 82:14 **Private -** 56:23, 65:4, 71:25, 96:1, 97:11, 100:8 **Problem - 7:8,** 7:18, 7:22, 8:16, 8:21, 9:23, 10:4, 15:23, 16:2, 26:1, 26:24, 28:1, 28:5, 33:13, 44:11, 45:10, 47:22, 48:10, 60:10, 60:21, 61:7,

65:18, 79:11, 81:24, 130:12 Problematic - 9:5. 11:19, 91:3 **Problems - 10:11**, 14:7, 17:5, 32:23, 41:2 **Procedure - 17:13.** 80:24, 99:22, 119:25 Proceedings - 125: 22, 126:2, 126:18, 127:1, 137:16, 147:19, 152:21 **Produce - 43:25** Produced - 44:2 Profession - 101:1 6, 158:9 Professional - 99:4 99:23 Professionals - 90: **Program - 2:18**, 10:23, 10:24, 22:12, 22:13, 22:22, 78:5, 128:23 **Programs - 18:1**, 25:20, 78:10 Prohibited - 44:21 **Prohibitive -** 81:2 Project - 12:5 **Promise -** 73:24 **Promised -** 73:11 Promoted - 4:23 **Pronged -** 7:19, 13:5 **Prongs - 8:4 Proper - 38:22**, 107:14 **Properly -** 65:23 **Property - 92:2,** 153:24 Proportionately - 1 23:14, 123:17 **Proposal - 49:14**, 72:25 **Proposed - 149:18** Prospective - 100: **Proven - 59:10 Provide -** 44:14. 98:19 **Provided -** 14:24. 40:8, 40:18, 43:13, 78:19, 79:2, 121:11 **Provides - 161:22 Providing - 28:16**,

Precisely - 20:4

44:22 Province - 5:5, 8:25, 9:8, 12:12, 22:7, 46:3, 49:11, 50:18, 53:4, 65:14, 66:9, 67:12, 70:15, 94:6, 94:7, 94:12 Provincial - 5:1. 5:11, 25:16, 46:3, 47:24, 49:15, 50:7, 50:11, 63:9, 64:21, 65:7, 72:25, 78:25, 84:11, 151:23 **Provincially - 65:2** Provisions - 82:1 Psychophysical -68:2 **Public -** 7:21, 9:3, 9:13, 11:9, 12:7, 13:2, 16:4, 28:2, 83:6, 87:1, 87:2, 92:15, 128:14, 132:9, 132:13 Public's - 131:16 Published - 108:21 108:22, 108:24 **Pulling - 32:15** Pun - 29:22, 137:10 Pushed - 105:3. 105:4 **Putting -** 6:18, 7:16, 7:22, 9:24, 15:1, 60:20, 65:23, 70:1, 70:11, 127:23, 136:4

Q

Quadriplegic - 116 :21, 131:23 **Quarter - 56:9.** 56:10 **Quarters** - 23:7, 23:12, 150:12 Queen's - 103:15, 125:7, 125:14 Quick - 24:6, 98:8 **Quickly -** 117:13, 118:14, 125:3

R

Radar - 79:4 Radars - 79:8 **Raise - 12:6 Raises - 19:20** Ran - 81:22 Range - 108:1, 108:2, 109:2, 109:7, 109:9, 109:16, 109:17, 109:20, 112:4, 112:10, 113:12, 114:10, 114:14, 115:6, 115:15, 132:21, 133:20, 144:5 Ranges - 113:16, 116:2, 116:23, 117:5, 117:10, 121:1 Rank - 4:11 Rate - 22:8, 64:13, 67:14, 105:7, 110:5, 110:7, 140:15, 141:3 **Rather -** 34:12, 129:8, 129:10, 129:11, 129:13, 131:3, 137:1, 137:4, 137:8, 137:9, 137:15 **Ratio -** 105:1 **RCMP -** 5:12, 19:16, 25:17, 65:17, 94:4, 94:8, 94:12, 94:16, 94:24, 95:4, 95:17, 95:18 Reach - 106:7 Reachable - 121:2 Reaction - 14:17 **Reader - 37:9 Ready -** 39:9, 68:15 Realistic - 160:17 **Reality -** 41:13, 69:15, 76:8, 130:15, 131:20 **Realize -** 3:17 **Realized -** 46:23 Rear - 33:3 Reason - 21:22, 57:16, 57:19, 80:4, 81:5, 92:3, 140:21 Reasonable - 109: 18, 115:14, 144:5, 156:17 Reasoning - 109:2 4, 158:24, 159:1 **Reasons - 63:23**,

Raising - 7:21

Ramped - 22:22

156:5 **Receive -** 37:7, 116:6, 119:13 Received - 37:7, 119:17 Receiving - 73:17 Recent - 43:16. 150:24 **Recently - 66:10** Recognition - 67:2 3. 68:19. 85:4 Recommend - 142: 13 Recommendations - 47:25, 48:4, 96:8, 139:14 Recompensed - 13 2:17 Reconstruction - 2 :17. 3:13 Reconstructionist **-** 2:21 **Recorded - 108:20 Recorder -** 53:24, 54:6, 54:7, 54:8, 54:9, 82:23, 96:23 Recorders - 53:20 **Records - 57:3.** 62:14 **Recover -** 117:13, 117:14, 147:12, 150:20 **Recovery - 122:11**, 147:25 Recruited - 104:3 **Reduce -** 5:21. 6:24, 15:5, 31:24, 32:2, 33:24, 41:7 **Reducing - 32:12.** 32:13, 59:12 Referenced - 1:11. 5:25 References - 27:13 . 157:6 **Referred - 16:11**, 125:24 **Refers - 122:6 Reflect -** 61:17 Reflected - 87:6, 93:8 **Refusal - 157:9 Refusing - 157:11** Registrar - 36:14. 36:21, 36:25, 40:25, 41:1, 42:19, 43:6, 43:10

5, 37:21, 38:7, 38:8, 38:9 **Registry - 145:15** Regular - 104:10 Regulation - 82:25, 151:22, 151:23 **Relate -** 86:21. 113:16 Relation - 64:1. 133:3 Relationships - 25: 14, 27:9, 95:18 **Relative - 139:9** Relatively - 91:6, 91:15 **Release -** 56:20, 56:22 **Relief - 111:5** Remarks - 1:4 **Repair - 116:14** Repercussions - 1 57:10 **Report -** 1:15, 20:6, 29:24, 34:19, 40:22, 62:7, 88:11, 88:20, 89:1, 89:4, 89:5, 90:2, 90:17, 90:25, 91:8, 91:9, 91:11, 91:12, 92:4, 92:10, 92:16, 92:23, 132:11, 161:22 Reportable - 37:3. 71:21 Reported - 37:5, 61:19, 84:8, 84:15, 84:16, 84:25, 85:6 Reporting - 37:13, 86:25, 87:8 **Reports - 29:15,** 29:16, 30:11, 36:15, 37:6, 41:12, 56:5, 56:6, 61:17, 87:8, 88:5, 88:16, 88:20, 91:20, 96:24, 108:22, 110:3, 114:21 Representative - 1 11:17, 133:12, 134:16 Representatives -115:2, 115:19, 133:8 Represented - 128 :20 **Require -** 45:10, 65:10

Required - 82:25 Requirement - 90: 2, 91:10, 92:5 Requirements - 52 :18, 83:7 **Resolve - 121:2 Resolved -** 60:24, 74:18, 123:18 Resolving - 136:10 **Resorts -** 26:23 **Resource - 23:17**, 59:19 Resources - 4:6, 6:13, 10:2, 10:3, 14:7, 14:9, 15:22, 17:3, 19:9, 23:21, 24:1, 50:1, 50:3, 65:24, 72:22, 73:9, 74:17, 74:18, 94:24, 95:6, 95:14, 95:19, 95:20 **Respect -** 3:12, 24:10, 121:23 **Respects - 101:12 Respond - 95:2** Response - 64:4, 80:13 Responsible - 3:5 **Restrict - 147:24**, 148:24, 149:12, 151:24 Restriction - 153:1 Restrictions - 152: **Result -** 36:3, 46:7, 110:22, 117:3, 124:2, 132:18, 137:13 Resulting - 87:25, 92:25 **Results - 20:2,** 20:5, 81:20, 143:25 **RESUME -** 98:12 Retailers - 63:20 **Retain - 156:14 Retired -** 99:2, 102:8, 102:11, 102:12, 102:14, 102:23, 122:20, 128:12, 128:13 Retirement - 99:7. 102:16, 102:21

Registration - 37:1

Retractors - 75:12

Reviewed - 149:9

Reviewing - 5:8,

32:9

Revised - 47:2 **Rig -** 81:6 **Right> -** 31:18 Rights - 153:23, 154:6 **Ring -** 13:14 **Risk - 143:9 RNC -** 2:6, 2:9, 2:11, 2:15, 2:21, 3:6, 4:15, 5:20, 6:8, 7:12, 10:19, 14:22, 17:9, 22:12, 29:15, 34:2, 36:13, 36:21, 39:16, 42:19, 44:20, 49:11, 51:20, 57:14, 57:16, 70:18, 70:20, 71:18, 72:12, 77:7, 77:25, 79:3, 84:7, 84:10, 84:15, 84:17, 84:25, 85:6, 86:24, 87:20, 87:25, 88:7, 90:3, 90:18, 91:19, 91:21, 92:17, 93:14, 94:5, 94:13, 94:17, 94:22 RNC's - 63:25, 86:10 **Road -** 5:13, 13:14, 18:14, 19:1, 24:10, 29:21, 30:2, 30:3, 30:6, 30:7, 33:12, 33:16, 34:20, 34:23, 35:10, 35:21, 38:6, 54:19, 60:11, 79:25, 131:5 Roads - 15:5, 30:20, 51:1, 51:22 **Roadside -** 68:24, 80:23 **Roadway -** 60:13 Robert - 98:18 **Role -** 4:4, 4:7, 4:14, 4:15, 6:17, 8:17, 99:6, 103:1, 103:3, 108:14 Roles - 3:18, 4:24, 117:11 Rolled - 113:23 Roof - 64:14 **Room -** 1:12, 2:4, 53:21, 61:9, 69:20, 129:17, 137:3 Round - 152:19 Roundabouts - 59: 23

Route - 111:10, 111:11, 120:8 Royal - 2:25, 3:2, 86:14 **Rubber - 131:5** Rule - 157:7, 157:19, 158:1, 158:16. 158:24. 158:25, 159:2, 159:6, 159:12, 159:14, 159:19, 160:7, 160:10, 160:14 Rules - 103:24, 141:24, 158:2, 158:3, 158:15, 158:20, 159:14 **Running -** 64:23, 140:10 **Runs - 18:15 Rush -** 117:25 S

Safe - 9:7, 27:3, 72:17, 74:23, 78:5 **Safely - 19:13**, 70:25 Safer - 75:4 Safety - 1:16, 5:13, 6:15, 6:19, 6:21, 15:24, 16:7, 18:14, 19:2, 22:21, 24:11, 38:5, 38:21, 38:25, 39:7, 39:11, 75:19, 77:10, 78:7, 83:6, 84:6, 85:23, 102:17 Sat - 7:15, 125:2 Satisfaction - 111: **Satisfy -** 55:15 **Saw -** 8:17, 62:8, 111:2, 123:8, 126:8, 148:15 **Scanned - 37:12 Scenes -** 28:20 **Schedule -** 161:22 School - 53:8, 53:12, 68:10, 100:11 **Schools - 26:4**, 95:11 **Scrap -** 42:12 **Screens - 14:21 Sealed - 145:15**, 157:14

Search - 62:17,

108:1

Seatbelt - 14:4, 18:23, 22:9, 22:14, 22:16, 39:15, 54:23, 57:6 Seatbelts - 75:13 **Seating - 78:8 Seats -** 78:5, 78:8 **Second -** 29:14. 56:10, 56:11, 68:20, 131:12 **Seconds -** 54:17, 54:19, 56:8 See - 6:24, 10:11, 11:13, 13:4, 14:16, 18:10, 18:24, 20:8, 20:11, 21:23, 23:16, 26:8, 28:13, 30:1, 30:3, 30:11, 31:15, 32:10, 33:6, 34:20, 35:2, 35:4, 35:14, 38:22, 41:8, 45:6, 52:16, 55:9, 58:8, 61:21, 61:25, 66:6, 67:6, 79:4, 82:15, 82:16, 83:14, 83:15, 93:5, 117:15, 117:21, 118:2, 119:14, 123:7, 123:8, 127:8, 134:10, 136:12, 142:11, 145:16, 146:25, 155:2, 156:16 Seeing - 13:23, 16:6, 23:2, 23:5, 61:10, 67:16, 75:23, 75:24 Seen - 41:3, 114:25, 131:9, 132:25, 133:5 **Seize - 124:23** Selecting - 16:23 **Selection -** 149:15, 150:8 **Selective - 15:11**, 16:13, 16:15, 18:21 **Self -** 80:2 **Sell -** 63:22 Senior - 100:16, 122:6, 128:8, 143:13 Sent - 20:6, 64:25 September - 73:10 Sergeant - 2:23, 4:12, 6:6, 80:3 Sergeants - 26:19

Serious - 7:8, 47:5 Seriousness - 75:2 **Service - 19:16,** 38:9, 39:20, 40:7, 40:17, 86:14 Services - 2:13, 2:24, 3:4, 3:5, 6:6, 25:24, 26:2, 49:17, 56:4 Serving - 80:2 Set - 9:11, 17:8, 17:9, 121:8, 125:12 **Setting - 134:8** Settle - 111:9, 117:15, 127:11, 127:19, 129:11, 134:17, 135:9 **Settled -** 110:10. 111:4, 111:7, 111:24, 112:6, 123:16, 134:22, 134:23 Settlement - 103:1 1, 103:17, 103:25, 104:14, 104:16, 105:1, 105:6, 105:9, 105:24, 106:6, 106:8, 106:19, 106:21, 106:24, 107:2, 109:21, 110:4, 110:6, 110:7, 110:12, 110:17. 110:20, 111:1, 111:19, 111:23, 112:12, 113:3, 114:10, 114:16, 118:4, 118:7, 132:5, 133:24, 135:6, 135:13, 135:25, 136:12, 136:16, 137:3, 139:18, 139:21, 140:5, 140:6, 141:2, 141:22, 144:3, 160:19 Settlements - 113: 7, 128:3, 131:3, 131:8, 131:9, 131:17, 132:11, 133:22, 134:5 **Settling -** 103:10, 116:20 **Seven -** 22:24, 24:11, 85:21, 151:1 **Several -** 76:9,

103:12, 151:13 Severity - 7:1, 8:23, 11:14 Sexual - 150:25, 151:4, 151:10 **Sexually - 151:9 SFST -** 68:23 **Shall - 146:17 Share -** 95:14, 95:20, 126:16, 158:13 **Sharing -** 43:6, 82:21, 98:22 **Shaved - 20:17 Shed -** 152:2 **Shift -** 23:22 **Shouldn't** - 118:5 **Show -** 22:10, 28:19, 52:4, 97:2, 117:10 **Showed -** 114:22 **Showing -** 52:2 **Shown -** 70:12 **Shows -** 97:5 Side - 13:6, 13:24, 42:25, 49:19, 62:21, 63:7, 63:16, 66:15, 68:8, 75:12, 96:8, 105:15, 105:17, 106:3, 107:16, 109:15, 109:25, 111:6, 111:8, 117:23, 119:19, 139:22, 141:14, 145:13, 145:19, 146:4, 149:7, 160:11 Sides - 115:4, 115:5, 115:11, 129:2, 139:16, 139:17 Sight - 60:6 Sign - 55:15 **Signage -** 35:18 Significant - 8:21, 33:13, 35:11, 51:8, 51:20, 96:13, 97:10, 116:11, 145:19, 157:10 Significantly - 23:9 . 53:5 **Signs -** 59:23, 79:3, 79:4, 79:5, 79:8 **Similar -** 18:17, 30:11, 54:2, 54:10, 79:7, 90:23, 94:24,

Sergeant's - 6:17

96:22, 103:15 **Simulator - 25:23**, 26:7, 27:23, 28:14 Simulators - 95:10 **Siren -** 97:4 Sit - 28:3 **Site -** 36:4 **Sitting -** 118:23, 146:15 **Situation -** 31:24, 90:7, 140:22, 144:10 **Six -** 81:18, 132:19, 140:9, 151:1 **Skillful -** 140:25 **Skills -** 28:25 Slightly - 1:21, 27:12, 39:17 **Slow -** 36:2, 60:16, 79:6 **Small -** 129:8 **Smaller -** 58:22 **Snapshot -** 53:25 **Snowball - 81:24**, 82:15 **Sobriety -** 68:18 **Social -** 10:13, 10:15 Society - 27:2, 75:22, 101:17, 101:19, 101:21 **Soft -** 114:7, 118:13, 118:14, 123:3 **Softened -** 65:13 **Solicitor - 120:22.** 145:10 **Someone's -** 62:13 **Somewhat - 34:9**, 80:2, 102:8, 131:25, 134:3 **Soper -** 100:13, 100:17, 100:18 **Sorts -** 77:24, 140:13 **Sounded -** 73:8 **Sour -** 126:21 **Source - 158:1 Southern - 127:16 Speaks -** 29:9, 121:1 Specialists - 59:18 . 119:5. 119:8 Specialized - 95:19 Specific - 12:5, 13:12, 17:5, 22:6, 32:13, 33:4, 70:5,

137:12 **Speech -** 126:20 **Speeches -** 126:16 Speed - 54:22, 56:8, 58:22, 59:9, 59:22, 97:3 **Speeding -** 13:13, 13:16, 13:17, 14:4, 46:13, 47:14, 57:4, 59:6, 59:12, 60:8, 60:11. 61:2 **Speeds -** 35:15, 47:17 **Spike - 33:2** Spoken - 11:1, 94:21 **Spot - 39:4 Spots -** 30:17, 53:12 **Spotty -** 19:6 **Spring - 38:2 Squatter's -** 153:22 , 154:6 **St** - 5:3, 29:23, 38:16 **Stage -** 78:23, 137:16 Stakeholders - 24: 14 **Stamp -** 77:1 **Standard -** 75:15, 75:20 Standardized - 68: 17 **Standing - 30:21**, 105:25 Standpoint - 81:12 **Stands - 122:22 Star - 127:15** Stars - 127:14, 127:17, 127:18 Start - 1:22, 2:2, 5:23, 12:21, 28:11, 53:11, 65:11, 84:14, 98:25, 109:10 **Started -** 7:16, 58:21, 66:9, 100:17, 108:22, 112:11, 124:20, 124:22, 134:24 **Starting - 28:10 Starts -** 18:15. 90:12 State - 27:18, 64:11, 159:12 **Statement - 117:19**

, 117:23, 134:21,

135:1, 135:12 Statements - 120:4 Statistical - 20:6, 89:1, 89:4, 89:5, 92:23 Statistics - 22:10, 37:15, 64:9 **Statute - 125:13 Statutes - 99:21** Stavanger - 34:15 **Stay -** 92:22, 122:7 **Staying -** 66:19 **Stead -** 125:6 **Steady - 127:5** Steer - 127:14, 127:17, 127:20 **Steering -** 54:23, 57:4 **Step -** 41:10, 68:20 **Steps -** 83:1, 141:24 **Stick -** 6:23 **Stiff -** 90:13 Stolen - 78:13, 78:17, 78:18, 78:22 **Stop -** 17:9, 43:24, 52:5, 59:23, 60:20, 61:22, 76:6, 86:6, 86:18 **Stopped -** 36:8. 38:15, 43:19, 47:10, 80:16, 82:6 Stopping - 80:8 **Stops -** 39:16, 86:5 Stored - 54:22, 56:1, 56:8, 56:25 **Story -** 49:5, 107:9 Strategic - 7:5, 13:11, 14:8, 16:9, 16:17, 17:2, 17:22, 18:20, 19:4 **Street -** 33:11, 33:20, 152:1 Streets - 29:23, 30:2, 31:4, 31:7, 31:16, 31:25, 32:9, 33:25 Strengthened - 25: Strengthening - 27 **Strong -** 10:13, 12:13, 22:12 **Structure -** 46:24 **Students - 122:7 Studies -** 59:21

Submissions - 161 Submitted - 48:5, 89:2 Subsequent - 81:1 **Substantially - 116** :24 **Success - 105:1**, 105:7, 140:11, 140:15, 141:3 Successful - 104:2 . 144:12 Sudden - 125:20 **Sue -** 126:2, 149:18, 152:25 **Sued - 155:8 Suffer -** 118:12. 118:14 Suffering - 114:7 Suggestion - 42:22 , 60:17, 60:19, 97:11 Suggestions - 42:1 5, 83:15 **Suing - 151:4** Suit - 156:10 Summerside - 2:12 , 2:13 **Sunday - 104:22 Suppose - 44:1**, 82:24, 87:9, 91:19, 112:17, 119:9, 128:17, 129:1, 140:2, 141:5, 145:22, 148:20, 154:10, 158:25 **Supposed - 102:16 Supreme - 99:1**, 99:6, 103:1, 108:8, 108:9, 119:22, 120:3, 121:1, 124:4, 125:9, 125:15, 125:16, 131:21, 142:10, 159:14 **Surprised - 137:17** Surrounding - 74:1 **Suspect - 125:4**, 160:9, 160:10 Suspected - 68:2 Suspended - 42:9, 81:21, 81:25, 82:3, 82:8 **Swamped - 121:4**,

Stumbles - 73:19

131:1 Switch - 121:6, 147:4 Sworn - 136:17 Synonymous - 9:1 6, 54:7 System - 37:13, 113:8, 116:3, 124:21, 137:11, 139:20, 144:24 Systems - 40:11

Т **Table -** 29:25, 30:4, 34:7, 34:10, 34:14, 34:19, 35:1 Tackle - 7:18, 14:7, 28:6, 28:7, 49:18 **Tackling -** 63:5 Taking - 1:23, 72:16, 103:25, 127:22, 129:16, 130:14, 154:12, 154:13, 161:5 Tandem - 36:13, 39:19 **Tasked -** 6:8, 6:18 Tax - 101:7 **Taxation - 101:9** Taxi - 38:5, 95:22, 96:9. 96:14 Taxis - 38:6, 38:15, 39:6, 96:1 **Team -** 7:16, 8:18, 10:20 **Teamed - 38:13** Technology - 59:1, 75:11 **Teddy -** 103:14 Telephone - 111:21 **Telling -** 31:22 Ten - 21:3, 100:15, 102:8, 150:12, 151:1 Tens - 72:1 **Term -** 6:23, 16:16, 17:12, 19:6, 84:14, 154:11 **Terms -** 1:14, 3:10, 24:2, 61:18, 66:22, 67:5, 70:24, 75:4, 94:5, 94:22, 99:5, 105:11, 114:13, 117:2, 153:9

Study - 75:2

Territory - 94:16

Testing - 68:2,

Testimony - 73:13

68:10, 68:11, 68:18, 68:20, 68:24 **Texting - 62:9** Thankfully - 149:1 Thanks - 97:15, 98:9 **That's -** 3:15, 3:22, 4:23, 6:9, 7:11, 7:19, 8:3, 9:21, 10:23, 12:1, 13:1, 13:21, 15:16, 15:24, 16:8, 16:10, 17:1, 17:5, 17:21, 18:14, 20:15, 23:2, 24:19, 26:12, 26:14, 26:16, 27:24, 28:21, 29:24, 31:5, 31:7, 33:1, 34:14, 36:18, 37:2, 37:16, 38:1, 39:23, 39:25, 40:16, 41:25, 42:23, 43:22, 44:3, 45:7, 45:10, 45:24, 47:21, 47:22, 48:10, 48:13, 48:22, 50:23, 58:24, 58:25, 60:22, 61:19, 64:20, 64:21, 64:22, 65:2, 65:8, 65:9, 65:22, 67:15, 68:13, 69:11, 70:9, 70:23, 71:5, 71:7, 71:13, 72:10, 72:25, 73:3, 73:5, 76:13, 77:12, 77:22, 78:10, 80:12, 80:13, 82:16, 83:3, 85:8, 89:9, 90:5, 93:11, 94:15, 94:18, 95:23, 97:6, 97:10, 97:13, 102:11, 109:22, 111:1, 111:23, 115:10, 115:21, 117:11, 120:10, 123:25, 125:6, 125:8, 125:9, 127:9, 128:3, 131:1, 131:4, 134:22, 135:24, 137:16, 137:19, 141:2, 141:16, 143:21, 146:12, 150:2,

153:7, 154:20, 155:13, 156:4, 157:13, 158:11, 162:1 **Theme - 27:5** Thereabouts - 156: Therefore - 74:8. 115:5, 156:2 There's - 9:4, 17:25, 21:2, 25:22, 32:10, 32:14, 32:21, 32:23, 33:2, 37:4, 41:4, 41:13, 42:1, 43:9, 43:17, 50:17, 70:21, 74:1, 83:13, 84:23, 85:4, 87:19, 88:2, 90:1, 91:10, 91:21, 92:4, 93:13, 93:20, 95:15, 95:24, 117:25, 119:9, 119:10, 122:8, 127:13, 129:13, 129:19, 129:24, 137:5, 137:7, 140:1, 144:11, 147:10, 150:9, 152:24, 155:10, 155:15, 155:16, 155:17 These - 7:25, 9:22, 11:4, 11:13, 14:9, 19:7, 19:12, 19:19, 19:23, 23:3, 23:4, 23:5, 26:12, 29:4, 30:20, 31:9, 33:1, 33:24, 39:13, 41:3, 41:11, 41:12, 41:17, 42:3, 46:19, 48:11, 51:22, 54:13, 59:7, 59:9, 75:3, 75:14, 75:18, 76:6, 80:1, 80:4, 80:24, 81:4, 95:7, 101:2, 104:8, 105:9, 107:17, 113:16, 113:22, 113:25, 116:1, 120:24, 122:4, 122:9, 130:2, 131:14, 133:22, 134:5, 136:10, 142:20, 143:2, 143:10, 143:18,

145:15, 146:7

139:22

They'd - 109:13,

They'll - 81:21 They're - 9:16, 15:13, 39:7, 43:18, 43:19, 44:13, 44:21, 44:25, 57:13, 72:16, 75:19, 80:5, 89:19, 97:3. 97:4. 105:10. 108:24, 123:7, 125:11, 135:22, 140:25, 144:20 They've - 12:9, 53:5, 77:14 Third - 12:17, 133:2 Thoughtful - 161:2 Thousands - 72:1 **Three -** 5:14, 5:24, 6:9, 6:14, 7:19, 8:4, 13:5, 14:11, 20:25, 21:13, 32:16, 45:11, 75:10, 82:13, 99:18, 104:15, 118:8, 129:24, 130:2, 142:14, 142:16, 150:12 **Throw -** 10:4 **Thursday - 104:20 Ticket - 13:17.** 22:16, 47:10, 81:13, 81:17, 82:10, 86:6 **Tickets -** 52:20 **Tied -** 17:3 **Time -** 1:23, 7:11, 8:18, 15:4, 16:17, 17:15, 20:23, 22:21, 35:23, 48:8, 57:5, 65:11, 65:17, 66:3, 66:13, 74:25, 75:16, 80:2, 91:12, 101:16, 101:23, 103:24, 109:13, 115:12, 118:15, 121:11, 126:16, 127:4, 127:21, 131:1, 138:24, 141:20, 142:6, 142:16, 144:8, 149:1, 151:5, 161:5 Timeframes - 142: **Times -** 10:18, 12:4, 80:15, 81:20, 91:3, 91:25, 95:13,

132:19 Timing - 60:25 **Tires - 39:8** Tissue - 114:8, 118:13, 118:14, 123:3 **Title - 154:7** Today - 1:19, 2:2. 28:2, 77:1, 98:20, 108:21, 116:22, 128:6, 136:4, 138:17 Tolerance - 22:13 **Tomorrow - 13:19** Took - 13:21, 38:3, 107:3, 107:17, 119:24 **Tool - 58:10 Top -** 6:12, 20:9, 29:25, 63:11 Topic - 9:17, 121:7 **Topics - 138:16 Topsail - 30:2**, 30:6, 34:20, 34:23, 35:9, 35:21 **Torbay -** 34:15 **Toronto -** 118:22, 133:14 Total - 20:11, 93:5, 131:15, 131:22, 144:19 **Touched -** 44:12, 101:7, 138:16, 139:2 **Town -** 9:12, 17:10, 25:22, 26:17, 27:1 **Towns -** 79:18 Track - 71:20, 71:23, 72:2, 75:3, 91:21, 93:14, 96:22 **Tracking -** 91:20, 93:20, 96:21 **Tracks - 71:18 Traffic -** 2:16, 2:24, 3:5, 4:21, 5:4, 5:6, 5:8, 5:10, 5:16, 6:6, 8:20, 26:23, 27:2, 27:3, 28:3, 31:1, 31:7, 32:11, 35:13, 35:17, 43:17, 45:18, 46:4, 47:1, 47:16, 48:2, 48:12, 49:16, 49:17, 50:2, 56:3, 59:17, 59:18, 59:24, 59:25, 60:16, 60:25, 61:8, 63:4, 82:2, 84:11,

84:13, 85:24, 86:1, 86:3, 86:5, 86:18, 86:21, 91:11, 92:5 **Trained - 40:16**, 56:3, 56:16, 57:15, 57:17, 67:22, 67:25, 68:1, 68:8, 68:14, 68:17, 68:21, 68:22, 68:25 **Training -** 40:5, 40:8, 40:19, 58:1, 64:23, 64:25, 65:17, 69:1, 78:6, 78:12, 78:16, 78:20 Transferred - 99:1 Travelling - 97:2 **Treated - 28:15 Treating - 119:3 Treatment - 119:16** , 119:20, 119:21, 127:10, 128:2, 137:10, 137:11, 148:13 **Treble - 156:17** Tremendous - 103: Trend - 71:8, 74:2, 74:10, 76:10 Trial - 106:9, 106:11, 106:15, 123:16, 123:17, 123:19, 123:21, 123:25, 124:2, 125:9, 125:11, 130:20, 132:4, 132:20, 135:3, 139:3, 141:8, 141:21, 141:23, 142:3, 142:6, 142:10, 142:15, 143:23, 143:24, 144:1, 144:11, 144:20, 145:5, 145:17, 146:1, 146:15, 146:23, 146:24 **Trials -** 3:11, 143:3, 146:16 Triggered - 83:9 **Trip -** 62:15 Trips - 73:20 **Tuesday - 18:15**, 104:19 Turn - 32:14, 33:4,

95:15, 95:20,

106:22

Turned - 104:1

Turns - 33:12, 33:15 Tweaking - 65:10 **Twelve -** 151:1 Two - 18:20, 23:7, 23:11, 40:7, 55:12, 60:23, 64:18, 74:9, 79:3, 79:7, 82:13, 91:5, 99:18, 104:21, 107:4, 109:5, 110:24, 117:17, 118:8, 124:12, 130:19, 149:2, 150:7, 150:8, 150:11, 150:12, 150:13 **Types - 19:4**, 19:12, 19:20, 29:4, 57:11, 86:9, 95:7 **Typically - 144:19**

П

Umbrella - 3:4, 38:10 Uncommon - 82:1 6, 91:15 Undergoing - 35:1 **Understatement -**64:16 **Unfair -** 95:5 **Unfold -** 4:9, 65:11 Unfolding - 72:20 **Unfolds -** 66:14 Uniformed - 19:9, 68:16 Uninsured - 41:4, 41:14, 42:8, 42:14, 79:24 **Unique - 139:7 United -** 64:9 **Unjust - 126:10** Unless - 31:2, 44:2, 48:14, 71:23, 73:19, 105:3, 112:10, 135:10 Unlimited - 10:3, 125:12 **Unreasonable - 15** 7:9, 160:11 Unreported - 91:22 **Unsafe -** 13:7, 14:18, 18:8, 42:8 **Unwisely - 147:2 Update - 24:24 Updated - 24:9**,

47:2

Upheld - 146:24 Upped - 13:9 **Usage -** 125:13 **Used -** 22:17, 35:14, 57:11, 58:10, 96:17, 108:1, 110:15, 127:14, 154:7. 154:11. 160:2 Usefulness - 53:22 **Useless - 104:18 Uses - 59:8** Using - 26:9, 28:13, 30:18, 31:3, 31:7, 47:21 **Utilities - 128:15 Utilize - 59:19**

V

Value - 71:22, 131:22 Variables - 21:4 Variety - 4:25, 26:20, 119:6 Vast - 127:12 **Vastly -** 116:23 Vehicle - 37:2, 37:13, 54:14, 56:20, 56:21, 57:15, 61:21, 70:15, 72:1, 78:9, 78:10, 78:17, 81:14, 84:11, 86:2, 86:6, 86:19, 87:20, 87:24, 90:1, 90:8, 90:10, 96:18, 114:3, 116:15 Vehicles - 9:7, 35:15, 39:4, 39:5, 39:6, 39:8, 42:7, 42:10, 50:3, 54:2, 54:3, 54:4, 54:5, 55:12, 55:22, 57:13, 59:8, 61:11, 65:7, 71:17, 71:24, 74:22, 75:4, 75:9, 75:11, 75:19, 76:11, 78:13, 78:18, 78:21, 82:22, 96:20 Vehicular - 84:18 Vein - 1:19, 1:20, 24:25 Version - 24:23. 30:4, 34:18 Versus - 96:1,

123:16

Viable - 79:14

Victim - 105:16 **Video -** 28:15 View - 52:22, 90:15, 116:13, 137:17 **Views -** 161:6 Violations - 41:9. 84:11. 84:13 Visibility - 15:11, 16:1 **Visible - 16:3** Visit - 103:12, 103:13, 103:14 **Vital - 129:4 VOCM -** 10:17 **Volume -** 31:1, 31:6, 31:11, 31:24, 32:2, 32:12, 33:25, 34:12, 34:13, 35:12, 71:16, 85:24 **Volumes - 31:17** Vulnerable - 122:5

W

Wadden - 1:5, 1:7, 2:1, 3:7, 3:16, 3:23, 4:13, 5:17, 7:20, 8:2, 8:8, 10:6, 11:21, 12:16, 14:20, 15:18, 16:12, 16:19, 17:7, 17:18, 19:25, 20:16, 20:21, 21:9, 21:14, 21:19, 22:2, 23:13, 23:24, 24:5, 24:20, 25:4, 25:8, 27:11, 27:17, 29:7, 30:15, 31:12, 31:21, 32:18, 33:8, 33:19, 34:6, 35:24, 36:7, 36:11, 36:19, 37:17, 37:23, 38:18, 39:12, 39:24, 40:20, 41:19, 41:24, 42:13, 43:1, 43:15, 43:23, 44:7, 44:15, 45:2, 45:9, 45:15, 45:25, 46:5, 46:18, 48:7, 48:18, 48:23, 49:7, 50:13, 50:20, 51:4, 51:9, 51:18, 52:7, 52:11, 53:1, 53:10, 53:17, 56:12, 57:1, 57:9, 57:20, 58:5, 58:9, 58:14, 59:3, 61:3,

63:17, 66:18, 67:3, 68:4, 69:3, 69:12, 88:21, 88:25, 161:11, 161:12, 161:25 Wages - 114:2 **Wait -** 117:19, 118:1 Waited - 27:22 **Waiting -** 105:5 **Wales -** 99:15, 99:17 **Walk -** 118:24 Warning - 75:13 Warrant - 55:16, 62:17 Washington - 64:1 **Wasn't -** 13:22, 22:18, 99:24, 100:2, 126:13, 160:9 **Wasting - 144:8** Watershed - 151:2 Ways - 28:7, 31:23, 36:20, 59:5 Wearing - 22:16 We'd - 30:11 Wednesday - 104: 20 Week - 18:14, 26:18, 104:15, 104:21, 104:23, 149:9 Weekend - 17:24, 18:3, 18:13, 18:16 Weeks - 36:15, 90:11 Welcome - 1:3, 98:15 Welcoming - 1:22 We'll - 12:7, 12:20, 18:21, 18:23, 18:25, 19:1, 29:13, 30:17, 39:4, 46:9, 48:25, 63:7, 79:11, 92:22 Wells - 98:15, 98:18, 98:19, 98:21, 99:8, 99:12, 100:17, 100:18, 100:20, 102:1, 102:5, 102:13, 103:7, 105:12, 105:18, 105:22, 106:10, 106:14,

112:14, 112:15, 112:21, 112:25, 113:9, 113:13, 113:18, 114:18, 116:8, 117:7, 121:6, 121:13, 121:20, 121:25, 122:13, 122:18, 123:20, 123:24, 124:7, 124:10, 124:16, 129:18, 130:1, 130:4, 130:7, 130:8, 130:21, 132:7, 132:24, 133:11, 133:16, 133:25, 134:12, 135:19, 136:11, 137:21, 138:6, 138:9, 138:13, 138:19, 138:23, 139:2, 139:12, 140:4, 141:9, 141:15, 141:21, 142:8, 142:25, 144:15, 144:22, 146:2, 146:11, 147:7, 147:16, 148:1, 148:6, 149:3, 149:16, 149:22, 150:4, 150:15, 150:23, 152:4, 152:10, 152:16, 153:2, 153:12, 153:20, 154:5, 154:17, 154:21, 154:22, 155:2, 155:4, 155:12, 155:19, 156:12, 156:22, 157:1, 157:3, 157:12, 157:23, 158:4, 158:10, 158:17, 158:23, 159:7, 159:11, 159:16, 159:22, 160:1, 160:8, 160:20, 160:23, 161:5, 161:7 We're - 9:17, 10:2, 11:10, 13:16, 13:18, 14:6, 14:24, 15:1, 15:22, 16:5, 16:23, 16:24. 17:23. 18:12, 19:7, 19:10, 23:2, 23:5, 23:17, 23:18, 25:17, 26:12, 26:14,

61:15, 62:3, 62:22,

26:16, 27:9, 28:5, 28:7, 28:12, 28:16, 29:10, 31:8, 31:9, 33:21, 39:2, 40:4, 40:23, 41:18, 42:7, 42:9, 43:12, 45:19, 47:3, 49:23, 50:8, 50:25. 51:14. 51:15, 52:4, 58:23, 63:2, 63:5, 63:11, 63:18, 63:22, 64:22, 64:23, 65:1, 65:17, 65:19, 66:3, 66:12, 66:14, 67:16, 74:9, 74:14, 74:16, 75:22, 75:24, 80:25, 83:13, 125:12, 126:11, 132:1, 132:2, 136:22, 144:7, 155:22, 156:3, 156:5 Weren't - 8:20, 40:16, 104:17 West - 35:21 We've - 20:12, 20:24, 21:18, 21:25, 25:2, 29:2, 29:3, 40:3, 41:2, 50:4, 59:6, 59:7, 71:9, 72:15, 78:3, 78:11, 130:12, 131:13, 141:21, 142:4, 151:17, 161:19 What'd - 51:17 Whatnot - 59:24 What's - 24:24, 29:22, 63:19, 70:2, 73:5 Whereas - 110:24, 116:22, 119:2, 142:18, 152:11 Whiplash - 117:12, 117:14 **Whittled -** 20:12 Whole - 14:25, 37:11 Who's - 123:6, 151:11 Whosoever - 111:2 Wide - 86:12 Widened - 116:10 Wider - 119:6 Wife - 102:21 Will - 29:21, 31:11,

33:5, 34:8, 50:9, 63:20, 63:21, 64:4, 66:21, 67:4, 72:20, 74:3, 74:15, 80:7, 81:20, 90:24, 91:9, 91:12, 109:20, 118:18, 118:19, 118:21, 134:19, 135:3, 137:13, 146:18, 147:12, 161:20 Wind - 81:10 **Winners** - 30:7 Winning - 120:13 Winter - 38:24, 38:25, 39:10 Wise - 94:17, 120:8, 120:11 Wish - 148:12 Wished - 57:22 Witness - 119:1 Witnesses - 87:10, 119:2 Witnessing - 62:6 Women - 51:21 Won - 111:1 Won't - 66:2, 120:15 Word - 16:16, 89:12 Words - 64:2. 132:20, 160:12 Work - 2:16, 3:10, 4:10, 4:11, 4:17, 5:16, 11:19, 12:10, 19:23, 25:15, 32:3, 32:5, 36:21, 37:20, 46:7, 49:19, 83:4, 83:11, 95:4, 99:5, 99:23, 100:6, 100:24, 100:25, 101:2, 101:5, 102:12, 102:19, 102:25, 103:22, 104:13, 109:11, 109:16, 109:22, 110:19, 112:11, 115:16, 118:11, 131:8, 134:24, 140:13, 162:4 Worked - 10:22, 38:11, 60:4, 74:18, 77:25, 78:3, 78:11, 104:14, 106:22 Workers - 148:3,

148:10, 148:17,

151:18

Working - 2:19, 8:18, 24:13, 24:15, 24:16, 25:21, 26:3, 26:4, 26:15, 36:25, 45:11, 63:6, 66:3, 69:7, 72:13, 95:18, 102:15, 104:22, 143:12 Works - 10:19, 89:4, 153:17 Worse - 117:20 Worth - 107:23, 107:24, 115:9, 116:4, 117:6, 123:5 Wouldn't - 55:16, 151:15, 152:13 Writing - 99:21

Υ

Year - 2:23, 4:4, 17:15, 17:23, 18:2, 18:7, 18:23, 19:5, 23:10, 23:12, 30:22, 31:18, 37:21, 40:14, 42:8, 42:10, 64:17, 67:10, 67:18, 67:20, 67:21, 72:8, 86:8, 86:13, 90:24, 101:15, 101:25, 102:4, 102:22, 121:4, 150:7 **Yearly - 101:19** Years - 2:8, 2:9, 2:11, 2:14, 2:15, 3:17, 3:19, 6:9, 6:14, 14:11, 22:24, 31:15, 35:2, 35:4, 40:4, 51:25, 52:1, 70:14, 71:3, 75:10, 76:5, 76:9, 100:7, 100:15, 101:21, 102:7, 102:9, 102:15, 103:12, 104:9, 113:21, 116:10, 116:19, 116:20, 117:17, 126:13, 127:4, 133:5, 137:18, 140:9, 149:2, 150:8, 150:11, 150:13, 151:2, 151:13, 153:25 Years' - 138:24 Yesterday - 20:7, 89:2

109:10, 111:20 **You'll -** 1:9, 18:24 Young - 28:10, 142:9 Younger - 28:24 You're - 11:24, 30:1, 31:22, 39:18, 41:20, 42:6, 46:14, 54:20, 54:24, 57:10, 61:24, 61:25, 69:7, 74:1, 74:2, 74:25, 75:21, 76:7, 82:8, 89:1, 99:1, 108:25, 112:19, 117:15, 118:18, 128:19, 130:22, 136:4, 143:16, 144:25, 146:3 You've - 2:6, 3:10, 3:17, 4:19, 34:24, 61:5, 69:4, 70:12, 72:12, 72:14, 72:19, 94:21, 99:5, 118:25, 133:4,

Ζ

Zero - 22:13 **Zones -** 53:8, 53:12, 53:13

133:6, 138:16

You'd - 88:18,